

Notice of Public Hearing

Proposed Amendments to the Sentencing Guidelines and Commentary

Date of notice: October 20, 2025

Date of hearing: November 20, 2025

The Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines Commission will hold a public hearing on Thursday, November 20, 2025, at 2:00 p.m., in the Minnesota Senate Building, Room 1100, 95 University Ave. W., Saint Paul, MN 55155. The public hearing is being held to consider proposed modifications to the 2025 Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines and Commentary resulting from the Commission's 2023–2025 comprehensive review of the Sentencing Guidelines. By a unanimous vote, the Commission preliminarily adopted the following proposed modifications at its meeting on October 9, 2025.

All interested persons are encouraged to participate and offer comments. A remote-participation option will be available: Members of the public may monitor and participate in the hearing remotely, and some Commission members may participate by interactive technology. Refer to the Commission's web site (mn.gov/sentencing-guidelines) for information on how to attend the public hearing remotely. Persons wishing to testify must register, and may do so in advance; registration instructions will be posted on the Commission's [web site](#). If you require special accommodations to attend the public hearing, please contact the Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines Commission staff as soon as possible.

The Commission will hold the record open for five calendar days after the public hearing to accept written comment. Instructions on how to submit written materials are posted on the Commission's web site. Written materials must be received no later than November 25, 2025.

After the written comment period ends, the proposed modifications are subject to final action by the Commission at its meeting on Thursday, December 18, 2025, with meeting details to be posted on the Commission's web site. If adopted, the proposed modifications will be submitted to the Legislature by January 15, 2026. Unless the Legislature by law provides otherwise, such modifications will take effect August 1, 2026, and will apply as provided in Guidelines 3.G.

For more information, please refer to the Commission's web site at <https://mn.gov/sentencing-guidelines> or call the Commission's office at (651) 296-0144. This notice, which is available in alternative formats upon request, was posted on the MSGC web site on October 20, 2025.

Proposed Modifications

The text of the proposed modifications begins on page 5. In brief, the nature and effect of these proposed modifications are as follows:

- A. Changes to criminal history score calculation.** As a result of its comprehensive review of the Sentencing Guidelines, the Commission proposes changes to each of the four components of the criminal history score: prior felonies; custody status at the time of the offense; prior misdemeanors and gross misdemeanors; and prior juvenile adjudications.
- 1. Reduce decay period for prior felonies.** The Commission proposes to reduce the felony decay period from fifteen to ten years. This proposal amends Guidelines 2.B.1.c (felony decay factor) and Comment 2.B.113.
 - 2. Convert custody status at the time of the offense to a durational modifier.** The Commission proposes to convert custody status from a component of the criminal history score to a durational modifier. Under the proposal, the defendant will receive the same or similar durational consequence for being on custody status at the time of the offense—indeed, for some with very high criminal history scores sentenced for serious offenses, the recommended duration will be greater than the duration now recommended. There will be no dispositional consequence, however: The prison/stayed sentence recommendation will not change due to custody status. The proposal excludes custody status arising from misdemeanors, gross misdemeanors, and low-level felonies, and implicitly includes qualifying custody status arising from stay-of-adjudication probation. This proposal deletes Guidelines 2.B.2 (custody status at the time of the offense) and associated commentary; renumbers Guidelines 2.C.2 as 2.C.1.c, with conforming changes to cross-references throughout the Guidelines; adds new Guidelines 2.C.2 (custody status at the time of the offense); and amends Guidelines 2.B, 2.G.12 (attempt or conspiracy to commit first-degree murder), 4.A (Sentencing Guidelines grid), 4.B (sex offender grid), and 4.C (drug offender grid).
 - 3. Prior misdemeanors and gross misdemeanors.**
 - a. Reduce decay period.** The Commission proposes to reduce the misdemeanor and gross misdemeanor decay period to seven years. This proposal amends Guidelines 2.B.3.e (decay factor) and Comment 2.B.306.
 - b. Repeal two special rules for prior DWI and shorten, simplify, and merge Guidelines 2.B.6.** The Commission proposes to repeal two special rules pertaining to prior misdemeanor and gross misdemeanor DWI: The special two-unit, no-limit DWI rule; and the special rule for counting prior

misdemeanor DWIs in the criminal history of a felony DWI. Guidelines 2.B.6 (felony enhancement due to prior misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor convictions) is proposed to be shortened, simplified, and merged with Guidelines 2.B.3 (prior gross misdemeanors and misdemeanors). This proposal amends Guidelines 2.B.3.a and 2.B.3.f; deletes Guidelines 2.B.3.g and Comment 2.B.304; moves Guidelines 2.B.6.a, with amendments, and Comment 2.B.601, with amendments, to be under Guidelines 2.B.3; and deletes the remainder of Guidelines 2.B.6, with associated commentary.

- 4. Eliminate prior juvenile adjudications.** The Commission proposes to eliminate juvenile points from the criminal history score. Convictions resulting from extended-jurisdiction juvenile (EJJ) or adult-certification proceedings will continue to count among the adult felony points. This proposal deletes Guidelines 2.B.4 (prior juvenile adjudications) and associated commentary; and amends Guidelines 2.B and 2.B.5.e, and Comment 2.B.308.

B. Changes to offense severity. As a result of its comprehensive review of the Sentencing Guidelines, the Commission proposes to increase the severity level (SL) assigned to several offenses; to replace one sentencing modifier with an increased SL ranking; and to decrease the SL assigned to one offense. Each proposal amends Guidelines 5.A (offense severity reference table) and 5.B (severity level by statutory citation); other amendments are as stated.

- 1. Increase ranking from SL 6 to SL 7:** Assault 2nd Degree (Dangerous Weapon, Substantial Bodily Harm). This proposal amends Guidelines 4.A (Sentencing Guidelines grid).
- 2. Increase ranking from SL 5 to SL 6:** Criminal Vehicular Operation (Great Bodily Harm; Gross Negligence or While Impaired). This proposal amends Appendix 3 (presumptive sentence durations that exceed the statutory maximum sentence reference table).
- 3. Increase ranking from SL 4 to SL 5:**
 - a.** Assault 3rd Degree (Substantial Bodily Harm). This proposal amends Guidelines 4.A.
 - b.** Domestic Assault by Strangulation. This proposal amends Appendix 3.
- 4. Increase ranking from SL 1 to SL 3:** Assault 4th Degree. This proposal amends Guidelines 4.A and Appendix 3.
- 5. Replace a sentencing modifier with an increased ranking (from SL 8 to SL 9):** Criminal Vehicular Homicide (Qualified Prior Conviction). This proposal deletes Guidelines 2.G.11 (convictions for attempts, conspiracies, and other sentence modifiers).

6. Reduce ranking from SL 9 to SL 8: Assault 1st Degree (Great Bodily Harm). This proposal amends Guidelines 4.A and Appendix 3.

C. Other changes. In addition to changes to criminal history score calculation and offense severity, the Commission, as a result of its comprehensive review of the Sentencing Guidelines, also proposes to make the following changes.

- 1. Clarifying the Guidelines’ purpose and principles.** The Commission proposes to make several changes to its statement of purpose and principles. The changes include defining what is meant by “public safety”; an explanation of proportionate sentence severity; and a discussion of the purpose of the criminal history score. This proposal amends Guidelines 1.A (statement of purpose and principles).
- 2. Clarifying the burden of proving out-of-state offenses.** The Commission proposes to clarify that the burden to prove whether or how to count out-of-state offenses in criminal history is the prosecutor’s, not the probation officer’s. This proposal amends Guidelines 2.B.5.a (convictions from jurisdictions other than Minnesota; in general) and comments 2.B.501 and 2.B.502.
- 3. Displaying ranges in shaded cells and revising example offenses.** The Commission proposes to display the full range in all grid cells. The Commission also proposes to revise the example offenses on the grids to be more representative of the offenses sentenced at that severity level. This proposal amends Guidelines 2.C.1.b (presumptive duration); 4.A (Sentencing Guidelines grid); 4.B (sex offender grid); 4.C (drug offender grid).
- 4. True first offender departure factor.** The Commission proposes an addition to the nonexclusive list of mitigating factors that judges may use when articulating substantial and compelling reasons for departing from the Sentencing Guidelines’ presumptive sentence. This proposal adds new Guidelines 2.D.3.a(10) (factors that may be used as reasons for departure).

Key to proposed modifications:

- Added text is underlined.
- Deleted text is ~~stricken~~.
- Moved text is ~~double-underlined~~.
- Removed text is ~~double-stricken~~.
- Deleted text within moved text is ~~double-underlined and stricken~~.

Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines and Commentary

1. Purpose and Definitions

A. Statement of Purpose and Principles

The purpose of the Sentencing Guidelines is to establish rational and consistent sentencing standards that promote public safety, reduce sentencing disparity, and ensure that the sanctions imposed for felony convictions are proportional to the severity of the conviction offense and the ~~offender's~~ criminal history of the person being sentenced.

The Sentencing Guidelines shall embody the following principles:

1. In establishing and modifying the Sentencing Guidelines, the Commission's primary consideration shall be public safety. ~~This shall include, including~~ consideration of the long-term negative impact of the crime on the community. Minn. Stat. § 244.09, subd. 5. Public safety is furthered by sentences that work to reduce future crimes and victimizations through means such as rehabilitation, deterrence, incapacitation, and effective community supervision. In some cases, it is furthered by reasonable caution in the choice of sanctions that could hinder reintegration into the law-abiding community.
2. Sentencing should be neutral with respect to the race, gender, social, or economic status of those convicted ~~felons of felonies~~.
3. The severity of the sanction should increase in direct proportion to an increase in offense severity or ~~the convicted felon's~~ criminal history, or both. This promotes a rational and consistent sentencing policy. Proportionate sentence severity is

measured against the blameworthiness of the person being sentenced and the harms done, or risked, to victims and the community in the current offense.

4. The criminal history score advances the Guidelines' goals of public safety and proportionality. The score reflects policy judgments that prior convictions are an important indicator of a person's risk of recidivism, and that they may add to the blameworthiness of the commission of the current offense. The criminal history score is not meant to impose cumulative penalties for prior offenses that have previously been punished.

4.5. Commitment to the Commissioner of Corrections is the most severe sanction that can be imposed for a felony conviction, but it is not the only significant sanction available to the court.

5.6. Because state and local correctional facility capacity is finite, confinement should be imposed only for offenders who are those convicted of more serious offenses or who have longer criminal histories. To ensure such usage of finite resources, sanctions used in sentencing those convicted felons of felonies should be the least restrictive necessary to achieve the purposes of the sentence.

6.7. Although the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory to the court, the presumptive sentences are deemed appropriate for the felonies covered by them. Therefore, departures from the presumptive sentences established in the Sentencing Guidelines should be made only when substantial and compelling circumstances can be identified and articulated.

* * *

2. Determining Presumptive Sentences

* * *

B. Criminal History

The horizontal axis on the Sentencing Guidelines Grids is the criminal history score. An offender's criminal history score is the sum of points from eligible:

- prior felonies; ~~and~~
- ~~custody status at the time of the offense;~~
- prior misdemeanors and gross misdemeanors; ~~and~~
- ~~prior juvenile adjudications.~~

This section details the requirements for calculating the criminal history points in each of these areas. This section also details the requirements for calculating criminal history points for convictions from jurisdictions other than Minnesota and convictions for enhanced felonies.

* * *

1. Prior Felonies. Assign a particular weight, as set forth in paragraphs a and b, to each extended jurisdiction juvenile (EJJ) conviction and each felony conviction, provided that a felony sentence was stayed or imposed before the current sentencing or a stay of imposition of sentence was given before the current sentencing.

* * *

- c. Felony Decay Factor. In computing the criminal history score, a prior felony sentence or stay of imposition following a felony conviction must not be used if all the following, to the extent applicable, occurred before the date of the current offense:

- (1) the prior felony sentence or stay of imposition expired or was discharged;
- (2) a period of ~~fifteen~~-ten years elapsed after the date of the initial sentence following the prior conviction; and
- (3) if the prior felony sentence was executed, a period of ~~fifteen~~-ten years elapsed after the date of expiration of the sentence.

* * *

Comment

* * *

2.B.113. *The Commission established a “decay factor” for the consideration of prior felony offenses in computing criminal history scores. The Commission decided it was important to consider not just the total number of felony sentences and stays of imposition, but also the age of the sentences and stays of imposition. The Commission decided that the presence of old felony sentences and stays of imposition should not be considered in computing criminal history scores after a significant period of time has elapsed. A prior felony sentence or stay of imposition will not be counted in criminal history score computation if ~~fifteen~~ten years has elapsed from the prior sentencing date (or from the date the prison sentence, if executed, expired) to the date of the current offense, provided the offender was then no longer on supervision for the prior sentence. If the offender received a stay of imposition for the prior offense, that sentencing date marks “the date of the initial sentence,” even if a stay of execution subsequently occurred as the result of, e.g., a probation violation. While this procedure does not include a measure of the offender’s subsequent criminality, it has the overriding advantage of accurate and simple application, while also ensuring that prison offenses do not decay before probation offenses.*

* * *

2. Custody Status at the Time of the Offense.[Reserved.]

a. One or One-Half Custody Status Point. Assign ~~one~~ custody status point when the conditions in paragraphs (1), (2), and (3)(ii) or (iii) are met. In all other cases when the conditions in paragraphs (1) through (3) are met, assign **one-half** custody status point:

(1) The offender was under one of the following custody statuses at the time the current offense was committed:

- (i) probation;
- (ii) parole;
- (iii) supervised release;

- ~~(iv) conditional release following release from an executed prison sentence (see conditional release terms listed in section 2.E.3);~~
- ~~(v) release pending sentencing;~~
- ~~(vi) confinement in a jail, workhouse, or prison pending or after sentencing; or~~
- ~~(vii) escape from confinement following an executed sentence.~~

~~(2) The offender was under one of the custody statuses in paragraph (1) after entry of a guilty plea, guilty verdict, or conviction.~~

~~(3) The offender was under one of the custody statuses in paragraph (1) for one of the following:~~

- ~~(i) a felony currently assigned a severity level ranking, on the Offense Severity Reference Table, of 1 or 2 on the Standard Grid or D1 or D2 on the Drug Offender Grid, a felony from a jurisdiction other than Minnesota equivalent to an offense currently ranked at one of those severity levels, or an extended jurisdiction juvenile (EJJ) conviction for an offense currently ranked at one of those severity levels;~~
- ~~(ii) any other felony;~~
- ~~(iii) any other EJJ conviction;~~
- ~~(iv) a non-traffic gross misdemeanor;~~
- ~~(v) gross misdemeanor driving while impaired, refusal to submit to a chemical test, or reckless driving; or~~
- ~~(vi) a targeted misdemeanor.~~

~~(4) Assigning Points to Offenses Committed Over Time. Assign one or one-half custody status point when the offender meets the conditions in paragraphs (1) through (3) and the offender was placed under one of the custody statuses in paragraph (1) at any point in time during which the offense occurred when:~~

- ~~(i) multiple offenses are an element of the conviction offense; or~~
- ~~(ii) the conviction offense is an aggregated offense.~~

~~b. Two Custody Status Points. Assign **two** custody status points if:~~

~~(1) the current conviction offense is an offense on the Sex Offender Grid other than Failure to Register as a Predatory Offender (Minn. Stat. § 243.166); and~~

~~(2) the offender qualifies for one custody status point, as described in section a, above, for an offense currently found on the Sex Offender Grid other than Failure to Register as a Predatory Offender (Minn. Stat. § 243.166).~~

~~c. Additional Duration. An **additional three months** must be added to the duration of the appropriate cell time, which then becomes the presumptive duration, when:~~

~~(1) at least one-half custody status point is assigned; and~~

~~(2) the offender's total Criminal History Score exceeds the maximum score on the applicable Grid (i.e., 7 or more).~~

~~Three months must also be added to the lower and upper end of the range provided in the appropriate cell on the applicable Grid.~~

~~If the current conviction is an attempt, conspiracy, or other offense with a sentence modifier that reduces the presumptive sentence, the three months must be added to the cell duration before the duration is reduced as outlined in section 2.G. The presumptive duration, however, cannot be less than one year.~~

~~d. No Custody Status Points Assigned. The offender must not be assigned custody status points when:~~

~~(1) The offender was committed for treatment or examination under Minn. R. Crim. P. 20.~~

~~(2) The offender was on juvenile custody status other than for an extended jurisdiction juvenile (EJJ) conviction, at the time the adult felony was committed.~~

~~(3) The offender was on custody status for a misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor DWI committed when the offender was 16 or 17 years old, and the DWI was processed in adult court under Minn. Stat. § 260B.225, subds. 3 and 8.~~

~~e. Waiver. Subject to the limitations in paragraph (4) below, the court, on its own motion or on the motion of a party, may, but is not required to, waive assignment of a custody status point or half-point pursuant to section 2.B.2, provided the offender establishes that granting a waiver is consistent with public safety. Specifically, the court has the discretion, but is not required, to grant a waiver if the offender establishes that waiver is consistent with public safety and promotes the traditional purposes of sentencing which are retribution, incapacitation, deterrence, restitution, and rehabilitation. See Minn. Stat. § 244.09. In considering rehabilitation, the court may examine the following:~~

~~(1) Whether the offender has consistently utilized available probation services, such as drug, alcohol, and psychological treatment services, and has otherwise been in substantial compliance with the conditions of probation, parole, or conditional or supervised release, apart from the commission of the current offense, for the past twelve months;~~

~~(2) Whether the current offense represents an escalation of criminal activity; and~~

~~(3) Whether the offender has made any progress toward rehabilitation and reentry into society, such as additional education and/or vocational training.~~

~~(4) The court may not, however, waive assignment of a custody status point or half-point if either the current offense or a custody status offense is any of the following offenses, including an equivalent felony offense from a jurisdiction other than Minnesota. As used within this paragraph, "custody status offense" means a prior offense resulting in a custody status that caused the offender to qualify for a custody status point as described in section a, above.~~

- ~~(i) an offense currently assigned a severity level ranking, on the Offense Severity Reference Table, of 8, 9, 10, or 11 on the Standard Grid;~~
- ~~(ii) an offense on the Sex Offender Grid other than Failure to Register as a Predatory Offender (Minn. Stat. § 243.166);~~
- ~~(iii) an offense currently assigned a severity level ranking, on the Offense Severity Reference Table, of D8 or D9 on the Drug Offender Grid;~~
- ~~(iv) an offense listed in section 8, Severe Violent Offense List;~~
- ~~(v) Fleeing Peace Officer (Great Bodily Harm) (Minn. Stat. § 609.487, subd. 4(b)); or~~
- ~~(vi) an attempt or conspiracy to commit one of these offenses.~~

Comment

~~**2.B.201.** The basic rule assigns offenders one or one-half point if they were under some form of eligible criminal justice custody status when they committed the offense for which they are now being sentenced.~~

~~**2.B.202.** The Commission intended to avoid criminal history scores in which a prior offense's custody status point outweighed the criminal history of the prior offense itself. Accordingly, when the criminal history weight of a prior felony is one-half point (but excluding severity level H or I offenses; see generally section 2.B.1) or the prior gross misdemeanor or misdemeanor contributes one or two misdemeanor units (see section 2.B.3), the custody status from that prior offense results in one-half, rather than one, custody status point.~~

~~**2.B.203.** In determining whether to grant a waiver in a particular case, the primary consideration is public safety. In this context, public safety means protecting the public from crime. The court should consider the values of retribution, incapacitation, deterrence, restitution and rehabilitation. In doing so, the court should apply a balanced approach in which all five values are examined and applied. For rehabilitation, the court may also consider the three factors listed in section 2.B.2.e in order to examine the whole person. When custody status is waived, the presumptive sentence will be calculated without the addition of the waived custody status point, or half-point, in the criminal history score. Thus, provided the processes of section 2.B.2.e are followed, granting a waiver of custody status for the current offense does not, in itself, constitute a departure from the Sentencing Guidelines.~~

~~**2.B.204.** Commitments under Minn. R. Crim. P. 20, and juvenile custody status are not included because, in those situations, there has been no conviction. However, a custody point will be~~

~~assigned if the offender committed the current offense while under some form of custody following an extended jurisdiction juvenile (EJJ) conviction.~~

~~**2.B.205.** The custodial statuses covered by this policy are those occurring after conviction of a felony, non-traffic gross misdemeanor, gross misdemeanor driving while impaired or refusal to submit to a chemical test, gross misdemeanor reckless driving, or misdemeanor on the targeted misdemeanor list provided in Minn. Stat. § 299C.10, subd. 1(e). Thus, an offender who commits a new felony while on pre-trial diversion or pre-trial release on another charge does not get a custody status point. Likewise, offenders serving a misdemeanor sentence for an offense not on the targeted misdemeanor list provided in Minn. Stat. § 299C.10, subd. 1(e), do not receive a custody status point, even if the court imposed the misdemeanor sentence upon conviction of a gross misdemeanor or felony.~~

~~**2.B.206.** As a general rule, the Commission excludes traffic offenses from consideration in computing the criminal history score. Given the increased penalties associated with driving while impaired (DWI) offenses and the serious impact on public safety, the Commission determined that these offenses should be considered for custody status points in the same manner as non-traffic offenses.~~

~~**2.B.207.** The most problematic consequence of a Criminal History Score of 7 or more (in excess of the maximum points differentiated by the Sentencing Guidelines Grids) is that no additional penalty accrues for engaging in felonious behavior while under custody supervision. For example, if an offender has a Criminal History Score of 7 and is released pending sentencing for a Severity Level 3 offense, and he or she commits another Severity Level 3 offense while awaiting sentencing, the presumptive sentence for the most recent offense is the same as for the prior offense. A presumption exists against consecutive sentences for most property offenses, and therefore no additional penalty results when this situation occurs. The addition of three months to the cell duration provides a uniform presumptive standard for dealing with this situation.~~

~~**2.B.208.** While the Commission believes that the impact of the custody status provision should be maintained for all cases, incrementing the sanction for each criminal history point above seven is deemed inappropriate. The primary determinant of the sentence is the seriousness of the current conviction offense. Criminal history is of secondary importance, and the Commission believes that proportionality in sentencing is served sufficiently with the criminal history differentiations incorporated in the Sentencing Guidelines Grids and with the special provision for maintaining the~~

~~impact of the custody status provision. The Commission deems further differentiation unnecessary to achieve proportionality in sentencing.~~

~~**2.B.209.** The Commission believes that when multiple offenses are an element of the conviction offense or the conviction offense is an aggregated offense, offenders should receive a custody status point if they become subject to one of the custody status types listed in 2.B.2.a(1) at any point during the time period in which the offenses occurred. While the Commission recognizes that its policy for determining the presumptive sentence states that for aggregated offenses, the earliest offense date determines the date of offense, it believes that eligibility for a custody status point should not be limited to the offender's status at the time of the earliest date of offense.~~

~~**2.B.210.** When offenders on any custody status condition listed in section 2.B.2.b for a sex offense commit another sex offense, they are assigned an additional custody status point. The Commission believes that offenders who commit a subsequent sex offense pose so significant a risk to public safety that their criminal history scores should be enhanced to reflect this risk. This policy does not apply to the offense of Failure to Register as a Predatory Offender (Minn. Stat. § 243.166).~~

~~**2.B.211.** Assign a custody status point to an offender on any custody status type who absconds and commits a new felony offense. The custody status type depends on the form of supervision that exists when the offender commits a new offense. For example, assign a custody status point to an offender who absconds from supervised release and commits a new felony offense. The custody status type would be "supervised release."~~

3. Prior Gross Misdemeanors and Misdemeanors. Prior gross misdemeanor and misdemeanor convictions count as units comprising criminal history points. Four units equal one criminal history point; give no partial point for fewer than four units. Determine units as specified in this section.

- a. General Assignment of Units. ~~Except as provided in paragraph g, assign~~ Assign the offender one unit for each prior conviction of the following offenses provided the offender received a stayed or imposed sentence or stay of imposition for the conviction before the current sentencing:

- (1) targeted misdemeanor, as defined in Minn. Stat. § 299C.10, subd. 1(e);

- (2) non-traffic gross misdemeanor;
 - (3) gross misdemeanor driving while impaired;
 - (4) gross misdemeanor refusal to submit to a chemical test;
 - (5) gross misdemeanor reckless driving;
 - (6) a felony conviction resulting in a misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor sentence.
- b. Gross Misdemeanors Sentenced as Misdemeanors. A gross misdemeanor conviction resulting in a misdemeanor sentence for an offense not defined as a targeted misdemeanor under Minn. Stat. § 299C.10, subd. 1(e) must **not** be used to compute units.
 - c. Single Course of Conduct / Multiple Sentences. When multiple sentences for a single course of conduct were imposed under Minn. Stat. §§ 152.137, 609.585, or 609.251, the offender must not be assigned more than one unit.
 - d. Single Course of Conduct / Multiple Victims. When multiple offenses arising from a single course of conduct involving multiple victims were sentenced, assign only the two most severe offenses units in criminal history.
 - e. Decay Factor. A prior misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor sentence or stay of imposition following a misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor conviction must **not** be used in computing the criminal history score if ~~ten~~-seven years have elapsed between the date of the initial sentence following the prior conviction and the date of the current offense. However, misdemeanor sentences that result from the successful completion of a stay of imposition for a felony conviction are subject to the felony decay factor in section 2.B.1.c.

- f. Maximum Assignment of Points. ~~Except as provided in paragraph g, an~~ An offender cannot receive more than one point for prior misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor convictions.

~~g. Assignment of Units for Criminal Vehicular Homicide or Operation or Felony Driving While Impaired (DWI). If the current conviction is for criminal vehicular homicide or operation or felony DWI, assign previous violations of Minn. Stat. §§ 169A.20, 169A.31, 169.121, 169.1211, 169.129, 360.0752, 609.2112, 609.2113, or 609.2114 two units each. There is no limit to the total number of misdemeanor points that can be included in the offender's criminal history score due to criminal vehicular homicide or operation or DWI offenses. For DWI offenses, see section 2.B.6 for exceptions to this policy relating to predicate offenses used for enhancement purposes. For Criminal Vehicular Homicide (Death or Death to an Unborn Child, and Qualified Prior Conviction), assign no misdemeanor units to the qualified prior driving offense that was used to increase the statutory maximum penalty.~~

~~a.g. Enhanced Felonies. When the current offense is a felony solely because the offender has previous convictions for misdemeanor and gross misdemeanor offenses, do not assign units for the prior misdemeanor conviction(s) on the targeted misdemeanor list provided in Minn. Stat. § 299C.10, subd. 1(e) or gross misdemeanor conviction(s) upon which the enhancement is based may be used in determining custody status, but cannot be used in calculating the remaining components of the offender's criminal history score.~~

- h. Prior Misdemeanor or Gross Misdemeanor Driving While Impaired (DWI) Committed by Juvenile Offenders. Assign no units under this section if the offender was 16 or 17 years old when the prior misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor DWI was committed, and the DWI was processed in adult court under Minn. Stat. § 260B.225, subds. 3 and 8.

Comment

* * *

~~**2.B.304.** The Commission believes that offenders whose current conviction is for criminal vehicular homicide or operation or first-degree (felony) driving while impaired, and who have prior violations under Minn. Stat. §§ 169A.20, 169A.31, 169.121, 169.1211, 169.129, 360.0752, 609.2112, 609.2113, or 609.2114 are also more culpable, and for these offenders there is no limit to the total number of misdemeanor points included in the criminal history score due to DWI or criminal vehicular homicide or operation (CVO) violations. To determine the total number of misdemeanor points under these circumstances, first add together any non DWI/CVO misdemeanor units. If there are less than four units, add in any DWI/CVO units. Four or more units would equal one point. Only DWI/CVO units can be used in calculating additional points. Each set of four DWI/CVO units would equal an additional point. For example, if an offender had two theft units and six DWI/CVO units, the theft would be added to the two DWI/CVO units to equal one point. The remaining four DWI/CVO units would equal a second point. In a second example, if an offender had six theft units and six DWI/CVO units, the first four theft units would equal one point. Four of the DWI/CVO units would equal a second point. The remaining two theft units could not be added to the remaining two DWI/CVO units for a third point. The total misdemeanor score would be two.~~

~~When the current offense is a conviction under Minn. Stat. § 609.2112, subd. 1(b) (Death, and Qualified Prior Conviction), or § 609.2114, subd. 1(b) (Death to an Unborn Child, and Qualified Prior Conviction), the Commission excluded consideration of the qualified prior driving offense, if a misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor, from the criminal history score because, by virtue of the conviction offense, the qualified prior conviction has been accounted for in the enhanced penalty.~~

~~**2.B.601-2.B.304.** A number of instances exist in Minnesota law in which misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor behavior carries a felony penalty as a result of the offender's prior record. The Commission decided that in the interest of fairness, a prior misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor offense that elevated the misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor behavior to a felony should not also be used in criminal history points other than custody status. Only one prior offense should be excluded from the criminal history score calculation, unless more than one prior was required for the offense to be elevated to a felony. For example, Assault in the Fifth Degree is a felony if the offender has two or more convictions for assaultive behavior. In those cases, the two related priors at the lowest level should be excluded. Similarly, theft crimes of more than \$500 but less than \$1,000 are felonies if the offender has at least one previous conviction for an offense specified in that statute. In those cases, the prior related offense at the lowest level should be excluded.~~

* * *

2.B.306. *The Commission also adopted a “decay” factor for prior misdemeanor and gross misdemeanor offenses for the same reasons articulated for felony offenses; however, given that these offenses are less serious, the decay period is ~~10~~seven years rather than ~~15~~ten.*

* * *

2.B.308. *When multiple misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor sentences arose out of a single course of conduct in which there were multiple victims, consideration should be given only for the two most severe offenses for purposes of computing criminal history. These are the same policies that apply to felony convictions~~s~~ and juvenile adjudications.*

4. ~~Prior Juvenile Adjudications. [Reserved.]~~

~~a. Assignment of Points for Juvenile Adjudications. Assign an offender one point for every two adjudications for felony offenses the offender committed, and for which the offender was prosecuted as a juvenile, provided that:~~

~~(1) each adjudication must have been for a separate offense or must have involved separate victims in a single course of conduct, except as provided in paragraphs c and d below; and~~

~~(2) the juvenile adjudications must have been for offenses committed after the offender’s fourteenth birthday; and~~

~~(3) the offender was under the age of twenty-five when the offender committed the current felony.~~

~~b. Maximum Points for Juvenile Adjudications. An offender may receive only **one point** for juvenile adjudications as described in this section, except that the point limit does not apply to juvenile adjudications for offenses for which the Sentencing Guidelines would presume imprisonment if the offenses had been committed by an adult. Make this determination regardless of the criminal history score, and include offenses that carry a mandatory minimum prison sentence and other presumptive imprisonment offenses described in section 2.C.~~

- c. ~~Single Course of Conduct / Multiple Sentences.~~ When multiple adjudications for a single course of conduct were imposed under Minn. Stat. §§ 152.137, 609.585, or 609.251, only one offense may be used in the criminal history calculation.
- d. ~~Single Course of Conduct / Multiple Victims.~~ When the prior adjudications involve multiple offenses arising from a single course of conduct involving multiple victims, include only the two most severe offenses in criminal history.

Comment

~~**2.B.401.** Juvenile history is included in the criminal history score to identify those young adult felons whose criminal careers were preceded by repeated felony-type offenses committed as a juvenile. The Commission held several public hearings devoted to the issue of using juvenile records in the criminal history score. Those hearings pointed out differences in legal procedures and safeguards between adult and juvenile courts, differing availability of juvenile records, and differing procedures among juvenile courts. As a result of these issues, the Commission decided to establish rigorous standards regulating the consideration of juvenile records in computing the criminal history score.~~

~~**2.B.402.** Only juvenile adjudications for offenses that are felonies under Minnesota law will be considered in computing the criminal history score. Status offenses, dependency and neglect proceedings, and misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor-type offenses will be excluded from consideration.~~

~~**2.B.403.** Consistent with Minn. Stat. § 609.035, which provides for a single sentence for adult offenders when multiple convictions arise from a single course of conduct, only juvenile adjudications for offenses arising from separate courses of conduct contribute to the juvenile point(s), unless multiple victims were involved.~~

~~**2.B.404.** The juvenile adjudications must result from offenses committed after the offender's fourteenth birthday. The Commission chose the date of the offense rather than the date of adjudication to eliminate variability in application based on differing juvenile court practices.~~

~~**2.B.405.** Juvenile adjudications will be considered in computing the criminal history score only for adult offenders who had not attained the age of 25 when they committed the felony for which they are now being sentenced. Again, the Commission chose to examine the age of the offender at the~~

~~time of the offense rather than at time of sentencing to prevent disparities resulting from system processing variations.~~

~~**2.B.406.** The Commission decided that it would take two juvenile adjudications to equal 1 point on the criminal history score, and generally, an offender may not receive more than 1 point on the basis of prior juvenile adjudications. This point limit does not apply to offenses committed and prosecuted as a juvenile for which the Guidelines would presume imprisonment, regardless of criminal history, if committed by an adult. This includes offenses in the non-shaded portions of the applicable Grids at a Criminal History Score of 0 (e.g., Severity Level 8 or I), offenses subject to mandatory minimum laws (e.g., Assault in the Second Degree), or any other applicable policies under section 2.C. The criminal history record is not used to determine whether the juvenile offense carries a presumptive imprisonment sentence because of the difficulty in applying criminal history score computations to prior juvenile offenses. Two juvenile adjudications are required for each additional point. Again, no partial points are allowed, so an offender with only one juvenile adjudication meeting the above criteria would receive no point on the criminal history score.~~

~~**2.B.407.** To provide a uniform and equitable method of computing criminal history scores for cases of multiple felony offenses with adjudications arising from a single course of conduct when single victims are involved, and when the adjudications involved provisions of Minn. Stats. §§ 152.137, 609.585 or 609.251, consideration should be given to only the most severe offense with an adjudication when computing criminal history.~~

~~When there are multiple felony offenses with adjudications arising out of a single course of conduct in which there were multiple victims, consideration should be given only to the two most severe felony offenses with adjudications when computing criminal history. These are the same policies that apply to felony, gross misdemeanor and misdemeanor convictions for adults.~~

~~The Commission has carefully considered the application of the Hernandez method to sentencing in provisions of Minnesota law other than Minn. Stats. §§ 152.137, 609.585 and 609.251. The Commission made a deliberate decision not to amend the Sentencing Guidelines. See, *State v. Williams*, 771 N.W.2d 514 (Minn. 2009).~~

5. Convictions from Jurisdictions other than Minnesota.

- a. In General. The state has the burden of proving the facts at sentencing necessary to justify consideration of an out-of-state conviction in the criminal history score.

[and the](#) court must make the final determination as to whether and how a prior non-Minnesota conviction should be counted in the criminal history score. The court should consider, but is not limited to, the factors in paragraphs b through e, below. Sections 2.B.1 through 2.B.7 govern the use of these convictions.

- b. How to Count. Find the equivalent Minnesota offense based on the elements of the prior non-Minnesota offense. The section in which to count the non-Minnesota offense in criminal history depends on:

- whether the offense is defined as a felony, gross misdemeanor, or targeted misdemeanor in Minnesota; **and**
- the sentence imposed.

An offense may be counted as a felony only if it would **both** be defined as a felony in Minnesota, and the offender received a sentence of 366 days or more, which includes the equivalent of a stay of imposition. The offense definitions in effect when the current Minnesota offense was committed govern the designation of non-Minnesota convictions as felonies, gross misdemeanors, or misdemeanors.

- c. Assigning Felony Weights. Section 2.B.1 governs the weight of a prior felony conviction from a jurisdiction other than Minnesota, and must be based on the severity level of the equivalent Minnesota felony offense.
- d. Federal Offenses; No Minnesota Equivalent. Federal felony offenses that received a sentence that in Minnesota would be a felony-level sentence, but for which no comparable Minnesota offense exists, must receive a weight of one in computing the criminal history score.
- e. Juvenile Offenses from other Jurisdictions. Minnesota law governs the inclusion [in the criminal history score](#) of a prior felony offense from ~~jurisdictions-a jurisdiction~~ other than Minnesota committed by an offender who was under 18 years old ~~in the juvenile section or adult section of the criminal history score. The~~

offense should be included in the juvenile history section only if it meets the requirements in section 2.B.4. The prior can be included in the adult history section only if the factfinder determines that it is an offense for which the offender would have been certified to adult court if it had occurred in Minnesota.

Comment

2.B.501. The Commission concluded that convictions from other jurisdictions must, in fairness, be considered in the computation of an offender's criminal history score. Convictions from jurisdictions other than Minnesota include convictions under the laws of any other state, or the federal government, including convictions under the Uniform Code of Military Justice, or convictions under the law of other nations. The prosecutor is solely responsible to prove the facts at sentencing necessary to justify consideration of out-of-state convictions for inclusion in the criminal history score, Williams v. State, 910 N.W.2d 736, 743 (Minn. 2018) (citation omitted), and the sentencing court is responsible for making the determination of whether and how such convictions are to be included.

~~**2.B.502.** The Commission concluded that convictions from other jurisdictions must, in fairness, be considered in the computation of an offender's criminal history score.~~ No uniform nationwide characterization of the terms "felony," "gross misdemeanor," and "misdemeanor" exists. Therefore, the Commission recognizes that criminal conduct may be characterized differently by the various state and federal criminal jurisdictions. Generally, the classification of prior offenses as petty misdemeanors, misdemeanors, gross misdemeanors, or felonies should be determined by current Minnesota offense definitions and sentencing policies, except as provided in section 2.B.7. However, with respect to out-of-state offenses, the Commission chose not to apply Minnesota's 2023 redefinition of "felony," which now defines a felony as including a 365-day sentence. This is consistent with the Commission's policy before 2023 and with Minn. Stat. § 609.0342(b)'s treatment of pre-2023 365-day sentences as gross misdemeanor sentences.

2.B.503. For prior non-Minnesota controlled substance convictions, the amount and type of the controlled substance should be considered in the determination of the appropriate weight to be assigned to a prior felony sentence for a controlled substance offense.

2.B.504. A non-Minnesota conviction committed by a juvenile can only be included in the adult section of the criminal history score if the offender would have been certified as an adult under Minnesota law. See *State v. Marquetti*, 322 N.W.2d 316 (Minn. 1982).

6. Felony Enhancement Due to Prior Misdemeanor or Gross Misdemeanor Convictions.
[Reserved.]

- ~~a. Enhanced Felonies. When the current offense is a felony solely because the offender has previous convictions for misdemeanor and gross misdemeanor offenses, the prior misdemeanor conviction(s) on the targeted misdemeanor list provided in Minn. Stat. § 299C.10, subd. 1(e) or gross misdemeanor conviction(s) upon which the enhancement is based may be used in determining custody status, but cannot be used in calculating the remaining components of the offender's criminal history score.~~
- b. Counting Prior Misdemeanors and Gross Misdemeanors; Future Felony. Except as provide in paragraph c, misdemeanor and gross misdemeanor offenses used to enhance the current offense must be used in calculating the offender's criminal history score on future offenses that are not enhanced felonies. Prior felony offenses used for enhancement must always be used in calculating the offender's criminal history score.
- c. Counting Prior Misdemeanors and Gross Misdemeanors; Felony Driving While Impaired (DWI). If the current offense is a felony DWI offense and the offender has a prior felony DWI offense, the prior felony DWI must be used in computing the criminal history score. The prior misdemeanor and gross misdemeanor offenses used to enhance the first prior felony DWI cannot be used in the offender's criminal history. Any other misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor DWI offenses may be included as provided in section 2.B.3.g.

Comment

~~**2.B.601.** A number of instances exist in Minnesota law in which misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor behavior carries a felony penalty as a result of the offender's prior record. The Commission decided that in the interest of fairness, a prior misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor offense that elevated the misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor behavior to a felony should not also be used in criminal history points other than custody status. Only one prior offense should be excluded from the criminal history score calculation, unless more than one prior was required for the offense to be elevated to a felony. For example, Assault in the Fifth Degree is a felony if the~~

~~offender has two or more convictions for assaultive behavior. In those cases, the two related priors at the lowest level should be excluded. Similarly, theft crimes of more than \$500 but less than \$1,000 are felonies if the offender has at least one previous conviction for an offense specified in that statute. In those cases, the prior related offense at the lowest level should be excluded.~~

~~**2.B.602.** A first-time first-degree (felony) driving while impaired (DWI) offense involves a DWI violation within ten years of the first of three or more prior impaired driving incidents. Because the DWI priors elevated this offense to the felony level, they should be excluded from the criminal history score. Those predicate misdemeanor and gross misdemeanor offenses should also be excluded for a subsequent felony DWI, but any prior felony DWI would be counted as part of the felony criminal history score.~~

* * *

C. Presumptive Sentence

1. Finding the Presumptive Sentence. The presumptive sentence for a felony conviction is found in the appropriate cell on the applicable Grid located at the intersection of the criminal history score (horizontal axis) and the severity level (vertical axis). The conviction offense determines the severity level. The offender's criminal history score is computed according to section 2.B above.
 - a. Presumptive Disposition. For cases contained in cells outside of the shaded areas, the sentence should be executed. For cases contained in cells within the shaded areas, the sentence should be stayed unless the conviction offense carries a mandatory minimum sentence. Section 3.A governs conditions of stayed sentences.
 - b. Presumptive Duration. Each cell on the Grids provides a fixed sentence duration. If a cell, or other Guidelines policy, provides a fixed sentence duration of 12 months, a sentence duration of one year and one day is permissible without departure. Minn. Stat. § 244.09 requires that the Guidelines provide a range for sentences that are presumptive commitments. ~~For cells above the solid line, the~~ The Guidelines provide both a fixed presumptive duration and a range of time for

that sentence except as provided in section 2.C.3.c(1). ~~The shaded areas of the grids do not display ranges. If the duration for a sentence that is a presumptive commitment is found in a shaded area, the standard range—15 percent lower and 20 percent higher than the fixed duration displayed—is permissible without departure, provided that the minimum sentence is not less than one year, and the maximum sentence is not more than the statutory maximum.~~

2-c. Presumptive Sentence Durations that Exceed the Statutory Maximum Sentence.

If the presumptive sentence duration in the appropriate cell on the applicable Grid exceeds the statutory maximum sentence for the conviction offense, the statutory maximum is the presumptive sentence. See Presumptive Sentence Durations that Exceed the Statutory Maximum Sentence Table in Appendix 3.

2. Custody Status at the Time of the Offense.

a. Definitions. As used in this section (2.C.2), the following terms have the meanings given:

(1) "Custody status" means a qualifying status that:

- (i) followed entry of guilty plea, guilty verdict, or conviction for a qualifying offense, and
- (ii) was in effect at any time when the person being sentenced committed the current offense.

(2) "Qualifying status" means any of the following:

- (i) probation;
- (ii) parole;
- (iii) supervised release;
- (iv) conditional release following release from an executed prison sentence;
- (v) release pending sentencing;
- (vi) confinement in a jail, workhouse, or prison pending or after sentencing; and

(vii) escape from confinement following an executed sentence.

(3) "Qualifying offense" means:

(i) a felony offense assigned a severity level of 3 or greater on the Standard Grid, a felony offense assigned a severity level of D3 or greater on the Drug Offender Grid, or a felony offense on the Sex Offender Grid; or

(ii) a felony offense equivalent, within the meaning of section 2.B.5, to an offense described in clause (i).

(4) "Sex offense" means:

(i) a felony offense on the Sex Offender Grid other than failure to register as a predatory offender, Minn. Stat. § 243.166; or

(ii) a felony offense equivalent, with the meaning of section 2.B.5, to an offense described in clause (i).

b. Durational Increase. If the person being sentenced was, at the time of the current offense, under a custody status for a qualifying offense, then the presumptive duration is increased by the amount shown in the custody status column pertaining to the Grid row for the current offense.

c. Special Durational Increase for Sex Offenses With Sex-Offense Custody Status. Notwithstanding paragraph b, if the current offense is a sex offense and the person being sentenced was, at the time of the current offense, under a custody status for a felony sex offense, then the presumptive duration is increased by double the amount shown in the custody status column of the Sex Offender Grid pertaining to the Grid row for the current offense.

d. Ranges; Statutory Maximum. Any change to the presumptive fixed sentence under paragraph b or c must also be applied to the upper and lower ends of the range found in the appropriate cell on the applicable Grid. Neither the presumptive fixed sentence nor a range may exceed the statutory maximum sentence for the current offense.

e. Exceptions.

(1) This section (2.C.2) does not apply to either of the following forms of custody status:

(i) commitment for treatment or examination under Minn. R. Crim. P. 20;

or

(ii) juvenile custody status other than for an EJJ conviction.

(2) A prior felony conviction that resulted in a non-felony sentence (misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor) is not a qualifying offense or a sex offense within the meaning of this section (2.C.2).

(3) This section (2.C.2) does not apply to a presumptive or permissive consecutive sentence pursuant to section 2.F.

* * *

D. Departures from the Guidelines

* * *

3. Factors that may be used as Reasons for Departure. The following is a nonexclusive list of factors that may be used as reasons for departure:

a. Mitigating Factors.

(1) The victim was an aggressor in the incident.

(2) The offender played a minor or passive role in the crime or participated under circumstances of coercion or duress.

(3) The offender, because of physical or mental impairment, lacked substantial capacity for judgment when the offense was committed. The voluntary use of intoxicants (drugs or alcohol) does not fall within the purview of this factor.

- (4) The offender's presumptive sentence is a commitment but not a mandatory minimum sentence, and either of the following exist:
- (a) The current conviction offense is at Severity Level 1 or Severity Level 2 and the offender received all of his or her prior felony sentences during fewer than three separate court appearances; or
 - (b) The current conviction offense is at Severity Level 3 or Severity Level 4 and the offender received all of his or her prior felony sentences during one court appearance.
- (5) Other substantial grounds exist that tend to excuse or mitigate the offender's culpability, although not amounting to a defense.
- (6) The court is ordering an alternative placement under Minn. Stat. § 609.1055 for an offender with a serious and persistent mental illness.
- (7) The offender is particularly amenable to probation. This factor may, but need not, be supported by the fact that the offender is particularly amenable to a relevant program of individualized treatment in a probationary setting.
- (8) In the case of a controlled substance offense conviction, the offender is found by the district court to be particularly amenable to probation based on adequate evidence that the offender is chemically dependent and has been accepted by, and can respond to, a treatment program in accordance with Minn. Stat. § 152.152.
- (9) In the case of a qualifying United States military service member or veteran, the offender is found by the district court to meet the criteria for particular amenability to probation found in Minn. Stat. § 609.1056, subd. 4.
- (10) The person being sentenced has no prior criminal conviction or stay of adjudication. A criminal history score of zero is not sufficient to qualify for this factor. This factor is not available if the current offense is ranked at severity level 10 or 11 on the Standard Grid or is on the Sex Offender Grid.

* * *

G. Convictions for Attempts, Conspiracies, and Other Sentence Modifiers

* * *

11. Criminal Vehicular Homicide (Death or Death to an Unborn Child, and Qualified Prior Conviction). When an offender is sentenced for a criminal vehicular homicide under Minn. Stat. § 609.2112, subd. 1(b) (death, qualified prior conviction), or 609.2114, subd. 1(b) (death to an unborn child, qualified prior conviction), the presumptive duration found in the appropriate cell on the Standard Grid for the offense must be increased by fifty percent.[Reserved.]
12. Attempt or Conspiracy to Commit First-Degree Murder. When an offender is sentenced for attempt or conspiracy to commit murder in the first degree under Minn. Stat. § 609.185 or murder of an unborn child in the first degree under Minn. Stat. § 609.2661, the presumptive disposition is commitment. The presumptive durations are as follows:

SEVERITY LEVEL OF CONVICTION OFFENSE	CRIMINAL HISTORY SCORE							Custody Status
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6 or more	
<u>Conspiracy / Attempted or conspiracy to commit Murder, 1st Degree</u>	180 153-216	190 162-228	200 170-240	210 179-240 ¹	220 187-240 ¹	230 196-240 ¹	240 204-240 ¹	<u>+10</u>

¹ Minn. Stat. § 244.09 requires that the Guidelines provide a range for sentences that are presumptive commitment to state imprisonment of 15% lower and 20% higher than the fixed duration displayed, provided that the minimum sentence is not less than one year and the maximum sentence is not more than the statutory maximum. See section 2.C.1-2.

* * *

4.A. Sentencing Guidelines Grid

Presumptive sentence lengths are in months. Italicized numbers within the grid denote the discretionary range within which a court may sentence without the sentence being deemed a departure. Offenders with stayed felony sentences may be subject to local confinement.

SEVERITY LEVEL OF CONVICTION OFFENSE (Example offenses listed in italics)		CRIMINAL HISTORY SCORE							Custody Status
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6 or more	
<i>Murder, 2nd Degree (Intentional; Drive-by Shootings)</i>	11	306 261-367	326 278-391	346 295-415	366 312-439	386 329-463	406 346-480 ¹	426 363-480 ¹	+20
<i>Murder, 2nd Degree (Unintentional)</i> <i>Murder, 3rd Degree (Depraved Mind)</i>	10	150 128-180	165 141-198	180 153-216	195 166-234	210 179-252	225 192-270	240 204-288	+15
<i>Murder, 3rd Degree (Drugs); Carjacking 1st Degree</i> <i>Assault, 1st Degree (Great Bodily Harm)</i>	9	86 74-103	98 84-117	110 94-132	122 104-146	134 114-160	146 125-175	158 135-189	+12
<i>Agg. Robbery, 1st Degree; Burglary, 1st Degree (w/ Weapon or Assault)</i>	8	48 41-57	58 50-69	68 58-81	78 67-93	88 75-105	98 84-117	108 92-129	+10
<i>Felony DWI 1st Degree; Assault 2nd Degree (weapon & SBH)</i> <i>Financial Exploitation of a Vulnerable Adult</i>	7	36 <u>31-43</u>	42 <u>36-50</u>	48 <u>41-57</u>	54 46-64	60 51-72	66 57-79	72 62-84 ^{1, 2}	+6
<i>Ineligibly Possess Firearm; Assault, 2nd Deg. (weapon) free</i> <i>Burglary, 1st Degree (Occupied Dwelling)</i>	6	21 <u>18-25</u>	27 <u>23-32</u>	33 <u>29-39</u>	39 34-46	45 39-54	51 44-61	57 49-68	+6
<i>Assault 3rd Degree (SBH); Residential Burglary 2nd Deg. (dwelling)</i> <i>Simple Robbery</i>	5	18 <u>16-21</u>	23 <u>20-27</u>	28 <u>24-33</u>	33 29-39	38 33-45	43 37-51	48 41-57	+5
<i>Felony Domestic Assault; Violate No-Contact Order</i> <i>Nonresidential Burglary</i>	4	12 <u>12-14</u>	15 <u>13-18</u>	18 <u>16-21</u>	21 <u>18-25</u>	24 21-28	27 23-32	30 26-36	+3
<i>Assault 4th Degree; Vehicle Use w/out Consent</i> <i>Theft Crimes (Over \$5,000)</i>	3	12 <u>12-14</u>	13 <u>12-15</u>	15 <u>13-18</u>	17 <u>15-20</u>	19 17-22	21 18-25	23 20-27	+2
<i>Theft Crimes (of \$5,000 or Less); Check Forgery (\$251-\$2,500)</i>	2	12 <u>12-14</u>	12 <u>12-14</u>	13 <u>12-15</u>	15 <u>13-18</u>	17 <u>15-20</u>	19 <u>17-22</u>	21 18-25	+2
<i>Assault, 4th Degree</i> <i>Fleeing a Peace Officer</i>	1	12 <u>12-14</u>	12 <u>12-14</u>	12 <u>12-14</u>	13 <u>12-15</u>	15 <u>13-18</u>	17 <u>15-20</u>	19 17-22	+2



Presumptive commitment to state imprisonment. First-degree murder has a mandatory life sentence and is excluded from the Guidelines under Minn. Stat. § 609.185. See section 2.E, for policies regarding those sentences controlled by law.



Presumptive stayed sentence; at the discretion of the court, up to 364 days of confinement and other non-jail sanctions can be imposed as conditions of probation. However, certain offenses in the shaded area of the Grid always carry a presumptive commitment to state prison. See sections 2.C and 2.E.

¹ Minn. Stat. § 244.09 requires that the Guidelines provide a range for sentences that are presumptive commitment to state imprisonment of 15% lower and 20% higher than the fixed duration displayed, provided that the minimum sentence is not less than one year and the maximum sentence is not more than the statutory maximum. See section 2.C.1-2.

² For Severity Level 7 offenses other than Felony DWI, the standard range of 20% higher than the fixed duration applies at CHS 6 or more. (The range is 62-86.)

* * *

4.B. Sex Offender Grid

Presumptive sentence lengths are in months. Italicized numbers within the grid denote the discretionary range within which a court may sentence without the sentence being deemed a departure. Offenders with stayed felony sentences may be subject to local confinement.

SEVERITY LEVEL OF CONVICTION OFFENSE (Example offenses listed in italics)		CRIMINAL HISTORY SCORE							Custody Status
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6 or more	
Criminal Sexual Conduct (CSC) 1st Degree	A	144 144 ² –172	156 144 ² –187	168 144 ² –201	180 153–216	234 199–280	306 261–360	360 306–360 ³	+18
CSC 2nd Degree, – 1(a)(b)(c)(d)(e) 1a(a)(b)(c)(d)(h)(i) (e.g., contact & by harm/force with bodily harm)	B	90 90 ² –108	110 94–132	130 111–156	150 128–180	195 166–234	255 217–306	300 255–360	+15
CSC 3rd Degree, – 1(a)(b)(c)(d) 1a(c)(d)(g)(h)(i) (e.g., penetration & by coercion/occupation)	C	48 41–57	62 53–74	76 65–91	90 77–108	117 100–140	153 131–183	180 153–216	+9
CSC 2nd Degree 1a(e)(f)(g) (age) CSC 3rd Degree, – 1a(a)(e)(f) or 1a(b) with 2(1) (age) penetration of child 14–15	D	36 <u>31–43</u>	48 <u>41–57</u>	60 51–72	70 60–84	91 78–109	119 102–142	140 119–168	+7
CSC 4th Degree, – 1(a)(b)(c)(d) 1a(c)(d)(g)(h)(i) (e.g., contact & by coercion/occupation)	E	24 <u>21–28</u>	36 <u>31–43</u>	48 <u>41–57</u>	60 51–72	78 67–93	102 87–120	120 102–120 ³	+6
CSC 4th Degree, – 1a(a)(b)(e)(f) (age) contact of child 14–15 CSC 5th Degree 3(b) (subsequent)	F	18 <u>16–21</u>	27 <u>23–32</u>	36 <u>31–43</u>	45 39–54	59 51–70	77 66–92	84 72–100	+4
CSC 3rd Degree 1a(b) with 2(2) Possession of Child Sexual Abuse Material	G	15 <u>13–18</u>	20 <u>17–24</u>	25 <u>22–30</u>	30 <u>26–36</u>	39 34–46	51 44–60	60 51–60 ³	+3
CSC 5th Degree, – 3(a) (nonconsensual penetration)	H	12 <u>12–14</u>	14 <u>12–16</u>	16 <u>14–19</u>	18 <u>16–21</u>	24 <u>21–24³</u>	24 ³ 24–24	24 ³ 24–24	+2
Failure to Register as a Predatory Offender	I	12 ¹ 12 ¹ –14	14 12 ¹ –16	16 14–19	18 16–21	24 21–28	30 26–36	36 31–43	+2

¹ 12¹=One year and one day mandatory minimum under Minn. Stat. § 243.166, subd. 5(b).



Presumptive commitment to state imprisonment. Sex offenses under Minn. Stat. § 609.3455, subd. 2, have mandatory life sentences and are excluded from the Guidelines. See section 2.E, for policies regarding those sentences controlled by law, including conditional release terms for sex offenders.



Presumptive stayed sentence; at the discretion of the court, up to 364 days of confinement and other non-jail sanctions can be imposed as conditions of probation. However, certain offenders in the shaded area of the Grid may qualify for a mandatory life sentence under Minn. Stat. § 609.3455, subd. 4. See sections 2.C and 2.E.

² Sex Trafficking is not subject to a 144- or 90-month minimum statutory presumptive sentence so the standard range of 15% lower and 20% higher than the fixed duration applies. (For Severity Level A, Criminal History Scores 0, 1, & 2, the ranges are 123–172, 133–187, & 143–201, respectively. For Severity Level B, Criminal History Score 0, the range is 77–108.)

³ Minn. Stat. § 244.09 requires that the Guidelines provide a range for sentences that are presumptive commitment to state imprisonment of 15% lower and 20% higher than the fixed duration displayed, provided that the minimum sentence is not less than one year and the maximum sentence is not more than the statutory maximum. See section 2.C.1–2. For Severity Level H, all displayed durations, including the upper and lower ranges, are constrained by the statutory maximum at criminal history scores above 4.

* * *

4.C. Drug Offender Grid

Presumptive sentence lengths are in months. Italicized numbers within the grid denotes range within which a court may sentence without the sentence being deemed a departure. Offenders with stayed felony sentences may be subjected to local confinement.

SEVERITY LEVEL OF CONVICTION OFFENSE (Example offenses listed in italics)		CRIMINAL HISTORY SCORE							Custody Status
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6 or more	
Aggravated Controlled Substance Crime; 1st Degree <i>ree</i> <i>Manufacture of Any Amt. Meth</i>	D9	86 74*-103	98 84*-117	110 94*-132	122 104*-146	134 114*-160	146 125*-175	158 135*-189	+12
Controlled Substance Crime; 1st Degree	D8	65 56*-78	75 64*-90	85 73*-102	95 81*-114	105 90*-126	115 98*-138	125 107*-150	+10
Controlled Substance Crime; 2nd Degree	D7	48 <u>41-57</u>	58 <u>50-69</u>	68 58-81	78 67-93	88 75-105	98 84-117	108 92-129	+10
Controlled Substance Crime; 3rd Degree <i>Failure to Affix Stamp</i>	D6	21 <u>18-25</u>	27 <u>23-32</u>	33 <u>29-39</u>	39 34-46	45 39-54	51 44-61	57 49-68	+6
Possess <i>Meth Precursors</i> Substances with Intent to Manufacture <i>Meth</i>	D5	18 <u>16-21</u>	23 <u>20-27</u>	28 <u>24-33</u>	33 29-39	38 33-45	43 37-51	48 41-57	+5
Controlled Substance Crime; 4th Degree	D4	12 <u>12-14</u>	15 <u>13-18</u>	18 <u>16-21</u>	21 <u>18-25</u>	24 21-28	27 23-32	30 26-36	+3
<i>Meth/Fentanyl</i> Crimes Involving Children <i>and</i> Vulnerable Adults	D3	12 <u>12-14</u>	13 <u>12-15</u>	15 <u>13-18</u>	17 <u>15-20</u>	19 17-22	21 18-25	23 20-27	+2
Controlled Substance Crime; 5th Degree	D2	12 <u>12-14</u>	12 <u>12-14</u>	13 <u>12-15</u>	15 <u>13-18</u>	17 <u>15-20</u>	19 <u>17-22</u>	21 18-25	+2
Sale of Simulated Controlled Substance	D1	12 <u>12-14</u>	12 <u>12-14</u>	12 <u>12-14</u>	13 <u>12-15</u>	15 <u>13-18</u>	17 <u>15-20</u>	19 17-22	+2

* Lower range may not apply. See section 2.C.3.c(1) and Minn. Stat. § 152.021, subdivisions 3(c) & 3(d).



Presumptive commitment to state imprisonment.



Presumptive stayed sentence; at the discretion of the court, up to 364 days of confinement and other non-jail sanctions can be imposed as conditions of probation. However, certain offenses in the shaded area of the Grid always carry a presumptive commitment to state prison. See sections 2.C and 2.E.

* * *

5.A. Offense Severity Reference Table

Offenses subject to a mandatory life sentence, including first-degree murder and certain sex offenses under Minn. Stat. § 609.3455, subdivision 2, are excluded from the Guidelines by law.

Severity Level	Offense Title	Statute Number
11	Adulteration	609.687, subd. 3(1)
	Assault 1st Degree (Great Bodily Harm Upon Official by Dangerous Weapon or Deadly Force)	609.221, subd. 4
	Murder 2nd Degree (Intentional Murder; Unintentional Drive-By Shootings)	609.19, subd. 1
	Murder of an Unborn Child 2nd Degree	609.2662(1)
10	Assault 1st Degree (Great Bodily Harm Upon Official)	609.221, subd. 3
	Fleeing a Peace Officer (Death)	609.487, subd. 4(a)
	Murder 2nd Degree (Unintentional Murder)	609.19, subd. 2
	Murder of an Unborn Child 2nd Degree	609.2662(2)
	Murder 3rd Degree	609.195(a)
	Murder of an Unborn Child 3rd Degree	609.2663
9	Assault 1st Degree (Deadly Force Against Official)	609.221, subd. 2
	Assault 1st Degree (Great Bodily Harm)	609.221, subd. 1
	Assault of an Unborn Child 1st Degree	609.267
	Carjacking 1st Degree	609.247, subd. 2
	Criminal Abuse of Vulnerable Adult (Death)	609.2325, subd. 3(1)
	Criminal Vehicular Homicide (Qualified Prior Conviction)	609.2112, subd. 1(b)
	Criminal Vehicular Operation (Death to an Unborn Child, and Qualified Prior Conviction)	609.2114, subd. 1(b)
	Death of an Unborn Child in the Commission of Crime	609.268, subd. 1
	Engage or Hire a Minor to Engage in Prostitution	609.324, subd. 1(a)
	Kidnapping (Great Bodily Harm)	609.25, subd. 2(2)(ii)

Severity Level	Offense Title	Statute Number
	Labor Trafficking (Death)	609.282, subd. 1
	Manslaughter 1st Degree	609.20(1),(2) & (5)
	Manslaughter of an Unborn Child 1st Degree	609.2664(1) & (2)
	Murder 3rd Degree	609.195(b)
	Tampering with Witness, Aggravated 1st Degree	609.498, subd. 1b
8	Aggravated Robbery 1st Degree	609.245, subd. 1
	Arson 1st Degree	609.561
	Assault 1st Degree (Great Bodily Harm)	609.221, subd. 1
	Assault of an Unborn Child 1st Degree	609.267
	Burglary 1st Degree (w/Weapon or Assault)	609.582, 1(b) & (c)
	Child Torture	609.3775
	Criminal Abuse of Vulnerable Adult (Great Bodily Harm)	609.2325, subd. 3(2)
	Criminal Vehicular Homicide (Death)	609.2112, subd. 1(a)
	Criminal Vehicular Homicide (Death, and Qualified Prior Conviction)	609.2112, subd. 1(b)
	Criminal Vehicular Operation (Death to an Unborn Child)	609.2114, subd. 1(a)
	Criminal Vehicular Operation (Death to an Unborn Child, and Qualified Prior Conviction)	609.2114, subd. 1(b)
	* * *	
7	Assault 2nd Degree (Dangerous Weapon, Substantial Bodily Harm)	609.222, subd. 2
	Carjacking 2nd Degree	609.247, subd. 3
	Financial Exploitation of a Vulnerable Adult (Over \$35,000)	609.2335
	Felony Driving While Impaired 1st Degree	169A.24
	Labor Trafficking (Extended Period of Time)	609.282, subd. 1a(2)
	Wildfire Arson (Damage over Five Dwellings, Burns 500 Acres or More, or Crops in Excess of \$100,000)	609.5641 subd. 1a(b)

Severity Level	Offense Title	Statute Number
6	Aggravated Robbery 2nd Degree	609.245, subd. 2
	Assault 2nd Degree (Dangerous Weapon)	609.222, subd. 1
	Burglary 1st Degree (Occupied Dwelling)	609.582, subd. 1(a)
	Carjacking 3rd Degree	609.247, subd. 4
	Catalytic Converter Crime (Over 70 Converters)	325E.21, subd. 6(b)(5)
	Certain Persons Not to Have Firearms or Ammunition	624.713, subd. 2(b); 609.165, subd. 1b
	Criminal Vehicular Operation (Great Bodily Harm; Gross Negligence or While Impaired)	609.2113, subd. 1(1), (2), (3), (4), (5) & (6)
	Criminal Vehicular Operation (Injury to an Unborn Child; Gross Negligence or While Impaired)	609.2114, subd. 2(1), (2), (3), (4), (5) & (6)
	* * *	
5	Arson 2nd Degree	609.562
	Assault of an Unborn Child 2nd Degree	609.2671
	Assault 3rd Degree (Substantial Bodily Harm)	609.223, subd. 1
	Burglary 2nd Degree	609.582, subd. 2(a)(1) & (2), 2(b)
	Check Forgery (Over \$35,000)	609.631, subd. 4(1)
	Child Neglect/Endangerment	609.378
	Criminal Vehicular Operation (Great Bodily Harm; Leaving the Scene or Defective Maintenance)	609.2113, subd. 1 (7) & (8)
	Criminal Vehicular Operation (Injury to an Unborn Child; Leaving the Scene or Defective Maintenance)	609.2114, subd. 2 (7) & (8)
	Deprivation of Vulnerable Adult (Substantial Bodily Harm)	609.233, subd. 3(2)
	Domestic Assault by Strangulation	609.2247
	* * *	
4	Adulteration	609.687, subd. 3(2)
	Assault of an Unborn Child 2nd Degree	609.2671
	Assault 3rd Degree (Child Abuse)	609.223, subd. 1, 2, & 3

Severity Level	Offense Title	Statute Number
	Assault 5th Degree (3rd or Subsequent Violation)	609.224, subd. 4
	* * *	
	Domestic Assault	609.2242, subd. 4
	Domestic Assault by Strangulation	609.2247
	* * *	
3	Arson 3rd Degree	609.563
	Assault 4th Degree	609.2231, subd. 1(c), 2(b), 3, 3a, & 4(b)
	* * *	
2	* * *	
1	Accidents (Great Bodily Harm)	169.09, subd. 14(a)(2)
	Altering Livestock Certificate	35.824
	Assault 4th Degree	609.2231, subd. 1, 2, 3, & 3a
	Assault Weapon in Public if Under 21	624.7181, subd. 2
	Assaulting or Harming a Police Horse	609.597, subd. 3(3)
	Assault 4th Degree Motivated by Bias	609.2231, subd. 4(b)
	* * *	

5.B. Severity Level by Statutory Citation

Offenses subject to a mandatory life sentence, including first-degree murder and certain sex offenses under Minn. Stat. § 609.3455, subdivision 2, are excluded from the Guidelines by law.

Statute Number	Offense Title	Severity Level
* * *		
609.2112, subd. 1(a)	Criminal Vehicular Homicide (Death)	8
609.2112, subd. 1(b)	Criminal Vehicular Homicide (Death, and Qualified Prior Conviction)	8* <u>9*</u>
<u>609.2113 subd. 1(1), (2), (3), (4), (5) & (6)</u>	<u>Criminal Vehicular Operation (Great Bodily Harm; Gross Negligence or While Impaired)</u>	<u>6*</u>
609.2113, subd. 1 <u>(7) & (8)</u>	Criminal Vehicular Operation (Great Bodily Harm; <u>Leaving the Scene or Defective Maintenance</u>)	5
609.2113, subd. 2	Criminal Vehicular Operation (Substantial Bodily Harm)	3
609.2114, subd. 1(a)	Criminal Vehicular Operation (Death to an Unborn Child)	8
609.2114, subd. 1(b)	Criminal Vehicular Operation (Death to an Unborn Child, and Qualified Prior Conviction)	8* <u>9*</u>
<u>609.2114 subd. 2(1), (2), (3), (4), (5) & (6)</u>	<u>Criminal Vehicular Operation (Injury to an Unborn Child; Gross Negligence or While Impaired)</u>	<u>6*</u>
609.2114, subd. 2 <u>(7) & (8)</u>	Criminal Vehicular Operation (Injury to an Unborn Child; <u>Leaving the Scene or Defective Maintenance</u>)	5
609.215	Aiding Suicide	Unranked

* See section 2.G.11 to determine the presumptive sentence.

* See section 2.C.1.c and Appendix 3 to determine the presumptive duration. Depending on the offender's criminal history score, the presumptive duration may exceed the statutory maximum.

Statute Number	Offense Title	Severity Level
609.221 subd. 1	Assault 1st Degree (Great Bodily Harm)	<u>98</u>
609.221 subd. 2	Assault 1st Degree (Deadly Force Against Official)	9
609.221 subd. 3	Assault 1st Degree (Great Bodily Harm Upon Official)	10
609.221 subd. 4	Assault 1st Degree (Great Bodily Harm Upon Official by Dangerous Weapon or Deadly Force)	11**
609.222 <u>subd. 1</u>	Assault 2nd Degree (Dangerous Weapon)	6
<u>609.222 subd. 2</u>	<u>Assault 2nd Degree (Dangerous Weapon, Substantial Bodily Harm)</u>	<u>7</u>
609.223 subd. 1	Assault 3rd Degree (Substantial Bodily Harm)	<u>45</u> ***
609.223 subd. 2	Assault 3rd Degree (Bodily Harm, Pattern of Child Abuse)	4
609.223 subd. 3	Assault 3rd Degree (Bodily Harm, Victim under 4)	4
609.2231 subd. 1	Assault 4th Degree (Peace Officer)	<u>13</u>
609.2231 subd. 2	Assault 4th Degree (Firefighters and Emergency Medical Personnel)	<u>13</u>
609.2231 subd. 3	Assault 4th Degree (Corrections Employee, Prosecutor, Judge, Probation Officer)	<u>13</u> *, **
609.2231 subd. 3a	Assault 4th Degree (Secure Treatment Facility Personnel)	<u>13</u> *, **

** See section [2.C.22.C.1.c](#) and Appendix 3 to determine the presumptive duration. Depending on the offender's criminal history score, the presumptive duration may exceed the statutory maximum.

*** See section 2.C and Appendix 1 to determine the presumptive disposition for assault committed by a State prison inmate or for assault on secure treatment facility personnel by persons committed to the Minnesota Sex Offender Program.

* See section 2.C and Appendix 1 to determine the presumptive disposition for assault committed by a State prison inmate or for assault on secure treatment facility personnel by persons committed to the Minnesota Sex Offender Program.

Statute Number	Offense Title	Severity Level
609.2231 subd. 4-(b)	Assault 4th Degree Motivated by Bias	43 **
609.2233	Felony Assault Motivated by Bias	See Note ¹
609.224 subd. 4	Assault 5th Degree (3rd or Subsequent Violation)	4
609.2241	Knowing Transfer of Communicable Disease	See Note ²
609.2242 subd. 4	Domestic Assault	4
609.2245	Female Genital Mutilation	Unranked
609.2247	Domestic Assault by Strangulation	45 **
* * *		
609.267	Assault of an Unborn Child 1st Degree	98
609.2671	Assault of an Unborn Child 2nd Degree	45
* * *		
609.343 subd. 1(a)(b)(c)(d)(e) & 1a(a)(b)(c)(d)(h)(i)	Criminal Sexual Conduct 2nd Degree	B*
609.343 subd. 1a(e)(f)(g)	Criminal Sexual Conduct 2nd Degree	D
609.344 subd. 1(a)(b)(c)(d) & 1a(c)(d)(g)(h)(i)	Criminal Sexual Conduct 3rd Degree	C*
* * *		

** See section [2-C.22.C.1.c](#) and Appendix 3 to determine the presumptive duration. Depending on the offender's criminal history score, the presumptive duration may exceed the statutory maximum.

¹ See section 2.G.10 to determine the presumptive sentence.

² See section 2.A.5 to determine the presumptive sentence.

* See section [2-C.22.C.1.c](#) and Appendix 3 to determine the presumptive duration. Depending on the offender's criminal history score, the presumptive duration may exceed the statutory maximum.

Statute Number	Offense Title	Severity Level
609.4751, subd. 3	Impersonating a Peace Officer	2*
609.476 subd. 3	Publishing Personal Information of Judicial Official (Bodily Harm)	4
609.48 subd. 4(1)	Perjury (Felony Trial)	5
609.48 subd. 4(2)	Perjury (Other Trial)	4
609.485 subd. 4(a)(1)	Escape from Felony Offense	3**
609.485 subd. 4(a)(2)	Escape, Mental Illness	1*
609.485 subd. 4(a)(3)	Escape with Violence from Gross Misdemeanor or Misdemeanor Offense	Unranked
609.485 subd. 4(a)(4)	Escape from Civil Commitment	1*
* * *		
609.595 subd. 1a(a)	Damage to Property (Motivated by Bias)	1*
609.596 subd. 1	Killing or Harming a Public Safety Dog	Unranked
609.597 subd. 3(3)	Assaulting or Harming a Police Horse	1*
609.597 subd. 3(1) & (2)	Assaulting or Harming a Police Horse	Unranked
* * *		
609.662 subd. 2(b)(2)	Duty to Render Aid (Substantial Bodily Harm)	1*

* See section [2-C-22.C.1.c](#) and Appendix 3 to determine the presumptive duration. Depending on the offender's criminal history score, the presumptive duration may exceed the statutory maximum.

** See section 2.C and Appendix 1 to determine the presumptive disposition for an escape from an executed sentence.

* See section [2-C-22.C.1.c](#) and Appendix 3 to determine the presumptive duration. Depending on the offender's criminal history score, the presumptive duration may exceed the statutory maximum.

* See section [2-C-22.C.1.c](#) and Appendix 3 to determine the presumptive duration. Depending on the offender's criminal history score, the presumptive duration may exceed the statutory maximum.

Statute Number	Offense Title	Severity Level
* * *		
609.713 subd. 3(a)	Threats of Violence (Replica Firearm)	1*
609.714	Offense in Furtherance of Terrorism	See Note ³
609.746 subd. 1(g)	Interference with Privacy (2nd or Subsequent Violation or Minor Victim)	1
609.746 subd. 1(h)	Surreptitious Observation Device (Minor Victim and Sexual Intent)	G*
* * *		
609.776	Interference with Emergency Communications	5*
609.78 subd. 2a(1)	Fictitious Emergency Call (Great Bodily Harm or Death)	8
609.78 subd. 2a(2)	Fictitious Emergency Call (Substantial Bodily Harm)	3
609.78 subd. 2b(1)	Emergency Telephone Calls and Communications (3rd or Subsequent, Making Calls When No Emergency Exists)	4
609.78 subd. 2b(2)	Emergency Telephone Calls and Communications (Blocks, Interferes, Prevents Using Multiple Communication Devices or Electronic Means)	5
609.78 subd. 2c	Fictitious Emergency Call (Response to Home of Official)	1*
* * *		

* See section [2-C.22.C.1.c](#) and Appendix 3 to determine the presumptive duration. Depending on the offender's criminal history score, the presumptive duration may exceed the statutory maximum.

³ See section 2.G.7 to determine the presumptive sentence.

* See section [2-C.22.C.1.c](#) and Appendix 3 to determine the presumptive duration. Depending on the offender's criminal history score, the presumptive duration may exceed the statutory maximum.

Statute Number	Offense Title	Severity Level
617.246 subd. 2(b) 3(b) 4(b)	Use of Minors in Sexual Performance or Child Sexual Abuse Material (Subsequent, by Predatory Offender, or Child Under 14)	C*
617.247 subd. 3(a)	Dissemination of Child Sexual Abuse Material	E*
617.247 subd. 3(b)	Dissemination of Child Sexual Abuse Material (Subsequent, by Predatory Offender, or Child Under 14)	C*
* * *		
624.7141 subd. 1	Transferring Firearm to Ineligible Person	2*
* * *		

* * *

* See section [2-C-22.C.1.c](#) and Appendix 3 to determine the presumptive duration. Depending on the offender's criminal history score, the presumptive duration may exceed the statutory maximum.

* See section [2-C-22.C.1.c](#) and Appendix 3 to determine the presumptive duration. Depending on the offender's criminal history score, the presumptive duration may exceed the statutory maximum.

6. Offenses Eligible for Permissive Consecutive Sentences

- A.** Convictions for attempted offenses or conspiracies to commit offenses listed below are eligible for permissive consecutive sentences as well as convictions for completed offenses.
- B.** Under section 2.F.2.a(1)(i), it is permissive for a current felony conviction to run consecutively to a prior felony sentence from a jurisdiction other than Minnesota if the non-Minnesota conviction is for a crime that is equivalent to a crime listed below.

Statute Number	Offense Title
* * *	
609.2112, subd. 1	Criminal Vehicular Homicide (Death)
609.2113, subd. 1	Criminal Vehicular Operation (Great Bodily Harm)
609.2113, subd. 2	Criminal Vehicular Operation (Substantial Bodily Harm)
609.2114, subd. 1	Criminal Vehicular Operation (Death to an Unborn Child)
609.2114, subd. 2	Criminal Vehicular Operation (Injury to an Unborn Child)
609.215	Aiding Suicide
609.221	Assault 1st Degree
609.222	Assault 2nd Degree — Dangerous Weapon
* * *	

* * *

Appendix 3. Presumptive Sentence Durations that Exceed the Statutory Maximum Sentence Reference Table

This table is for convenience when determining if a presumptive duration exceeds the statutory maximum sentence as described in section [2-C-22.C.1.c](#). Offenses identified in the table below have presumptive durations that exceed the statutory maximums at the Criminal History Score (CHS) indicated on the table. These are offenses for which the applicable grid does not adjust the duration or range to be at or below the statutory maximum. The table may not be exhaustive.

Statute	Offense	Severity Level	Statutory Maximum (Months)	Exceeds Statutory Maximum At:
609.2112 subd. 1(a)	Criminal Vehicular Homicide	8	120	CHS 6 (upper-range)
609.2112 subd. 1(b)	Criminal Vehicular Homicide (Qualified Prior Conviction)	9	180	CHS 6 (upper-range)
609.2113 subd. 1(1), (2), (3), (4), (5) & (6)	Criminal Vehicular Operation (Great Bodily Harm; Gross Negligence or While Impaired)	6	60	CHS 5 (upper-range)
609.2114, subd. 1(b)	Criminal Vehicular Operation (Death to an Unborn Child, and Qualified Prior Conviction)	9	180	CHS 6 (upper-range)
609.221, subd. 4	Assault 1st Degree (Great Bodily Harm Upon Official by Dangerous Weapon or Deadly Force)	11	360	CHS 0 (upper-range)
609.2231 subd. 3, 3a	Assault 4th Degree (Corrections Employee, Prosecutor, Judge, Probation Officer, Secure Treatment Facility Personnel)	3	24	CHS 6 (upper-range)
609.2231, subd. 4(b)	Assault 4th Degree Motivated by Bias	13	12, and 1 Day	CHS 30 (upper-range)
609.2247	Domestic Assault by Strangulation	5	36	CHS 3 (upper-range)
* * *				

* * *