

Date	Time	Location
September 16, 2025	11am-12pm	Zoom

Preparation notes for Attendees

Attendees				
Notetaker:	Jennifer Corcoran - x	Melinda Kernik - x	Nick Linell -	Brent Lund - x
Karen Majewicz - x	Ryan Mattke - x	Alysa Zimmerle - x		
Geoff Bitner - x	Adam Smith -			

Action Items from previous meetings / Updates	Status/Notes

Topics for Discussion and Decisions	Notes	Decisions
Administrative Stuff	• Documents in Google Drive ◦ Any issues accessing agenda/etc? • Governance ◦ Chair and vice chair	

Change to Data Practices Act	Judicial officials can request their information be removed from public websites – this impacts parcel data Do we wait for a request, then delete from all years of data? Do we proactively remove names from all parcel data sets (even historical)? Do we do nothing? What does MetroGIS/MnGeo plan to do with metro parcels going back to 2002? • in discussions to remove archived data from commons - keep only the last 5 years • planning to redact names - selective or ALL? • want to follow the intent of the law, not just react • these are published quarterly. They rely upon the counties to scrub the data. What if a request comes in within the quarter? Would MC have to manually scrub in between • Counties don't keep archived copies! (except Ramsey) • Names may be available internally only Q. Are there already protected names? i.e. witness protection. Can we follow those practices rather than drastic wholesale (easier) decisions? The implications are severe - ex. how will we find out if someone owns a lot of parcels? There are a lot of downsides.	Borchert staff to make backup dark storage copies of archival (outdated) parcels in the Commons Slides from Met Council – living document, commentary not set in stone
-------------------------------------	--	--

	Consider that the law is about PUBLIC data. State agencies may still have access to full information. Consider that various copies of parcel datasets will get out of sync. We are not sure we understand the new law yet.	
Previous Work	This committee will build on the foundational work of the Archiving Workgroups (see Reports section).	MnGeo is centralized body, but cannot force other agencies to do anything – all voluntary until there is a policy made; Maybe an MOU could work – State of MN represented by MnGeo, come to a consensus on an agreement that could get the archive going
Objectives (Charter)	Objectives 1. Establish a statewide archiving framework Develop a sustainable strategy for preserving Minnesota’s geospatial data, ensuring its long-term accessibility and usability. 2. Foster collaboration across sectors Strengthen partnerships with data stewards in government, academic institutions, private sector, and relevant stakeholders. 3. Align with existing efforts	We have restricted and non-restricted data – does the archive mirror that? Sustainable strategy Partnerships • Start with pilot projects? BTAA GIN working with

	Collaborate with committees and projects to integrate archiving into broader geospatial initiatives, including the Image Service Sustainability Committee's efforts to archive historical aerial imagery layers. 4. Educate and advocate Promote awareness about the benefits of geospatial data archiving and provide actionable steps for community stakeholders. 5. Monitor and evaluate progress Provide oversight and guidance on strategies and activities to ensure intended goals are met, adjusting as needed based on lessons learned.	City of Minneapolis... Align with existing efforts - Image Service – archive retired layers? Promote Awareness - Communication plan? - Plan to present/poster at GIS/LIS in 2026? Oversight and guidance - What do we need to be tracking?
Deliverables (Work Plan)	Deliverables 1. An operational framework Actionable policies, best practices, and procedures that can be directly applied by stakeholders to archive geospatial data effectively. 2. Community engagement Presentations at the MN GIS/LIS Conference and similar forums to share progress, lessons learned, and encourage broader participation in archiving efforts. 3. Documentation Annual updates and reports detailing milestones,	- Refine previous documents? - Volunteers? - Community engagement - GIS/LIS 2026 - Volunteers? - Report out at May 2026 GAC meeting on progress? - Volunteers? - Practical applications - MNGDPA

	challenges, and next steps in implementing the archiving strategy. 4. Practical work	i. Redaction of names from parcel data b. ISSC c. Migration from MN Geospatial Commons to new ArcGIS Hub site i. The 4th phase is set to be complete by 3/31/2026
Set up future meetings	Regular (monthly?) day and time?	Third Tuesdays!