Information Memorandum

TO:
Executive Directors, State Developmental Disabilities Councils
Directors, State Protection and Advocacy Systems
Directors, University Affiliated Programs


PURPOSE: To provide preliminary information about the family preservation and support services legislation and to highlight its importance for the disability community.

Introduction

On January 18, 1994, a Program Instruction implementing the new family preservation and support services legislation was signed and sent to State Agencies administering the title IV-B Child and Family Services Program. This new law shares much in common with the practice and philosophy of the Administration on Developmental Disabilities (ADD). Both the ADD legislation as well as the Family Preservation and Support Services Program Instruction call for the establishment of new linkages and coordinated planning across service delivery systems. The purpose of this Information Memorandum is to provide background about the new initiative and to suggest possible opportunities for collaboration between ADD and family support and preservation. These opportunities include:

- being involved in the family support and preservation planning process;
- developing programmatic linkages between ADD and family support; and
- establishing ADD as part of the continuum of care that is essential for strengthening children with developmental disabilities and their families.

Family Preservation and Support Services

In August 1993, the Congress passed and the President signed the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993. This legislation enacts a new Subpart 2 to title IV-B of the Social Security Act, representing the first major change in the child welfare area since the Adoption Assistance and Child Welfare Act of 1980. Subpart 2 (Family Preservation and Support Services) provides nearly one billion new Federal dollars over five years to States and a limited number of Tribes for preventive services (family support services) and services to families at risk or in crisis (family preservation services) (see attachment "A" for definitions of family support and family preservation services).

In addition to providing funds for establishing and/or expanding services, the new program offers States an extraordinary opportunity to assess and make changes in State and local service delivery in child welfare, broadly defined. The purpose of these changes is to achieve improved well-being for vulnerable children
and their families, particularly those experiencing, or at risk for, abuse and neglect. Because the multiple needs of these vulnerable children and families cannot be addressed adequately through categorical programs and fragmented service delivery systems, we encourage States to use the new program as a catalyst for establishing a continuum of coordinated and integrated, culturally relevant, family-focused services for children and families.

Because the new focus on family-based services and community linkages requires changes in vision, in philosophy, and in the design and delivery of child welfare services, the planning period is especially critical. By making 100 percent Federal funds available in Fiscal Year 1994 for planning, and by requiring the development of a long-range five-year State Plan, the legislation offers each State an opportunity to strengthen, reform, and better coordinate and integrate its service delivery system.

It is essential that planning in Fiscal Year 1994 and beyond includes a wide array of State, local, and community agencies and institutions, parents, consumers, and other interested individuals whose collective work feeds into joint State-Federal planning activities. Ideally, the planning process will offer an opportunity for these key stakeholders to become partners on behalf of children.

The result of the planning process will be the development and submission of a five-year State Plan. The State Plan is the vehicle to articulate a State's vision and the strategy for achieving that vision, set goals and measure progress towards those goals, and identify practical next steps toward a more comprehensive and integrated continuum of services that responds to the needs of vulnerable families within the State.

**New Opportunities for Developmental Disabilities Grantees**

From its inception, ADD has provided critical supportive services to children and their families. ADD has assisted in improving the lives of many children with developmental disabilities and their families. ADD's special demonstration projects such as Pediatric HIV Risk Assessment Program for Child Protective Services and Perinatal Center, Coordinated Early Intervention Services for HIV+ Children and High risk for Developmental Disabilities, A Home of One's Own, Training Native American Families Through Networking and Systems Development and Shepherd Spinal Center/Alyn Hospital International Spina Bifida Program represent some of the models in the family support movement.
It is clear that the ADD experience provides a valuable foundation for development and implementation of the family preservation and support services initiative. Both ADD and family support and preservation are guided by similar principles which include working with parents as true partners, emphasizing prevention rather than crisis intervention, building on family strengths rather than deficits, and providing culturally responsive services. They go beyond serving just the child or just the family to looking at and responding to -- through referral or direct support -- the multiple needs of children and their families. Furthermore, it is well recognized that many of the families served by ADD will also be served by family support or family preservation.

The ADD noted in its "Fiscal Year 1990 Annual Report" examples of programs and providers responsible for addressing the needs of young children and families. Family preservation and support services also calls for the establishment of meaningful linkages across service delivery systems serving children and their families. Therefore, the opportunities for working together are numerous.

The first and most immediate opportunity is for the ADD community to become involved in the planning process in order to influence the development of the State (or Tribal) application and five-year family preservation and support Plan. The new Federal law requires that States and a limited number of Tribes undergo an inclusive planning process to develop a five-year State Plan and to leverage larger systems change. ADD programs, staff, and parents -- as well as Community Action Agencies and other programs -- have a great deal of knowledge and experience to offer during the planning process, particularly in the area of family support. It is recommended that ADD grantees check with their State Developmental Disabilities Council to learn what processes for planning will be in place and how the ADD community can be involved in crafting the vision of a coordinated and integrated service delivery system. It is also recommended that ADD programs contact their Federal Regional Office so they can be included in any Regionally sponsored family support and preservation conferences and other activities.

The second opportunity is for the ADD community to develop programmatic linkages between ADD and the family support initiative. ADD and other programs represent a critical ingredient for families with children with developmental disabilities. Because of this, ADD grantees should look for ways to partner with family support programs to ensure that child development is an element of all family support programs.
The third opportunity is for ADD to become established as part of the continuum of care that is essential for strengthening children and their families. It is well accepted that no one program can go it alone. Bridges must be developed to maximize resources to better meet the multiple needs of children and their families, and bridges must be developed to build a continuum of care that offers a range of services for children with developmental disabilities and their families. The ADD should build partnerships or form consortia with other community, county, and State programs to provide the necessary early child development opportunities for children with developmental disabilities. In doing this, ADD should establish itself as part of the continuum of care that is essential for strengthening all children with developmental disabilities and their families.

Additional Information

For additional information about the new family preservation and support services initiative, please contact your State Agency that oversees the title IV-B program (see attachment D) or your Federal Regional Office (see attachment E).

Bob Williams
Commissioner
Administration on Developmental Disabilities

Attachments

A: Highlights of the Family Preservation and Support Services Program Instruction
B: State Allocation Table
C: Tribal Allocation Table
D: State title IV-B Contact
E: Administration for Children and Families Regional Offices

cc: Regional Administrators, ACF, Regions I - X
Director, Regional Operations Staff, ACF
Executive Director, National Association on Developmental Disabilities Councils
Executive Director, National Association of Protection and Advocacy Systems, Inc.
Executive Director, American Association of University Affiliated Programs
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Family Preservation and Support Services is part of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993. It is a new subpart 2 of title IV-B — the Child and Family Services program of the Social Security Act.

New Federal funds are provided to State child welfare agencies for preventive services (family support services) and services to families at risk or in crisis (family preservation services).

Family support services are primarily community-based preventive activities designed to alleviate stress and promote parental competencies and behaviors that will increase the ability of families to successfully nurture their children; enable families to use other resources and opportunities available in the community; and create supportive networks to enhance child-rearing abilities of parents and help compensate for the increased social isolation and vulnerability of families.

Examples of community-based family support services and activities include respite care for parents and other caregivers; early developmental screening of children to assess the needs of these children and assistance in obtaining specific services to meet their needs; mentoring, tutoring, and health education for youth; and a range of center-based activities (informal interactions in drop-in centers, parent support groups) and home visiting programs.

Family preservation services typically are services designed to help families alleviate crises that might lead to out of home placement of children; maintain the safety of children in their own homes; support families preparing to reunify or adopt; and assist families in obtaining services and other supports necessary to address their multiple needs in a culturally sensitive manner. (If a child cannot be protected from harm without placement or the family does not have adequate strengths on which to build, family preservation services are not appropriate.)

In addition to providing funds for expanding services, this legislation offers States an extraordinary opportunity to assess and make changes in State and local service delivery in child welfare, broadly defined. The purpose of these changes is to achieve improved well-being for vulnerable children and their families.
Because the multiple needs of children and families cannot be addressed adequately through categorical programs and fragmented service delivery systems, we encourage States to use this new funding as a catalyst for establishing a continuum of coordinated and integrated, culturally relevant, family-focused services for children and families.

The legislation requires States to engage in a comprehensive planning process for the development of ameaningful and responsive family support and family preservation strategy. To take full advantage of the opportunity for comprehensive planning, the scope of planning should go beyond child welfare to include housing, mental health, primary health, education, juvenile justice, community-based programs providing family support and family preservation services, and other social programs that serve children and their families in the State and its communities. Consumers, practitioners, researchers, foundations, mayors, and legislators are some of the stakeholders who should be active in the planning process. Federal regional staff will also serve as partners in planning.

FY 1994 funds are available following the submittal and approval of a State application. Guidance for this application is included in the Program Instruction. It is expected that States will spend their FY 1994 allotment up to $1 million for planning and development of the five year State plan for FY 1995-1999. States may also spend some of their FY 1994 allotment for services.

FY 1995 funds are available following the submittal and approval of a comprehensive five year State plan. The attached Program Instruction offers preliminary guidance for the five year plan. Formal instructions for completing the plan will be provided in regulations to be published in 1994 (summer/fall).

We recognize that the Federal government can facilitate coordination of programs and the development of a continuum of care at the State and local level. As a first step, we have reached an agreement with the Maternal and Child Health Bureau and the Center for Mental Health Services so that States and communities who include programs operating under the public health service agency and the mental health agency in their planning for family support and family preservation may qualify for additional discretionary funding from these two programs. In addition, we plan to develop a combined State plan for Title IV-B Subpart 1, Title IV-B Subpart 2, and the Independent Living Program. Other suggestions for ways in which the Federal government can facilitate coordination at the State and local level are welcome.

For additional information, please contact (1) your State child welfare agency; (2) your Federal regional office; or (3) the Administration on Children, Youth, and Families (202/205-8347).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of State</th>
<th>FY 94</th>
<th>FY 95</th>
<th>FY 96</th>
<th>FY 97</th>
<th>FY 98</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>1,199,639</td>
<td>2,880,911</td>
<td>4,334,445</td>
<td>4,666,141</td>
<td>4,957,638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>77,754</td>
<td>186,726</td>
<td>280,936</td>
<td>301,139</td>
<td>321,341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>1,005,253</td>
<td>2,414,096</td>
<td>3,632,104</td>
<td>3,893,294</td>
<td>4,154,484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>577,000</td>
<td>1,387,103</td>
<td>2,086,955</td>
<td>2,237,031</td>
<td>2,387,107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>6,925,694</td>
<td>16,631,924</td>
<td>25,023,389</td>
<td>26,822,863</td>
<td>28,622,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>616,481</td>
<td>1,480,468</td>
<td>2,227,423</td>
<td>2,387,600</td>
<td>2,547,778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>444,311</td>
<td>1,067,004</td>
<td>1,605,350</td>
<td>1,720,793</td>
<td>1,836,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>105,524</td>
<td>253,413</td>
<td>381,271</td>
<td>408,668</td>
<td>436,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dist of Col</td>
<td>194,386</td>
<td>466,814</td>
<td>702,341</td>
<td>752,847</td>
<td>803,353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>2,618,879</td>
<td>6,281,986</td>
<td>9,451,497</td>
<td>10,131,169</td>
<td>10,810,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>1,555,088</td>
<td>3,734,514</td>
<td>5,618,724</td>
<td>6,022,775</td>
<td>6,426,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawai'i</td>
<td>194,386</td>
<td>466,814</td>
<td>702,341</td>
<td>752,847</td>
<td>803,353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>155,509</td>
<td>373,451</td>
<td>561,872</td>
<td>602,278</td>
<td>642,683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>2,504,802</td>
<td>6,015,235</td>
<td>9,050,160</td>
<td>9,700,970</td>
<td>10,351,781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>936,606</td>
<td>2,254,046</td>
<td>3,391,302</td>
<td>3,635,175</td>
<td>3,879,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>427,649</td>
<td>1,026,991</td>
<td>1,545,149</td>
<td>1,656,263</td>
<td>1,767,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>372,110</td>
<td>893,616</td>
<td>1,344,481</td>
<td>1,441,164</td>
<td>1,537,848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>1,083,007</td>
<td>2,600,822</td>
<td>3,913,040</td>
<td>4,194,433</td>
<td>4,475,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>1,888,321</td>
<td>4,534,767</td>
<td>6,822,737</td>
<td>7,313,370</td>
<td>7,804,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>244,371</td>
<td>586,852</td>
<td>882,942</td>
<td>946,436</td>
<td>1,009,930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>760,882</td>
<td>1,827,244</td>
<td>2,749,162</td>
<td>2,946,858</td>
<td>3,144,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>2,304,862</td>
<td>5,535,083</td>
<td>8,327,752</td>
<td>8,926,614</td>
<td>9,525,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>655,358</td>
<td>1,573,831</td>
<td>2,367,891</td>
<td>2,550,170</td>
<td>2,708,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>1,155,208</td>
<td>2,774,210</td>
<td>4,173,910</td>
<td>4,474,062</td>
<td>4,774,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>2,149,654</td>
<td>2,760,873</td>
<td>4,153,843</td>
<td>4,452,552</td>
<td>4,751,261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>133,293</td>
<td>320,101</td>
<td>481,605</td>
<td>516,238</td>
<td>550,871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>233,263</td>
<td>560,177</td>
<td>842,809</td>
<td>903,416</td>
<td>964,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>161,063</td>
<td>386,789</td>
<td>581,939</td>
<td>623,787</td>
<td>665,636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>94,416</td>
<td>226,738</td>
<td>341,137</td>
<td>365,669</td>
<td>390,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1,132,992</td>
<td>2,720,860</td>
<td>4,093,642</td>
<td>4,388,022</td>
<td>4,682,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>455,419</td>
<td>1,093,679</td>
<td>1,645,484</td>
<td>1,762,813</td>
<td>1,882,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>4,043,228</td>
<td>9,709,736</td>
<td>14,608,684</td>
<td>15,639,216</td>
<td>16,709,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>1,160,762</td>
<td>2,787,548</td>
<td>4,193,976</td>
<td>4,495,572</td>
<td>4,797,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>99,970</td>
<td>240,076</td>
<td>361,204</td>
<td>387,178</td>
<td>413,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Young Children</td>
<td>Total FY 1993</td>
<td>Total FY 1994</td>
<td>Total FY 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>2,782,496</td>
<td>6,682,112</td>
<td>10,053,503</td>
<td>10,776,466</td>
<td>11,499,429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>694,236</td>
<td>1,667,194</td>
<td>2,508,359</td>
<td>2,688,739</td>
<td>2,869,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>510,957</td>
<td>1,227,055</td>
<td>1,846,152</td>
<td>1,978,912</td>
<td>2,111,672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>2,360,401</td>
<td>5,668,459</td>
<td>8,528,421</td>
<td>9,142,713</td>
<td>9,755,004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>188,832</td>
<td>453,477</td>
<td>682,274</td>
<td>731,337</td>
<td>780,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>805,313</td>
<td>1,933,945</td>
<td>2,909,697</td>
<td>3,118,937</td>
<td>3,328,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>127,739</td>
<td>306,764</td>
<td>461,538</td>
<td>494,728</td>
<td>527,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>1,327,378</td>
<td>3,187,674</td>
<td>4,795,983</td>
<td>5,140,869</td>
<td>5,485,755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>5,376,160</td>
<td>12,910,748</td>
<td>19,424,733</td>
<td>20,821,595</td>
<td>22,218,457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>294,356</td>
<td>706,890</td>
<td>1,063,544</td>
<td>1,140,025</td>
<td>1,216,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>105,524</td>
<td>253,413</td>
<td>381,271</td>
<td>408,688</td>
<td>436,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>927,499</td>
<td>2,227,371</td>
<td>3,351,168</td>
<td>3,592,155</td>
<td>3,833,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>938,606</td>
<td>2,254,046</td>
<td>3,391,302</td>
<td>3,635,175</td>
<td>3,879,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>572,050</td>
<td>1,373,768</td>
<td>2,066,888</td>
<td>2,215,521</td>
<td>2,364,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>821,975</td>
<td>1,973,957</td>
<td>2,969,897</td>
<td>3,183,417</td>
<td>3,397,037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>77,754</td>
<td>186,726</td>
<td>280,936</td>
<td>301,139</td>
<td>321,341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Samoa</td>
<td>90,857</td>
<td>122,095</td>
<td>149,102</td>
<td>154,893</td>
<td>160,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guam</td>
<td>129,726</td>
<td>219,181</td>
<td>296,518</td>
<td>313,102</td>
<td>329,687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Mariana</td>
<td>80,428</td>
<td>96,047</td>
<td>109,551</td>
<td>112,441</td>
<td>115,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>1,442,746</td>
<td>3,498,785</td>
<td>5,276,321</td>
<td>5,657,497</td>
<td>6,038,672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgin Islands</td>
<td>117,401</td>
<td>188,397</td>
<td>249,776</td>
<td>262,938</td>
<td>276,101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>57,400,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>137,500,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>206,750,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asides:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indians (1%)</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>2,250,000</td>
<td>2,400,000</td>
<td>2,550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T, TA &amp; Eval</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>6,000,000</td>
<td>6,000,000</td>
<td>6,000,000</td>
<td>6,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>2,600,000</td>
<td>12,500,000</td>
<td>18,250,000</td>
<td>18,400,000</td>
<td>18,550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for FY</strong></td>
<td>60,000,000</td>
<td>150,000,000</td>
<td>225,000,000</td>
<td>240,000,000</td>
<td>255,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**

* FY 1994 State allotments are based on the statutory formula using Food Stamp data (section 433(c)).

Allotments for the territories and insular areas are based on the Title IV-B formula (section 433(b)).

The table also includes the set-asides for grants to Indian Tribes and State courts, and grants for research, evaluation, and training and technical assistance (section 430(d)).

** FY 1995-98 State allotments for these years should be used only for planning purposes. They are based on current information and will need to be revised when future Food Stamp data and appropriations are known.
### FAMILY PRESERVATION AND FAMILY SUPPORT ALLOTMENTS FOR INDIAN TRIBES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tribe</th>
<th>FY 94</th>
<th>FY 95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Navajo Tribe AZ-NM-UT</td>
<td>163,235</td>
<td>408,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Cherokee Nation OK</td>
<td>66,349</td>
<td>165,872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Creek Nation OK</td>
<td>43,080</td>
<td>107,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Choctaw Nation OK</td>
<td>28,867</td>
<td>72,166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Chickasaw Nation OK</td>
<td>21,759</td>
<td>54,397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Assoc. of Village Council Pres. Reg. Corp. AK</td>
<td>18,885</td>
<td>47,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Cook Inlet NA RC AK</td>
<td>18,446</td>
<td>46,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Oglala Sioux Tribe NE-SD</td>
<td>13,856</td>
<td>34,641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Tlingit-Haida Central Council Reg. Corp. AK</td>
<td>11,791</td>
<td>29,477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 White Mountain Apache Tribe AZ</td>
<td>11,479</td>
<td>28,696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Tanana Chiefs Conference Reg. Corp. AK</td>
<td>11,392</td>
<td>28,481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Rosebud Sioux Tribe SD</td>
<td>10,122</td>
<td>25,305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Gila River Indian Community AZ</td>
<td>10,003</td>
<td>25,007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Tohono O'odham Nation AZ</td>
<td>9,036</td>
<td>22,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 San Carlos Apache Tribe AZ</td>
<td>8,157</td>
<td>20,392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa ND-SD</td>
<td>7,919</td>
<td>19,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Blackfeet Tribe MT</td>
<td>7,819</td>
<td>19,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheyenne-Arapaho Tribes OK</td>
<td>7,453</td>
<td>18,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Zuni Tribe NM</td>
<td>7,266</td>
<td>18,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Confederated Yakima Indian Nation WA</td>
<td>7,084</td>
<td>17,711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Hopi Tribe AZ</td>
<td>7,028</td>
<td>17,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Kaverak Reg. Corp. AK</td>
<td>6,618</td>
<td>16,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Shoshone and Arapahoe Tribes of Wind River WY</td>
<td>6,569</td>
<td>16,423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of Fort Peck MT</td>
<td>6,541</td>
<td>16,353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe SD</td>
<td>6,243</td>
<td>15,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Kiowa Indian Tribe OK</td>
<td>6,230</td>
<td>15,576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Maunaluk Assoc. Reg. Corp. AK</td>
<td>6,152</td>
<td>15,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Standing Rock Sioux Tribe ND-SD</td>
<td>6,052</td>
<td>15,129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Osage Tribe OK</td>
<td>6,010</td>
<td>15,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Comanche Indian Tribe OK</td>
<td>5,553</td>
<td>13,883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes MT</td>
<td>5,474</td>
<td>13,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Crow Tribe MT</td>
<td>5,371</td>
<td>13,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians NC</td>
<td>5,334</td>
<td>13,334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Citizens Band Potawatomi Tribe OK</td>
<td>5,007</td>
<td>12,517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Sac and Fox Tribe OK</td>
<td>4,872</td>
<td>12,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 Bristol Bay Nat. Assoc. Reg. Corp. AK</td>
<td>4,830</td>
<td>12,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 Artic Slope Nat. Assoc. Reg. Corp. AK</td>
<td>4,807</td>
<td>12,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians MS</td>
<td>4,753</td>
<td>11,883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 Northern Cheyenne Tribe MT</td>
<td>4,287</td>
<td>10,717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians MN</td>
<td>4,247</td>
<td>10,618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Salt River Indian Community AZ</td>
<td>4,024</td>
<td>10,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State/Region</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>Ramona Foley</td>
<td>Division of Substitute Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Betty Gayle</td>
<td>Assistant Commissioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>Lynn Samsel</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Anona Joseph</td>
<td>Assistant Secretary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>Linda Hisgen</td>
<td>Bureau for Children, Youth &amp; Families</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Judith Hines</td>
<td>Program Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>Janice Caldwell</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>William Young</td>
<td>Commissioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Lynn Barry Rodina</td>
<td>Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Harry Burgess</td>
<td>Office of Social Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>Jim Mitchell</td>
<td>Administrator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Sterling Ryder
Director
Illinois Department of Children and Family Services
406 East Monroe Street
Springfield, IL 62701

Federico Briel
Administrator
Division of Adult, Children & Family Services
Iowa Department of Human Services
Hoover State Office Building, 5th Fl
Des Moines, IA 50319

Peggy Wallace
Commissioner
Department of Social Services
Kentucky Cabinet for Human Resources
275 East Main Street
Frankfort, KY 40621

Meris Bickford
Director
Bureau of Child and Family Services
Maine Department of Human Services
221 State Street
State House Station #11
Augusta, ME 04333

Linda K. Carlisle
Commissioner
Department of Social Services
24 Farnsworth Street
Boston, MA 02210

Denise Revels Robinson
Director
Family and Children's Services Div
Minnesota Dept of Human Services
Human Services Building
444 Lafayette Road
St. Paul, MN 55155

Carmen Schulze
Director
Division of Family Services
Missouri Dept of Social Services
P.O. Box 88
Jefferson City, MO 65103

Sharon Pierce
Child Welfare/Social Services Div.
Family Support Administration
Indiana Department of Public Welfare
402 West Washington Street, #W314
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2716

Carolyn Risley Hill
Commission on Youth and Adult Services
Smith-Wilson Building
300 S.W. Oakley Street
Topeka, KS 66606

Louis Plauché
Director
Division of Family and Child Welfare Program Development
Louisiana Dept of Social Services
P.O. Box 3318
Baton Rouge, LA 70821

Linda M. Heisner
Office of Family & Children's Services
Social Services Administration
Maryland Dept of Human Resources
311 West Saratoga Street
Baltimore, MD 21201

Harold Gazan
Deputy Director
Family Services Administration for Child and Family Services
Michigan Dept of Social Services
235 South Grand Avenue, Suite 515
Lansing, MI 48909

Betty M. Daugherty
Director
Div of Family and Children's Services
Mississippi Dept of Human Services
313 West Pascagoula Street
Jackson, MS 39225-3806

Hank Hudson
Director
Montana Department of Family Services
P.O. Box 8005
Helena, MT 59604
Paul Vincent  
Director  
Family and Children's Services  
Division  
Alabama Department of Human Resources  
50 North Ripley Street  
Montgomery, AL 36130-1801

Marsha Porter  
Administration for Children, Youth and Families  
Arizona Dept of Economic Security  
1400 West Washington, 3rd Floor  
Site Code 940A  
Phoenix, AZ 85005

Eloise Anderson  
Director  
State Department of Social Services  
744 P Street M/S 17-18  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Rose Alma Senators  
Commissioner  
Connecticut Department of Children and Youth Services  
170 Sigourney Street  
Hartford, CT 06105

Beverly Davis  
Administrator  
Family Services Administration  
Commission on Social Services  
Randall Building - DHS  
1st and Eye Streets SW, Room 104  
Washington, DC 20024

Anne Plant  
Interim Director  
Division of Family & Children Services  
Georgia Department of Human Resources  
878 Peachtree Street NE, Room 421  
Atlanta, GA

Linda Yoneyama  
Administrator  
Family and Children's Services  
Division of Family and Adult Services  
Hawaii Department of Human Services  
P.O. Box 339  
Honolulu, HI 96809

Deborah Wing  
Director  
Division of Family and Youth Services  
Alaska Department of Health and Social Services  
P.O. Box H-05  
Juneau, AK 99811-0630

Beverly Jones  
Director  
Div of Children and Family Services  
Arkansas Dept of Human Services  
P.O. Box 1437, Slot 626  
Little Rock, AR 72203-1437

Karen Studen  
Acting Director  
Child Welfare Services  
Colorado Department of Social Services  
1575 Sherman Street  
Denver, CO 80203-1714

Thomas P. Eichler  
Secretary  
Delware Department of Services for Children, Youth & their Families  
1825 Faulkland Road  
Wilmington, DE 19805-1121

Linda F. Radigan  
Assistant Secretary  
Children and Family Services  
Florida Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services  
1317 Winwood Blvd., Bldg. 8, Rm 314  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0700

Marylou Taijeron  
Supervisor  
Child Welfare and Protective Services  
Guam Department of Public Health and Social Services  
P.O. Box 2816  
Agana, GU 96910

Ken Patterson  
Administrator  
Division of Family and Children's Services  
Idaho Dept of Health and Welfare  
450 West State Street, 10th Floor  
Boise, ID 83720
REGION VII. KANSAS CITY

Linda Carson
Federal Office Building
Room 384
601 E. 12th Street
Kansas City, MO 64106

Commercial: (8) 816-426-3981
FTS: (8) 816-426-3981
Telefax: (8) 816-426-2888
Verify: (8) 816-426-3981

REGION VIII. DENVER, COLORADO

Frank Fajardo
Federal Office Bldg.
1961 Stout Street
Room 924
Denver, CO 80294-3538

Commercial: (8) 303-844-2622
FTS: (8) 303-844-2622
Telefax: (8) 303-844-3642
Verify: (8) 303-844-2622

REGION IX. SAN FRANCISCO

Sharon M. Fujii
50 United Nations Plaza
Room 450
San Francisco, CA 94102

Commercial: (8) 415-556-7800
FTS: (8) 415-556-7800
Telefax: (8) 415-556-3046
Verify: (8) 415-556-7800

REGION X. SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

Stephen S. Henigson
2201 Sixth Avenue
Room 610-M/S RX-70
Seattle, Washington 98121

Commercial: (8) 206-615-2547
FTS: (8) 206-615-2574
Telefax: (8) 206-615-2574
Verify: (8) 206-615-2547
ATTACHMENT E

ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES – REGIONAL OFFICES

REGIONAL ADMINISTRATORS

REGION I. BOSTON

Hugh Galligan
JFK Federal Building
Room 2000
Boston, MA 02203
Commercial: (8) 617-565-1020
FTS: (8) 617-565-1020
Telefax: (8) 617-565-2493
Verify: (8) 617-565-1020

REGION II. NEW YORK

Ann Schreiber
26 Federal Plaza
Room 4049
New York, NY 10278
Commercial: (8) 212-264-2890
FTS: (8) 212-264-2890
Telefax: (8) 212-264-4881
Verify: (8) 212-264-2892

REGION III. PHILADELPHIA

Ralph E. Douglas
Gateway Building
Room 5450
3535 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104
Commercial: (8) 215-596-0352
FTS: (8) 215-596-0352
Telefax: (8) 215-596-5028
Verify: (8) 215-596-0352

REGION IV. ATLANTA

Patricia S. Brooks
101 Marietta Tower
Suite 821
Atlanta, GA 30323
Commercial: (8) 404-331-5733
FTS: (8) 404-331-5733
Telefax: (8) 404-331-1776
Verify: (8) 404-331-0781

REGION V. CHICAGO

Marion Steffy
105 West Adams Street
20th Floor
Chicago, IL 60603
Commercial: (8) 312-353-4237
FTS: (8) 312-353-4237
Telefax: (8) 312-353-2629
Verify: (8) 312-353-4237

REGION VI. DALLAS

Leon R. McCowan
1200 Main Tower
Suite 1700
Dallas, TX 75202
Commercial: (8) 214-767-9648
FTS: (8) 214-767-9648
Telefax: (8) 214-767-3743
Verify: (8) 214-767-9648