

STATE OF MINNESOTA
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

In the Matter of the Rules Governing
Examination Requirements for Licensure as
a Licensed Psychologist and Supervised
Psychological Employment,
Minn. R. 7200.0550 and Minn. R. 7200.0820

**ORDER ON REVIEW OF
ADDITIONAL NOTICE PLAN
PURSUANT TO
MINN. R. 1400.2060, SUBP. 2A**

This matter came before Administrative Law Judge Ann C. O'Reilly upon the Minnesota Board of Psychology's (Board) request for review of its Additional Notice Plan pursuant to Minn. R. 1400.2060, subp. 2A (2015).

Based upon a review of the written submissions by the Board,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

The Additional Notice Plan is **APPROVED**, subject to the following additional notice requirements:

1. The Board shall e-mail or mail copies of the Request for Comments to all licensed psychologists, applicants for licensure, and "verification vendors."
2. The Board shall mail copies of the Request for Comments to all accredited schools in Minnesota that offer graduate and post-graduate programs in psychology or related areas of study which would be impacted by the proposed rules.
3. The Board shall mail copies of the Request for Comments to all professional associations whose members may be impacted by the proposed rules, including but not limited to: the Minnesota Psychological Association, the Minnesota Counseling Association, Mental Health Minnesota, and the Minnesota School Psychologists Association.
4. The Board is advised to maintain a mailing list of all individuals who have requested to be advised of rulemaking and shall e-mail or mail (depending on the recipient's preference) the Request for Comments to that rulemaking list.
5. The Board is further advised to incorporate these same notice requirements in any Additional Notice Plans under Minn. Stat. §§ 14.131, 14.14, 14.22, 14.23 (2014), although such Additional Notice Plan(s) has/have not been submitted for approval by the Administrative Law Judge at this time.

6. Finally, with respect to the proposed Request for Comments, it is respectfully recommended that the Board include the following sentence in the “Public Comments” paragraph, as recommended in Minn. R. 1400.2510 (2015): “The Board [insert either: (has) or (has not yet)] prepared a draft of the possible rule amendments” OR “The Board does not anticipate that a draft of the rule amendments will be available before the publication of the proposed rule.”

Dated: September 16, 2015

s/Ann C. O'Reilly

ANN C. O'REILLY

Administrative Law Judge