Professional Educator Licensing and Standards Board

Proposed Permanent Rules Relating to Teacher Preparation Program and Unit Approval

8705.0100 PROGRAM AND UNIT APPROVAL. PURPOSE.

Licenses to teach in Minnesota may be granted to persons who complete approved programs leading to teacher licensure in Minnesota institutions approved by the Professional Educator Licensing and Standards Board to prepare persons for teacher licensure according to this chapter. The teacher preparation institution or provider must meet the standards under parts 8705.1000 and 8705.1100 or the procedures and requirements established under part 8705.1200 prior to being authorized to submit specific licensure programs for program approval under parts 8705.2000 to 8705.2600.

A teacher preparation provider based in a Minnesota institution of higher education, school district, charter school, or nonprofit corporation organized under chapter 317A must be approved as a unit in accordance with the rules and procedures in this chapter to prepare candidates for licensure in Minnesota.

8705.0200 DEFINITIONS.

[For text of subpart 1, see Minnesota Rules]

Subp. 2. [See repealer.]

Subp. 3. Assessment system. "Assessment system" means a comprehensive and integrated set of valid and reliable evaluation measures that provides information for use in monitoring candidate demonstration of standards, and managing and improving unit operations and programs.

[For text of subpart 4, see Minnesota Rules]

Subp. 4a. Candidate. "Candidate" means an individual working toward licensure in the teacher preparation program.
Subp. 4b. **Clinical experiences.** "Clinical experiences" means field experiences, student teaching, and practica.

Subp. 4c. **Cooperating teacher.** "Cooperating teacher" means a teacher who has agreed to work with a candidate during the candidate's clinical experiences. A cooperating teacher's responsibilities may include modeling effective instruction to the candidate, observing the candidate engaging with students throughout clinical experiences, and providing feedback to the candidate based on these observations.

Subp. 4d. **Culturally responsive teaching.** "Culturally responsive teaching" means understanding and applying the cultural knowledge, prior experiences, frames of reference, and performance styles based on the lived experiences of students, including the effects of systemic and institutional racism to make learning experiences more relevant and effective for students.

Subp. 4e. **Designated school partner.** "Designated school partner" means a school or district that hosts candidates for clinical experiences. School or district leaders collaborate with the unit to evaluate data, assess progress toward mutually beneficial goals, and provide input on the content and design of the teacher preparation programs.

*[For text of subpart 5, see Minnesota Rules]*

Subp. 6. **Field experience.** "Field experience" means a school-based opportunity in which candidates may observe teachers and students, assist, tutor, instruct, or conduct research.

Subp. 6a. **Initial licensure program.** "Initial licensure program" means a program approved by the Professional Educator Licensing and Standards Board for the purposes of preparing individuals for their initial professional license based on teacher preparation.

Subp. 7. [See repealer.]
Subp. 7a. **Practicum.** "Practicum" means when a candidate is enrolled in a teacher preparation program, is seeking an additional license or an endorsement, and assumes teacher responsibilities to practice and demonstrate the knowledge, skills, and dispositions necessary to teach the content aligned to the additional license or endorsement.

Subp. 7b. **Professional dispositions.** "Professional dispositions" means the values, commitments, and professional ethics that govern how a teacher acts with students, families, colleagues, and communities.

Subp. 7c. **Professional license.** "Professional license" means a license that is transferable to any school district, including a Tier 3 license, a Tier 4 license, a 5-year professional license, or a professional license from another state.

Subp. 8. **Program completer.**

A. "Program completer" means a person who has met all the requirements of a state-approved teacher preparation program, including all those who are documented as having met such requirements. Documentation may take the form of a degree, institutional certificate, program credential, transcript, or other written proof of having met the program's requirements. In applying this definition, that an individual has or has not been recommended to the state for certification or licensure may not be used as a criterion for determining who is a program completer. A program must include a candidate as a program completer in data submissions if the candidate:

(1) is subject to testing requirements for the licensure field, regardless of passing status;
(2) is subject to the teacher performance assessment (edTPA) requirement as part of the licensing program, regardless of passing status;

(3) completes a student teaching placement;

(4) is enrolled in any licensure program, including endorsement fields; or

(5) receives a degree or certificate of completion regardless of whether a recommendation for licensure is given.

B. Notwithstanding item A, transfer candidates, including those prepared out of state, who have completed less than 50 percent of a licensure program's total requirements at the current institution preparation provider should not be included.

Subp. 9. [See repealer.]

Subp. 9a. School partner. "School partner" means a school or district that hosts one or more candidates for clinical experiences.

Subp. 10. Scope. "Scope" means the prekindergarten through grade 12 student age or grade span of the licensure field.

Subp. 10a. Student teaching. "Student teaching" means when a candidate enrolled in an initial licensure program assumes teacher responsibilities while working with a cooperating teacher and a supervisor to practice and demonstrate the knowledge, skills, and dispositions necessary to become a teacher.

Subp. 10b. Supervisor. "Supervisor" means an individual under the direction of the unit and responsible for supporting and evaluating the candidate during clinical experiences.

Subp. 10c. Teacher educator; instructor. "Teacher educator" or "instructor" means the individual facilitating a candidate's learning opportunities and assessments.

Subp. 10d. Teacher of record. "Teacher of record" has the meaning given in part 8710.0310, subpart 1, item N.
Subp. 11. **Teacher preparation program; program.** "Teacher preparation program" or "program" means a college or university program approved by the Professional Educator Licensing and Standards Board for the purpose of preparing individuals for a specific teacher licensure field in Minnesota.

Subp. 12. **Unit; teacher preparation program provider.** "Unit" means an institution or a defined subdivision of the institution, for example a college, department, or division, which has primary responsibility for overseeing and delivering teacher preparation programs or "teacher preparation program provider" has the meaning given in Minnesota Statutes, section 122A.06, subdivision 8.

**8705.1010 UNIT STANDARDS.**

Subpart 1. **Standards for program design and improvement.**

A. Standard 1. The unit must ensure each program has a clear and consistent conceptual framework threaded throughout the program that is research-based, results-oriented, and focused on the skills teachers need to be effective.

B. Standard 2. The unit must ensure each program provides effective instruction on:

1. content-specific methods that meet the scope of the licensure area;

2. the teacher Code of Ethics;

3. lesson planning, including the use of Minnesota academic standards, or, if unavailable, national discipline-specific standards;

4. the knowledge and skills needed to provide appropriate instruction to multilingual learners to support and accelerate academic literacy, including oral academic language and achievement in content areas in a regular classroom setting;
the knowledge and skills needed to implement culturally responsive teaching and instructional strategies, including incorporating opportunities for candidates to learn about the role of teachers to disrupt patterns and systems of racism, privilege, and oppression;

research-based practices in reading that enable the candidate to teach reading in the candidate's licensure field;

using a student's native language as a resource in creating effective differentiated instructional strategies for multilingual learners developing literacy skills; and

the knowledge and skills needed to engage students with technology and deliver digital and blended learning and curriculum.

C. Standard 3. The unit must implement an assessment system with a process for annually collecting and reviewing data from:

surveys, including those from:

initial licensure program completers at the time of program completion;

initial licensure program completers one year after completion; and

initial licensure program completers' supervisors one year after completion;

clinical experiences;

multiple assessments as required by Standard 19; and

candidate scores on state-required examinations and board-adopted performance assessments.
D. Standard 4. The unit's assessment system must include a process to engage its stakeholders, including candidates, program completers, school partners, teacher educators, and representatives from the community to:

1. systematically review data collected under Standard 3;
2. provide feedback and recommendations on unit-wide strengths and areas of improvement, which can include program-specific feedback and recommendations; and
3. provide feedback and recommendations on long-term plans specific to the unit's program offerings.

E. Standard 5. The unit must implement a formal process for using the assessment system and stakeholder feedback to inform unit and program improvement.

Subp. 2. Standards for the designated school partnership.

A. Standard 6. The unit must have at least one designated school partnership with a school or district that works collaboratively to align theory and practice and that meets the standards in this subpart. The unit may have additional partnerships with districts or schools to place candidates in clinical experiences according to the standards in subpart 3.

B. Standard 7. For the purpose of continuous improvement and shared accountability, the unit and designated school partner must maintain an agreement that addresses:

1. the type of student data that the designated school partner is authorized and willing to share with the candidate and unit regarding student achievement and progress under Minnesota Statutes, section 13.05, subdivision 7;
2. the type of aggregated candidate data that the unit will share with the designated school partner regarding candidate efficacy and survey data under Minnesota Statutes, section 13.05, subdivision 7; and
how the unit will solicit feedback and recommendations from candidates, supervisors, and cooperating teachers about clinical experiences with the designated school partner.

C. Standard 8. The unit must meet a minimum of two times per year with the designated school partner. The unit must engage in ongoing collaboration with the designated partner to:

1. review data including but not limited to data collected under Standards 3 and 7;
2. assess feedback from candidates, supervisors, and cooperating teachers;
3. evaluate the effectiveness of the partnership to meet mutually beneficial short-term and long-term goals; and
4. engage in decision-making processes regarding changes to design and implementation of teacher preparation programs.

Subp. 3. Standards for clinical experiences.

A. Standard 9. The unit and each school partner must maintain an agreement that addresses:

1. the responsibilities held by the candidate during a clinical experience;
2. the responsibilities held by the school partner during a clinical experience;
3. the grounds for removing a candidate from a clinical experience and a process for the removal; and
4. the process for identifying cooperating teachers who model:
   (a) effective instruction, including the use of state academic standards or, if unavailable, national discipline-specific standards; and
(b) culturally responsive teaching.

B. **Standard 10.** The unit must collaborate with each school partner to ensure that:

1. Each cooperating teacher paired with a candidate during student teaching and practicum:
   1. has at least three years of teaching experience as a teacher of record in the licensure area;
   2. holds a professional license aligned to the assignment;
   3. is not on an improvement plan; and
   4. has completed professional development in coaching strategies for adult learners;

2. Each cooperating teacher paired with a candidate during field experiences:
   1. has at least two years of teaching experience;
   2. holds a Tier 2 license or professional license aligned to the assignment; and
   3. is not on an improvement plan; and

3. Each cooperating teacher receives training that addresses the cooperating teacher's role, program expectations, candidate assessments, procedures, and timelines.

C. **Standard 11.** For candidates seeking an initial professional license, the unit must:

1. Provide a minimum of 100 field experience hours prior to student teaching that includes:
   1. at least 60 field experience hours that are aligned to the scope and content of the licensure field sought;
(b) experience with students who differ in race, ethnicity, home language, and socioeconomic status; and

c) experience with students with a range of exceptionalities, including students on an individualized education plan; and

(2) provide a minimum of 12 weeks of face-to-face student teaching that:

(a) is aligned to the scope and content of the licensure field sought;

(b) is split into no more than two placements where each placement is with a continuous group of students and for continuous weeks in alignment with the school calendar and day;

(c) includes at least 80 percent of the contracted school week of face-to-face student contact time;

(d) includes ongoing observations with actionable feedback to ensure growth and attainment of standards with a minimum of four observations conducted by the cooperating teacher;

(e) includes ongoing observations with actionable feedback to ensure growth and attainment of standards with a minimum of four observations conducted by the supervisor;

(f) includes a minimum of three triad meetings with the cooperating teacher, the supervisor, and the candidate for clear and consistent communication; and

(g) includes a written evaluation by the supervisor that addresses the candidate's ability to meet the standards in parts 8710.2000 to 8710.8080 and the candidate's professional dispositions.

D. Standard 12. For candidates seeking more than one professional license, the unit must:
provide a minimum of 100 field experience hours prior to student teaching that include:

(a) at least 30 field experience hours that are aligned to the scope and content of each license and endorsement sought;

(b) experience with students who differ in race, ethnicity, home language, and socioeconomic status; and

(c) experience with students with a range of exceptionalities, including students on an individualized education plan; and

(2) provide a minimum of 14 weeks of face-to-face student teaching that:

(a) includes a placement aligned to the scope and content of each license and endorsement sought;

(b) is split into no more than two placements, where each placement is a minimum of two weeks or the equivalent, with a continuous group of students and for continuous weeks in alignment with the school calendar and day;

(c) includes at least 80 percent of the contracted school week of face-to-face student contact time;

(d) includes observations with actionable feedback to ensure growth and attainment of standards with a minimum of five observations, with at least one observation per placement, conducted by the cooperating teacher;

(e) includes observations with actionable feedback to ensure growth and attainment of standards with a minimum of five observations, with at least one observation per placement, conducted by the supervisor;

(f) includes a minimum of four triad meetings, with at least one triad meeting per placement with the cooperating teacher, the supervisor, and the candidate; and
includes a written evaluation by the supervisor that addresses the candidate's ability to meet the applicable standards in parts 8710.2000 to 8710.8080 and the candidate's professional dispositions.

E. Standard 13. For candidates who have completed licensure via portfolio, have completed or are in the process of completing a state-approved initial licensure teacher preparation program, and are seeking an additional license or endorsement, the unit must:

1. have a documented process for evaluating a candidate's prior clinical experiences including:

   a. experience aligned to the scope and content of the license or endorsement sought;

   b. experience with students who differ in race, ethnicity, home language, and socioeconomic status; and

   c. experience with students with a range of exceptionalities, including students on an individualized education plan; and

2. design a practicum experience that addresses any gaps in prior experience listed in subitem (1) and that:

   a. aligns to the scope and content of the license or endorsement sought;

   b. is a minimum of 80 hours with a continuous group of students and consecutive days aligned with the school calendar;

   c. provides observations with actionable feedback to ensure growth and attainment of standards with a minimum of two observations by the cooperating teacher;

   d. provides observations with actionable feedback to ensure growth and attainment of standards with a minimum of two observations by the supervisor;
(e) includes a minimum of one triad meeting with the cooperating teacher, the supervisor, and the candidate for clear and consistent communication; and

(f) includes a written evaluation by the supervisor that addresses the candidate's ability to meet the standards in parts 8710.2000 to 8710.8080 and the candidate's professional dispositions.

F. Standard 14. For a candidate working as a teacher of record while completing a teacher preparation program to obtain an initial professional license, the unit must ensure:

(1) the candidate completes the requirements in Standard 12;

(2) a cooperating teacher holding a professional license in the licensure area sought is available to work with the candidate throughout the course of the student teaching experience; and

(3) a cooperating teacher holding a professional license aligned to the licensure area or scope of the license sought is available in the school to work with the candidate to model effective practices and provide feedback throughout the course of the student teaching experience.

G. Standard 15. The unit must ensure each supervisor:

(1) is qualified by one of the following:

(a) holding or having held a professional license aligned to the licensure field or scope of the license sought by the candidate and at least three years of experience as a teacher of record;

(b) being a current or former licensed E-12 administrator with oversight of teacher evaluation; or

(c) being a current or former E-12 administrator with documented experience in teacher evaluation;
(2) completes professional development in coaching strategies for adult learners; and

(3) completes training on the program requirements and evaluation procedures for candidates.

Subp. 4. Standards for candidates.

A. Standard 16. The unit must implement an effective strategy for recruiting and retaining candidates to address state and district teacher shortage areas, including racial and ethnic diversity.

B. Standard 17. The unit must maintain accurate records of candidate progress through the program, including applicable learning opportunities and coursework, clinical experiences, and all program requirements.

C. Standard 18. The unit must make available to candidates, online or in print, the following information:

(1) a description of the requirements for admission into each program;

(2) a description of the completion requirements for each program;

(3) a description of the state requirements for licensure, including information about the completion of a board-adopted performance assessment;

(4) the unit's procedures for receiving and responding to complaints and grievances from candidates and other constituencies;

(5) the unit's policy for substituting program requirements for prior learning experiences, coursework, teaching experience, and credit by examination. The policy must make clear that the unit will not substitute prior experience for student teaching or practicum requirements set forth in Standard 12, subitem (2), Standard 13, subitem (2), and Standard 14;
(6) a description of the candidate's appeal process if not recommended for licensure;

(7) cost information, including information about financial aid; and

(8) unit and program accreditation status.

D. Standard 19. The unit must monitor each candidate's attainment of content and pedagogical knowledge and skills as required by parts 8710.2000 to 8710.8080, enactment of professional dispositions, and progress toward completing the program by assessing each candidate:

(1) at a minimum of three identified checkpoints, including at entry, midpoint through the program, and at exit; and

(2) through multiple assessments implemented throughout the program.

E. Standard 20. The unit must provide each candidate with individualized advising, which includes:

(1) prior to student teaching or practicum, discussing the candidate's attainment of content and pedagogical knowledge and skills as required by parts 8710.2000 to 8710.8080, enactment of professional dispositions, and progress toward completing the program;

(2) counseling a candidate out of the program who is failing to evidence the necessary content and pedagogical knowledge and skills or professional dispositions to be an effective teacher; and

(3) documenting program completion.

F. Standard 21. The unit must ensure each candidate seeking an initial professional license completes a board-adopted teacher performance assessment if an assessment exists that is aligned with the license sought.
Subp. 5. Standards for teacher educators.

A. Standard 22. The unit must implement an effective strategy for recruiting and retaining teacher educators with diverse backgrounds and experiences, including racially and ethnically diverse teacher educators.

B. Standard 23. The unit must ensure each teacher educator is able to show expertise for teaching assignments and one of the following:

(1) a master's degree or higher in any field and:

(a) at least 18 graduate credits in the teacher educator's area of instruction;

or

(b) dissertation or published research in the teacher educator's area of instruction;

(2) a bachelor's degree and at least five years of experience as a teacher of record with a professional license and:

(a) national board certification; or

(b) participation in at least 125 hours of instructional leadership activities, such as serving as a cooperating teacher of candidates during student teaching or practicum, facilitating professional development for other teachers, mentoring teachers, or peer coaching;

or

(3) for teacher educators of career and technical education or the visual or performing arts, a bachelor's degree in any field and at least five years of relevant professional work experience aligned to the teacher educator's area of instruction.

C. Standard 24. The unit must ensure each teacher educator of field-specific methods instruction, including reading methods, is able to show expertise for teaching assignments and:
is qualified as a teacher educator under Standard 23, subitem (1), and:

(a) has completed a state-approved teacher preparation program;
(b) has three years of experience as a teacher of record; and
(c) holds or held a professional license aligned to the scope and content area of instruction;

(2) is qualified as a teacher educator under Standard 23, subitem (2), and:

(a) has completed a state-approved teacher preparation program;
(b) has seven years of experience as a teacher of record; and
(c) holds or held a professional license aligned to the scope and content area of instruction; or

(3) is qualified as a teacher educator under Standard 23, subitem (3), and:

(a) has completed a state-approved teacher preparation program;
(b) has five years of experience as a teacher of record; and
(c) holds or held a professional license aligned to the scope and content area of instruction.

This standard is effective three years after the date of adoption.

D. Standard 25. The unit must monitor and assess each teacher educator at least once every three years using a teacher educator framework that models continuous improvement practices and includes observations and candidate feedback.

E. Standard 26. The unit must require and document for each teacher educator:
(1) completion of ongoing professional development opportunities, including professional development specific to the field of education focusing on research-based best practices;

(2) completion of 30 hours in a three-year period of professional involvement in an early childhood, elementary, or secondary school setting aligned to the area of instruction that must include at least one of the following: teaching, tutoring, supervising candidates in the field, completing observations, school-level consulting, or engaging with a professional learning community; and

(3) completion of periodic orientation on requirements in chapters 8705 and 8710 and Minnesota Statutes, chapter 122A.

Subp. 6. Standards for unit and program oversight.

A. Standard 27. The unit must:

(1) meet the applicable requirements in this chapter, chapter 8710, and Minnesota Statutes, chapter 122A;

(2) administer all licensure programs as approved;

(3) ensure information submitted to the board as part of the unit approval process and program approval process is not misleading, false, or fraudulent;

(4) comply with state and federal data practices laws; and

(5) maintain an assessment system that enables storing, tracking, and reporting to meet state and federal annual data submission requirements.

B. Standard 28. The unit must designate a leader responsible for:

(1) recommending candidates for licensure upon completion of the teacher preparation program; and
communicating with the board, including notifying the board of changes to approved programs through the program reporting process and submitting licensure program proposal applications and program effectiveness reports.

C. Standard 29. The unit must have financial and physical resources to maintain licensure programs, support teacher educators, provide administrative support, and meet all unit and program standards, including the ability to collect and analyze data for continuous improvement.

Subp. 7. Standards for school counseling programs. Standard 30. For a school counseling program approved by the board, the unit must demonstrate compliance with all applicable entry-level Council for Accreditation of Counseling and Related Education Program (CACREP) standards for a school counseling program including:

A. the learning environment;
B. a professional counseling identity;
C. professional practice;
D. program evaluation; and
E. school counseling standards.

8705.1100 EVALUATION AND APPROVAL OF TEACHER PREPARATION UNITS UNIT APPROVAL.

Subpart 1. [See repealer.]

Subp. 1a. Initial unit approval. A provider must apply for initial unit approval under the procedures in this subpart.

A. The provider must submit a notice of intent to apply for initial unit approval.
B. Within one month of the receipt of the notice of intent, board staff must schedule an informational meeting with the provider to review the approval process and jointly agree upon dates for the site visit.

C. At least 12 months prior to the site visit, the provider must provide a self-study, including supplemental evidence, for review.

D. At least one month prior to the site visit, the provider must submit an interview schedule to board staff.

E. The review team must review the submitted self-study and supplemental evidence and provide feedback on areas of deficit at least six months prior to the site visit.

F. The provider may provide a supplemental narrative and additional evidence to address deficit areas at least one month prior to the site visit and may not provide additional evidence after this time.

G. The review team must conduct a site visit to verify evidence of the standards in this chapter, report their findings, and make a recommendation to the board regarding approval status of the unit.

H. Within the written report of findings and recommendations, the review team must identify each standard under part 8705.1010, as:

1. Met: when the substance of a standard is evidenced through narrative, supplemental evidence, and interviews;

2. Met with Concern: when the substance of a standard is evidenced through narrative, supplemental evidence, or interviews, but the team is concerned with the level of depth the standard is met. The review team must provide a comment on each gap;
(3) Met as Planned: when the substance of a standard is currently not met, but clear and convincing evidence of plans to meet the standard prior to enrolling candidates is provided; or

(4) Not Met: when all or part of a standard is not evidenced.

I. Within one month of the site visit, board staff must provide the written report of findings and recommendations to the unit leader. Within one month of receipt of the review team's report and recommendations, the provider may respond to factual errors.

Subp. 2 1b. Board determinations for initial approval decisions. The board must take one of the following actions based upon the evaluation teams' review team's written report of findings and recommendations, as well as information provided by the unit in the optional addendum, the Professional Educator Licensing and Standards Board shall take one of the actions in items A to D.

A. The board may grant initial unit approval for a duration of two years to newly approved program providers to launch identified approved licensure programs and begin collecting candidate and program data. No additional licensure program applications may be submitted until the unit achieves continuing unit approval status. The board may require the unit to submit an interim report during the approval period to demonstrate compliance with standards identified as "Met as Planned" and "Not Met" in the review team's written report.

(1) Upon written request by the unit, initial unit approval may be extended for an additional two years.

(2) A unit with initial unit approval may seek continuing approval by submitting a self-study once the unit has launched one or more approved programs for at least 24 months. A review team must conduct a site visit to verify evidence of the standards in this chapter, report the findings, and make a recommendation to the board regarding
continuing approval status. The review team must identify each standard according to the procedures in part 8705.1100, subpart 1a, item H.

B. The board may grant continuing unit approval for five or seven years to already approved program providers. Institutions with full national accreditation from the Council for Accreditation of Educator Preparation may be granted a seven-year approval duration. Institutions without full national accreditation from the Council for Accreditation of Educator Preparation shall be granted a five-year approval duration.

C. The board may grant conditional unit approval for up to three years, contingent upon approval of annual compliance reports with supporting evidence addressing identified standards. If acceptable progress is not evidenced by the reports, the board may act to disapprove the unit. The board may grant conditional unit approval for a duration not to exceed two years when the nature and severity of "Not Met" standards threaten the viability of the unit to prepare candidates for licensure. The board must identify standards that must be met in order for the unit to achieve initial approval pursuant to item A. A unit with conditional approval may not submit requests for initial program approval (RIPA). If the unit has already submitted one or more RIPA, the review process must be paused until the unit is granted initial approval pursuant to item A. A unit with conditional approval may not enroll candidates.

(1) Prior to the expiration of the unit's conditional approval, the unit must evidence meeting the identified standards, which must be reviewed at a focused site visit specific to those standards.

(2) If, after two years of conditional approval, standards remain unmet, the board must act to disapprove the unit and discontinue its programs. While on conditional unit approval status, the unit may not submit requests for approval of new licensure programs (RIPA).
D. C. The board may disapprove the unit. The board shall disapprove a unit that does not meet the requirements set forth in part 8705.1000 and this chapter, chapter 8710, or Minnesota Statutes, chapter 122A, results in an inability to prepare candidates for licensure. The disapproval denial action must state the reasons for disapproval and stipulate a termination date which shall accommodate persons currently enrolled in licensure programs within the unit. The provider may not enroll candidates. The provider may resubmit a notice of intent to apply for unit approval when at least six months have passed since the date of the denial action.

Subp. 2. [Renumbered subp 1b]

Subp. 2a. Continuing unit approval.

A. Continuing approval is valid for six years. A unit must apply for continuing unit approval to continue to provide teacher preparation programs to candidates by scheduling an informational meeting with board staff, jointly agreeing upon the dates for a site visit, and following the procedures under subpart 1a, items C to F.

B. The review team must conduct a site visit to verify the standards in this chapter, report their findings, and make a recommendation to the board regarding the approval status of the unit. Within the written report of findings and recommendations, the review team members must identify each standard under part 8705.1010 as:

(1) Met: when the substance of a standard is evidenced through narrative, supplemental evidence, and interviews;

(2) Met with Concern: when the substance of a standard is evidenced through narrative, supplemental evidence, or interviews, but the review team is concerned with the level of depth the standard is met. The review team must provide a comment for each standard that is "Met with Concern"; or
(3) Not Met: when all or part of a standard is not evidenced through narrative, supplemental evidence, and interviews.

C. Within one month of the site visit, board staff must provide the written report of findings and recommendations to the unit leader. Within one month of receipt of the review team's report and recommendations, the provider may respond to factual errors.

Subp. 2b. **Board determinations for continuing approval.** The board must take one of the actions in items A to D based upon the review team's written report of findings and recommendations.

A. The board must grant continuing approval for a period of six years when the unit demonstrates that the standards set forth in part 8705.1010 are "Met" or "Met with Concern."

B. The board must grant continuing approval with focus areas for a period of six years when one or more of the standards set forth in part 8705.1010 are "Not Met."

C. The board must place a unit on probation for a duration not to exceed two years when the nature and severity of "Not Met" standards threaten the viability of the unit to prepare candidates for licensure. The unit is identified as "low performing" for Federal Title II reporting.

(1) A unit on probation may not enroll new candidates.

(2) A unit on probation may not submit a request for initial program approval (RIPA) for new programs. If a unit has already submitted one or more RIPA, the review process must be paused until the unit is granted continuing approval or continuing approval with focus areas.

(3) When placing a unit on probation, the board must identify standards that must be met in order for the unit to achieve continuing approval or continuing approval with focus areas. Prior to the expiration of the probationary status, the unit must evidence
meeting the identified standards, which must be reviewed at a site visit specific to those standards. If standards remain "Not Met" after two years of probation, the board must act to disapprove the unit and discontinue its programs.

D. The board must disapprove a unit when the unit's failure to meet the requirements and standards in this chapter, chapter 8710, or Minnesota Statutes, chapter 122A, results in an inability to prepare candidates for licensure. The disapproval action must state the reasons for disapproval and stipulate a termination date that accommodates candidates currently enrolled in licensure programs within the unit. A provider may not reapply for unit or program approval until at least two years have passed since the date of the disapproval action.

Subp. 3. [See repealer.]

Subp. 4. [See repealer.]

Subp. 5. [See repealer.]

Subp. 6. **Unit review teams and expenses.**

A. The review team for site visits must be comprised of at least three representatives for units and two reviewers for restricted units. The review team may include active or former teacher educators, active or former teachers, and active or former school administrators. The unit leader must provide input to board staff regarding the review team membership. If agreement is not reached regarding review team membership, the board staff shall appoint the review team members.

B. Expenses of the review team members shall be reimbursed by the Professional Educator Licensing and Standards Board as permitted under state law or rule. Other incidental expenses incurred by the provider, such as those related to preparing reports, arranging meetings, and providing workrooms, supplies, and hospitality for the review team while on site are the responsibility of the provider.
Subpart 1. Initial approval. When a teacher preparation provider that is accredited by a board-approved national education accreditation agency seeks unit approval, the provider may demonstrate compliance with a subset of standards set forth in part 8705.1010.

Subp. 2. Standards. A teacher preparation provider that is accredited by a board-approved national education accreditation agency may comply with the following standards in part 8705.1010:

A. Standard 2;
B. Standard 9;
C. Standard 11;
D. Standard 12;
E. Standard 13;
F. Standard 14;
G. Standard 18;
H. Standard 20;
I. Standard 26;
J. Standard 27; and

Subp. 3. Approval process for units with board-approved national education accreditation. A unit that is accredited by a board-approved national education accreditation agency must follow the procedures of this subpart.

A. The unit must notify board staff if it plans to pursue accreditation by a board-approved national education accreditation agency.
B. The date of the on-site evaluation with the board-approved national education accreditation agency must be jointly agreed upon at least 12 months prior to the unit's approval expiration date.

C. The unit must submit a written compliance report to board staff that addresses the standards identified in subpart 2.

D. Board staff must participate in the site visit and report findings according to part 8705.1100, subpart 2a, item B.

Subp. 4. Board determinations for continuing approval. The board must take one of the actions set forth in part 8705.1100, subpart 2b. When granting continuing approval, the board may grant continuing approval for a period of up to ten years to align with the approval cycle of the board-approved national accrediting agency.

Subp. 5. Notice required. The unit must notify board staff if there are any changes to its approval status with the board-approved national education accrediting agency.

8705.1400 UNITS WITH RESTRICTED APPROVAL.

Subpart 1. Restricted approval. A provider may apply for restricted approval to provide one or more programs designed to meet a subset of licensure standards.

Subp. 2. Limitations. A unit with restricted approval may not recommend candidates for licensure.

Subp. 3. Standards. To be approved as a unit with restricted approval, a provider must comply with the following standards in part 8705.1010:

A. Standard 3, subitems (2) and (3);

B. Standard 4, subitems (2) and (3);

C. Standard 5;
28.1   D.  Standard 9;
28.2   E.  Standard 10, subitems (2) and (3);
28.3   F.  Standard 16;
28.4   G.  Standard 17;
28.5   H.  Standard 18;
28.6   I.  Standard 20;
28.7   J.  Standard 22;
28.8   K.  Standard 23;
28.9   L.  Standard 25;
28.10  M.  Standard 26;
28.11  N.  Standard 27;
28.12  O.  Standard 28, subitem (2); and

Subp. 4.  **Candidate tracking.**

28.15  A.  A unit with restricted approval must have an assessment system to track
28.16   candidates.

28.17  B.  A unit with restricted approval must track candidate field experiences, including:
28.18   (1)  field experience hours completed that align to the scope and content of
28.19   the licensure field sought;

28.20  (2)  experience with students who differ in race, ethnicity, home language,
28.21   and socioeconomic status; and
(3) experience with students with a range of exceptionalities, including students on an individualized education plan.

Subp. 5. **Initial approval process.** An organization must apply for restricted approval under the procedures of this subpart.

A. The provider must submit its notice of intent to apply for restricted approval.

B. The provider and board staff must jointly agree upon dates for the site visit approximately three to six months prior to the site visit.

C. At least three months prior to the site visit, the provider must provide input regarding review team membership.

D. At least one month prior to the site visit, the provider must submit a site visit schedule to board staff and must submit the self-study and any supplemental information to board staff and the review team.

E. The review team must conduct a site visit to verify evidence of the applicable standards. The site visit may be virtual.

F. The review team must report findings and make a recommendation to the board regarding the approval status according to the procedures in part 8705.1100, subpart 1a, item H.

Subp. 6. **Board determinations for initial approval.** The board must take one of the actions in part 8705.1100, subpart 1b.

Subp. 7. **Continuing unit approval.** Continuing restricted approval is valid for up to six years. A unit must apply for continuing restricted approval by meeting the standards and requirements in subparts 3 and 4, and according to the procedures in subpart 5.

Subp. 8 **Board determinations for continuing approval.** The board must take one of the actions in part 8705.1100, subpart 2b.
Subpart 1. **Submission required.** To maintain continuing unit approval, a unit must submit a midcycle self-study.

Subp. 2. **Midcycle self-study.** The unit must submit a self-study that provides the following:

A. a description of major changes to the unit and any impact of those changes to unit standards. The description must also address how the unit is complying with new state statutory requirements, if any;

B. a description of the action steps taken to address any standards identified as "Met with Concern" or "Not Met" during the previous site visit, including evidence of how the unit is demonstrating compliance with these standards;

C. identification of unit strengths and areas of improvement, including but not limited to:

   (1) data and analysis of the survey results collected from program completers and supervisors; and

   (2) data and analysis of candidate scores in performance assessments;

D. a summary and evaluation of work completed with the designated school partner;

E. a summary of feedback gathered from stakeholders, including candidates, program completers, school partners, teacher educators, and representatives from the community, and how this feedback has informed continuous improvement; and

F. a description of how data has informed continuous improvement efforts.

Subp. 3. **Midcycle self-study review procedures and board determinations.**

A. Midcycle self-studies must be initially reviewed by board staff.
B. A self-study must be reviewed by the board when:

(1) the unit and programs do not show evidence of compliance with state and federal requirements;

(2) there are major changes to the unit that adversely affect how standards are met;

(3) insufficient evidence was submitted to demonstrate that standards previously found as "Not Met" or "Met with Concern" are "Met";

(4) continuous improvement data, including data and analysis, identifies a concern in unit effectiveness or operations; or

(5) insufficient evidence was submitted to demonstrate the unit is using data and stakeholders to implement effective unit-wide or programmatic changes.

Subp. 4. Exemptions.

A. A unit with accreditation from a board-approved national education accreditation agency is exempt from the requirements in subpart 2, items C to F, when the unit submits its most recent annual report, which was submitted to the board-approved national accrediting agency, and the national accrediting agency's findings.

B. A restricted unit is exempt from the requirements in this part.

8705.2000 PROGRAM REVIEW PANEL (PRP).

The Professional Educator Licensing and Standards Board shall establish a program review panel (PRP) as a standing committee of the board to assist with program review and approval processes. PRP membership shall include but is not limited to representation from organizations including, but not limited to, the Professional Educator Licensing and Standards Board, Minnesota Association of Colleges for Teacher Education, the Minnesota Department of Education approved alternative preparation providers, and Education
Minnesota. The PRP will consult with content experts as needed. PRP members will recuse themselves from reviews of their current or former unit or programs or other perceived conflicts of interest. Professional Educator Licensing and Standards Board staff will facilitate the work of the PRP and serve as nonvoting members. The PRP will make recommendations to the board regarding approval of licensure programs referred to them. The PRP will review the following programs:

A. programs flagged within the PERCA system under part 8705.2200, including low-volume programs;

B. programs with an existing approval status of:
   (1) approved with continuous improvement focus; and
   (2) probationary; and

C. new programs, including:
   (1) all nonconventional;
   (2) all alternative; and
   (3) standard programs flagged by review.

8705.2100 REQUEST FOR INITIAL TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAM APPROVAL (RIPA).

[For text of subpart 1, see Minnesota Rules]

Subp. 2. Request for initial program approval (RIPA). The following are the application requirements for seeking approval for a new licensure program submitted by an approved Minnesota institution or provider. The request for initial program approval (RIPA) must be submitted according to the procedures in this subpart.

A. The unit leader, on behalf of the institution or program provider, or designee shall submit to the board an application for each new teacher preparation program for which
approval is requested through the online educator preparation provider application system (EPPAS).

B. Programs that have submitted applications may not begin enrolling candidates prior to approval by the board.

C. The application must include Verification by the unit’s dean or administration. The unit must verify that sufficient financial, physical, human resources, and qualified faculty teacher educators, have been dedicated to the program to sustain it if approved.

D. Program applications must include all requirements in subitems (1) to (6).

   (1) The application must provide evidence of the following program development standards:

   (a) the program was developed in consultation with licensed and practicing teachers in the subject area and other school-based partners, qualified faculty, and content experts; and a summary of the program development process, including name and contact information for individuals engaged in program development, including the designated school partner, teacher educators, content instructors, and practicing teachers in the licensure field; and

   (b) the name and contact information for a faculty member, qualified by academic preparation in the content, who is responsible for delivery of this program and is qualified as a methods instructor pursuant to part 8705.1010, subpart 5.

   (2) For initial licensure programs, the application must provide evidence of teaching and assessing the standards of effective practice (SEP) under part 8710.2000, including:
(a) candidates with a professional sequence of courses learning opportunities based on the standards under part 8710.2000, consistent with credit requirements of existing board-approved programs; and

(b) evidence of how the program will provide opportunities for candidate learning and assessment specific to each standard.

(3) For initial and additional licensure programs, the application must provide evidence of teaching and assessing content standards for one or more licensure programs under parts 8710.3000 to 8710.8080, including:

(a) the program provides candidates with a sequence of courses learning opportunities based on the specific content standards of one or more licensure program under parts 8710.3000 to 8710.8080, consistent with credit requirements of existing board-approved programs including field specific methods instruction and reading methods; and

(b) evidence of how the program will provide opportunities for candidate learning and assessment specific to each standard of the identified licensure program.

(4) The application must provide evidence of the following field experiences and student teaching standards:

(a) the program requires a range of planned and supervised field experiences prior to student teaching that provide candidates with opportunities to demonstrate the unit's indicators of professional dispositions and the required pedagogical and content skills and knowledge under parts 8710.2000 to 8710.8080;

(b) the program provides and requires experiences in the field clinical experiences aligned to the scope and content of the licensure field sought and with diverse populations of learners, as required in part 8705.1010, subpart 3;
for initial teacher licensure, the program requires a student teaching
period of a minimum of 12 consecutive weeks, full time, face-to-face, which may be split
into two placements;

qualified faculty supervisors and cooperating teachers each provide
documented formative feedback multiple times, including at least two triad conferences
including the candidate, supervisor, and cooperating teacher;

evaluation of candidates seeking an initial teaching license includes
the completion of the state-approved teacher performance assessment during the student
teaching placement;

for licenses added to an initial license, the program may determine
the length of field experiences needed for each candidate to demonstrate program standards
necessary to be recommended for an additional license as follows:

i. the program must provide field experiences aligned to the scope
of the licensure sought;

ii. the length of field experience may vary depending on the prior
academic preparation and experiences of each candidate; and

iii. a written evaluation by a supervisor is required;

for middle-level endorsement fields, the program requires a student
teaching period of a minimum of four continuous weeks, full time, face-to-face; and

applications for related services licensure programs under parts
8710.6000 to 8710.6400 must evidence the incorporation of a range of planned and
supervised field experiences providing opportunities to demonstrate the required skills and
knowledge of the candidate's specific field.
(5) The application must provide evidence of the following faculty qualifications standards:

   (a) program faculty assigned to instruct and assess the subject matter content must have advanced academic preparation in the content;

   (b) all faculty who are assigned to teach content-specific methods courses must have advanced academic preparation and have at least one academic year of prekindergarten through grade 12 teaching experience in that content area within the scope of the license; and

   (c) all faculty who supervise student teaching must have advanced academic preparation and have at least one academic year of prekindergarten through grade 12 teaching experience. Teaching experience must be within the scope of the programs they are supervising.

(6) The application must provide evidence of the following assessment processes standards:

   (a) a uniform, operational assessment system applied to all candidates with a minimum of three key assessments, including performance assessments, that are aligned to identified pedagogical and content standards under parts 8710.2000 to 8710.8080, used to determine candidates' attainment of standards and to monitor candidates' progress;

   (b) the assessment system collects data to monitor candidate progress at a minimum of three checkpoints, including entry, advancement through the program, and exit;

   (e) a plan to systematically collect, analyze, and use aggregated candidate competency data to evaluate program effectiveness and to make program improvements;
(d) in preparation for seeking continuing program approval, the planned assessment system will provide candidate competency data for the following board-adopted performance assessment components:

i. planning and assessment skills;

ii. instructional skills and engaging students; and

iii. ability to assess student learning;

(e) a plan to systematically obtain and analyze feedback from graduates, employers, school partners, and other stakeholders on the performance of graduates for use in program evaluation; and

(f) assessment of teacher candidate performance includes data about the performance of the students they teach.

(5) The application must provide evidence of its program type. A program can have more than one program type. Program types include:

(a) "Baccalaureate," defined as a licensure program that grants credit and is designed for candidates who are seeking their undergraduate degree at the same time as completing a teacher preparation program.

(b) "Classroom-based," defined as a licensure program designed for on-site learning where more than 80 percent of the instructional content is provided in a shared physical space.

(c) "Hybrid," defined as a licensure program designed for a combination of classroom-based and online learning, where 20 to 80 percent of the instructional content is provided in a shared physical space and the remaining is provided in a virtual format.
(d) "Online," defined as a licensure program designed for distant learning where more than 80 percent of the instructional content is provided through a virtual format. Candidates must complete field experiences and student teaching in a face-to-face format.

(e) "Other," a provider can request recognition of additional licensure program types. The board must approve or deny the request.

(f) "Post-baccalaureate," defined as a licensure program that grants credit and is designed for candidates who have already completed an undergraduate degree. The program may or may not lead to an advanced degree.

(g) "Residency," defined as a program offered in partnership with a designated school partner that provides a year-long clinical experience integrating learning opportunities and student teaching. A residency program uses a cohort-based model that pairs candidates, often referred to as residents, with cooperating teachers. The residency program must ensure each candidate is placed for a full academic year to co-teach and participate in professional development for at least 80 percent of the contracted school week. The residency program must ensure that each cooperating teacher serves as the teacher of record and receives ongoing professional development in co-teaching, mentoring, and coaching skills. Candidates may receive a stipend, salary, or other form of commensurate financial support during the residency program.

(h) "Transfer," defined as a licensure program that meets only a subset of licensure standards and does not recommend candidates for licensure. This program type is only available to units approved as restricted units.

(6) The application must identify a process for continuous improvement that includes:

(a) identification of three key assessments used to assess all candidates and identify areas to track for program efficacy, including at least one assessment monitoring
applicable content standards in parts 8710.3000 to 8710.8080. Each assessment must monitor
no more than ten specific substandards in part 8710.2000 or content standards;

(b) attestation that all candidates must attempt board-approved content
and pedagogy exams prior to recommendation, for data on program efficacy;

(c) attestation that all candidates must submit a complete board-adopted
performance assessment prior to recommendation, for data on program efficacy; and

(d) attestation that the implementation of the battery of board-adopted
surveys must be provided for candidates, program completers at completion and one year
after completion, and the program completer's current supervisor one year after completion.

Subp. 3. **Initial review process procedures.**

A. RIPA applications will RIPAs must be initially reviewed by trained content
experts and board staff according to timelines and consistent with standards adopted by the
Professional Educator Licensing and Standards Board. During the initial review, each
applicable standard in this chapter and parts 8710.2000 to 8710.8000 that align to the
licensure area must be identified as "Met," "Met with Concern," or "Not Met." If all the
applicable standards are verified found to be "Met" and there are no requests for a
discretionary variance from standards in this chapter or chapter 8710, the application program
will be recommended to the board for approval.

B. If a program application does not meet all standards in the initial review, the
findings will be returned to the applicant for clarification and resubmission during the initial
review applicable standards are identified as "Met with Concern" or "Not Met," the provider
may choose to make changes to learning opportunities and assessments for a second review.

C. If, after the second review, any standards remain unmet, the application will
be forwarded to the board's program review panel.
D. C. The PRP will provide a program review panel (PRP) must review and all
RIPAs with standards identified as "Met with Concern" or "Not Met" and all RIPAs seeking
a discretionary variance from board rule. The PRP must make a recommendation to the
board regarding whether the program application meets the standards. If the application is
not approved by the board, an application for the same licensure area may not be submitted
for a minimum of one year from the time of board action program should be approved.

Subp. 4. Approval decisions and duration Board determinations. The board must
take one of the following actions based upon the PRP's recommendation.

A. RIPAs that are recommended by reviewers or the PRP shall receive
The board may grant initial approval for up to two three years to launch the program and
to begin to collect candidate and program efficacy data needed for continuing approval.

B. A program with initial approval will submit reports for continuing approval
must seek continuing approval by submitting a program effectiveness report for continuing
approval (PERCA) on the same reporting cycle as the unit.

(1) Continuing approval shall be granted when a program effectiveness report and:

(a) data from a minimum of ten program completers is approved through
the continuing approval reporting process shows that candidates meet board-adopted
thresholds on state-required examinations and performance assessments; and

(b) the program continues to demonstrate compliance with the standards
and requirements of this chapter.

(2) If, after two three years, the program has less fewer than ten program
completers, another two three years of "initial approval" shall be granted. If, after four six
years of "initial approval," there are less fewer than ten program completers, the board may
act to provide continuing approval based on performance data and other information provided
by the program or may grant approval with a continuous improvement focus under part 8705.2200, subpart 3, item C, subitem (2) the program will be forwarded to the PRP.

(3) If data from at least ten program completers shows that candidates do not meet board-adopted thresholds on state-required examinations and performance assessments, the program must be forwarded to the PRP.

B. The board may deny the request for initial approval. The program may not enroll candidates. The provider may not submit a request for initial program approval until after one year has passed since the date of the denial action.

8705.2200 CONTINUING TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAM APPROVAL
PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS REPORT FOR CONTINUING APPROVAL (PERCA).

[For text of subpart 1, see Minnesota Rules]

Subp. 2. Program effectiveness reports report for continuing approval (PERCA). The requirements in items A to D are necessary for seeking continuing approval of an approved licensure program offered by an approved Minnesota institution or provider.

A. To maintain continuing approval of each licensure program, the unit leader, on behalf of the institution or program provider, shall submit to the board biennial program effectiveness reports.

B. Program effectiveness reports must provide aggregated program data from the following:

(1) for all licensure programs: state-adopted content knowledge exams aligned to the licensure field;

(2) for initial licensure programs: state-adopted pedagogy assessments aligned to the scope of the licensure field, including the state-approved teacher performance assessment; and
(3) for endorsement programs: three locally determined key assessments aligned to identified standards.

C. Program effectiveness reports must provide evidence of continuous improvement efforts, including:

(1) for initial licensure programs: use of first-year teacher survey data, including a summary of findings and analysis including program strengths, areas for improvement, and response rates from locally determined surveys aligned to the standards of effective practice of program completers after one year of teaching experience;

(2) for initial licensure programs: use of school administrator survey data, including a summary of findings and analysis including program strengths, areas for improvement, and response rates from locally determined surveys aligned to the standards of effective practice of administrators employing program completers at the end of the first year of classroom teaching;

(3) for endorsement programs: use of data from candidate performance evaluations provided by cooperating teachers;

(4) for all programs: evidence that aggregated data from multiple assessments are regularly analyzed for program evaluation purposes, including content-specific data, licensure examinations, surveys, performance assessments, and others;

(5) for all programs: demonstration of progress on previously reported plans and goals;

(6) for all programs: evidence that the program has used data to further develop continuous improvement plans and goals; and

(7) for all programs: evidence that constituent groups, including representatives from partner schools, practicing public school teachers licensed in the content
field, and faculty with content expertise, combined with unit faculty, collaborate in the regular and systematic evaluation of this program.

D. Changes to an approved licensure program must be accurately reported to the Professional Educator Licensing and Standards Board through the biennial reporting process. When the primary placement of a standard is changed, the program must report the revised learning and assessment opportunities. The board shall review changes to verify continued compliance with program standards.

A. A unit must seek continuing approval of a program every three years by submitting a program effectiveness report for continuing approval (PERCA) that includes the following:

(1) the number of candidates enrolled and the number of program completers in each of the last three years;

(2) aggregate candidate scores and pass rates in state-required examinations in the last three years; and

(3) aggregate candidate scores and pass rates in board-adopted performance assessments in the last three years.

B. The unit must report when the primary placement of one or more standards has been changed by revising the learning opportunities and assessments described in the online educator preparation provider application system (EPPAS) at the time of the PERCA submission.

C. PERCAs must be reviewed according to the initial program review procedure in part 8705.2100, subpart 3, when:

(1) significant program changes have been made such that the primary placement of at least 25 percent of standards resulted;
changes to one or more methods courses have been made; or

(3) learning opportunities and assessments specific to unit Standard 2, subitems (4) to (8), are modified.

Subp. 3. **Program effectiveness reports for continuing approval (PERCA); review procedures and approval decisions.**

A. **PERCAs must be initially reviewed by board staff. PERCAs must be submitted to the program review panel for review when:**

   (1) program changes to learning opportunities or assessments have been made and standards are found to be "Met with Concern" or "Not Met";

   (2) the program is out of compliance with one or more of the requirements set forth in this chapter, chapter 8710, or Minnesota Statutes, chapter 122A;

   (3) the program has an existing status of "approval with a continuous focus area" and has failed to fully address its continuous focus area;

   (4) the program has an existing status of "probationary"; or

   (5) the program has failed to fully meet the requirements set forth by a required interim report.

B. The PRP shall or board staff must make a recommendation to the board regarding whether to approve the program.

Subp. 3a. **Board determinations.** Based on the findings of the PERCA submission and recommendations of the PRP as applicable or board staff, the board shall make one of the program approval decisions in subitems (1) to (4) items A to D.

A. **The board shall** must grant continuing approval for two three years when the program report provides evidence that the program meets adopted performance standards, statutory and rule requirements, and evidences that candidates have attained competency...
of licensure standards. For federal Title II reporting, the board must identify the program as "effective" in the state report card.

B. (2) The board may grant continuing approval with continuous improvement focus areas for two years when the program report revealed that one or more standards, rules, or candidate performance measures were not in compliance with board criteria, including when less than 70 percent of candidates meet board-adopted thresholds on state-required examinations and board-adopted performance assessments. Continuing program approval status is granted with board-identified areas of focus for continuous improvement. The continuous improvement portion of the PERCA report must include evidence of progress in the identified focus areas in the subsequent reporting cycle. The board must make the status of approval with continuous improvement focus and the identified focus areas publicly available on the board's website. Based on evidence of progress specific to the focus areas, the board may grant an additional two years of continuing approval with continuous improvement focus. For federal Title II reporting, the board must identify the program as "at risk of low performing" in the state report card.

C. (3) The board may grant probationary approval for up to two years when the program does not demonstrate acceptable progress on focused continuous improvement plans. Probationary approval authorizes the program to continue with one year to demonstrate progress on identified unmet standards, rules, or candidate performance measures. After one year, and based on a written progress report, the board may grant a second one-year extension of the probationary approval status prior to discontinuing the identified program. Students enrolled in a formerly approved program that is placed on probationary approval status must be notified of the program's status. Probationary status may result in federal reporting or financial aid implications or may impact other accreditations. For federal Title II reporting, the board must identify the program as "low performing" in the state report card.
D. (4) The board may grant discontinued must discontinue a program status when the board determines that required standards for program approval are unmet. The program will be discontinued and failure of the program to meet the requirements and standards in this chapter, chapter 8710, or Minnesota Statutes, chapter 122A, results in an inability to prepare candidates for licensure. When discontinuing a program, the board will must establish a timeline to accommodate candidates enrolled in the program. No new students candidates may be admitted into a discontinued program after the date the board acts to discontinue the program. The provider must submit to the board a list of candidates enrolled in the program and their expected graduation completion dates. The provider must individually notify those candidates in writing of the program's discontinuation and their program completion options. The unit may not apply for program approval for a program that has been discontinued until at least three years have passed since the board action.

Subp. 4. [See repealer.]

8705.2600 INTERIM APPROVALS, REVOCATION, SUSPENSION BOARD ACTIONS, DISCRETIONARY VARIANCES, AND APPEALS.

Subpart 1. Interim conditional approval. When amendments or additions to Minnesota statutes or to Professional Educator Licensing and Standards Board rules regarding teacher licensure requirements necessitate substantial unit or preparation program revisions, the board shall may grant interim conditional approval to any currently approved unit and its currently approved teacher preparation programs upon receipt of official institutional assurances on a form established by the board that the new requirements will be met by their effective date. The unit or program shall be is returned to its former status upon full compliance with new requirements on a schedule determined by the board.

Subp. 2. Revocation or suspension of approval. The board may revoke or suspend the approval status of a teacher preparation unit or program when the board determines that an approved institution or the unit has clearly violated ethical or legal practices or board
rules. A provider may reapply for approval after two years have passed since the date of
the board action.

Subp. 3. **Appeal of board decision.** A provider may appeal a decision by
the board regarding the approval status of an institution, a unit, or a preparation program to
prepare persons for teacher licensure. The appeal must be filed with the board within 30 days
of the decision to appeal, pursuant to Minnesota Statutes, chapter 14.

Subp. 4. **Voluntary discontinuation.**

A. For a unit to voluntarily discontinue an approved licensure program, the unit
must submit a letter signed by the unit's administration to the executive director of the board,
including:

1. a brief rationale for discontinuing the program;
2. the last date when new candidates are allowed to enter the program; and
3. a list of candidates enrolled with expected completion dates.

B. The unit must notify all enrolled candidates in writing of the program's
discontinuation and their program completion options.

C. A provider must submit a request for initial program approval (RIPA)
application according to part 8705.2100 to obtain approval of a program that has been
voluntarily discontinued.

Subp. 5. **Discretionary variance.** The board may grant a discretionary variance to
authorize a provider or program to meet a requirement in this chapter in a manner other
than as specified in rule or to waive the requirement entirely when application of the
requirement would result in undue hardship. A provider may request a discretionary variance
by using a form developed by the board. To apply for a discretionary variance, a provider
must:
48.1 A. identify the requirement for which the variance is requested;
48.2 B. describe why adherence to the particular rule requirement would impose an
48.3 undue burden or hardship; and
48.4 C. describe the alternative practices or measures in place to protect the rights and
48.5 learning opportunities of candidates and students and the rationale.
48.6 The board shall review discretionary variance requests. If the discretionary variance request
48.7 is denied, the board shall provide the reason for the denial. The board may attach conditions
48.8 to granting the variance if it is determined that conditions are needed to protect the rights
48.9 of candidates and students.

Subp. 6. Interim reports.

48.10 A. If the board identifies new or repeated violations of the requirements set forth
48.11 in this chapter, chapter 8710, or Minnesota Statutes, chapter 122A, the board may require
48.12 the unit to submit an interim report. The unit must submit an interim report within two
48.13 months of receiving notice, and the report must include evidence of how the violations were
48.14 resolved and how the unit or program has achieved compliance.

48.15 B. Upon receipt of the interim report, the board must take one or more of the
48.16 following actions:

48.17 (1) notify the unit in writing that sufficient evidence has been submitted to
48.18 determine that the violations identified in the interim report are "Met";

48.19 (2) change the unit's approval status to "approved with focus areas" for the
48.20 remainder of the unit's approval period or until the unit provides evidence that all
48.21 requirements identified in the interim report are "Met";
(3) change the unit's approval status to probationary for the remainder of the unit's approval period but no longer than two years or until the unit provides additional evidence that all requirements identified in the interim report are "Met";

(4) disapprove the unit;

(5) change a program's approval status to "approved with focus areas" for the remainder of the program's approval period or until the program provides evidence that all requirements identified in the interim report are "Met";

(6) change a program's approval status to "probationary" for the remainder of the program's approval period but no longer than two years or until the program provides evidence that all requirements identified in the interim report are "Met"; or

(7) discontinue its program or programs.

C. When taking a board action against a unit or program, the board must consider the nature and severity of the violation of law or rule and the effect of the violation on the rights of the candidates in the program.

REPEALER. Minnesota Rules, parts 8705.0200, subparts 2, 7, and 9; 8705.0300; 8705.1000; 8705.1100, subparts 1, 3, 4, and 5; 8705.1200; 8705.2200, subpart 4; 8705.2300; and 8705.2400, are repealed.

EFFECTIVE DATE. (a) Organizations seeking initial unit approval or initial program approval must meet the standards in this chapter effective January 1, 2021. Organizations may choose to meet the standards in this chapter prior to January 1, 2021.

(b) A unit must meet the standards in parts 8705.1010 and 8705.1100 by the date of the unit's first site visit occurring on or after July 1, 2022. The unit may choose to meet the standards in this chapter prior to July 1, 2022.
(c) A unit must meet the standards in parts 8705.2000 to 8705.2200 for each program seeking continuing approval by the date of the program's first PERCA submission occurring on or after July 1, 2020.

(d) Standard 24 in part 8705.1010, subpart 5, is effective three years after the date of adoption.