

OFFICE OF THE COURT MONITOR FOR THE WELSCH CONSENT DECREE

STATEWIDE AND COMMUNITY COMPLIANCE ISSUES

DECEMBER, 1983

ISSUES RAISED:	180
ISSUES RESOLVED:	100
ISSUES OUTSTANDING:	80

OUTSTANDING STATEWIDE AND COMMUNITY COMMUNITY COMPLIANCE ISSUES

1 (Paragraph 89 F)	2 (Paragraph 89 B)
4 (Paragraph 103)	6 (Paragraph 89 F)
7 (Paragraph 35)	10 (Paragraph 60)
17 (Paragraph 89 F)	18 (Paragraph 89, 95E)
19 (Paragraph 16)	20 (Paragraph 26, 89)
22 (Paragraph 60)	23 (Paragraph 61)
24 (Paragraph 63)	25 (Paragraph 64)
27 (Paragraph 67 D2B)	28 (Paragraph 24)
29 (Paragraph 34, 35)	39 (Paragraph 22)
40 (Paragraph 21)	41 (Paragraph 81)
42 (Paragraph 88, 89 B)	43 (Paragraph 89 F)
45 (Paragraph 22 E)	47 (Paragraph 21)
49 (Paragraph 89 B)	50 (Paragraph 24, 26)
55 (Paragraph 68 B)	61 (Paragraph 81)
65 (Paragraph 63)	67 (Paragraph 22 E, 23)
70 (Paragraph 67 D2A)	72 (Paragraph 70, 71)
73 (Paragraph 16)	74 (Paragraph 16)
79 (Paragraph 30)	85 (Paragraph 63, 64)
86 (Paragraph 28-33)	87 (Paragraph 16)
90 (Paragraph 26)	98 (Paragraph 26)
100 (Paragraph 64)	102 (Paragraph 89)
105 (Paragraph 24)	106 (Paragraph 26, 102)
107 (Paragraph 24, 26)	108 (Paragraph 88, 90)
109 (Paragraph 30)	111 (Paragraph 22 E)
118 (Paragraph 17-20)	124 (Paragraph 17-20)
126 (Paragraph 17-20)	129 (Paragraph 17-20)

(CONTINUED)

131 (Paragraph 17-20)	137 (Paragraph 17-20)
138 (Paragraph 17-20)	139 (Paragraph 63)
141 (Paragraph 95 E)	145 (Paragraph 26)
148 (Paragraph 24)	149 (Paragraph 17-20)
150 (Paragraph 22 E)	151 (Paragraph 22 E)
154 (Paragraph 17-20)	162 (Paragraph 17-20)
164 (Paragraph 17-20)	166 (Paragraph 24, 26)
167 (Paragraph 17-20)	168 (Paragraph 26)
169 (Paragraph 26)	170 (Paragraph 24)
171 (Paragraph 24)	172 (Paragraph 26)
173 (Paragraph 22 E)	174 (Paragraph 24, 26)
175 (Paragraph 24, 26)	176 (Paragraph 24, 26)
177 (Paragraph 24)	178 (Paragraph 22E)
179 (Paragraph 16, RO 5B)	

OUTSTANDING STATEWIDE AND COMMUNITY COMPLIANCE ISSUES

ISSUE 1 (PARAGRAPH 89 F) DPW LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS DO NOT DEAL WITH DISINCENTIVES.

Source: Plaintiff/A. Noot 10/22/80.

Action Taken: 95E Notice 1/23/81. Hearing 3/13/81; Hearing Findings, Court Hearing, Court Order 1/13/82.

ISSUE 2 (PARAGRAPH 89 B) DPW LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS DO NOT MEET ADDITIONAL DAC NEED FOR PARAGRAPHS 22, 24, 26.

Source: Plaintiff/A. Noot 10/22/80.

Action Taken: 95E Notice 1/23/81. Hearing 3/13/81; Court Order 1/13/82.

ISSUE 4 (PARAGRAPH 103) ALLEGED COMPLIANCE WITH EXECUTIVE ORDER INTERFERING WITH CONSENT DECREE COMPLIANCE.

Source: Plaintiff/monitor 10/31/80.

Action Taken: March 13, 1981 hearing.

ISSUE 6 (PARAGRAPH 89 F) ALLEGED FAILURE TO DEAL WITH DISPROPORTIONATE SHARE OF DAC SERVICES. SEE ISSUE #1.

Source: Plaintiff/A. Noot 11/5/80.

Action Taken: March 13, 1981 monitor hearing #1, Court Order 1/13/82.

ISSUE 7 (PARAGRAPH 35) ALLEGED FAILURE TO PROVIDE PROPOSAL ON NUMBER OF LICENSORS NEEDED FOR ICF-MR FACILITIES.

Source: Plaintiff/A. Noot 11/5/80.

Action Required: Monitor evaluation of numbers of licensors needed to effectively perform assigned tasks.

ISSUE 10 (PARAGRAPH 60) ALLEGED VIOLATION OF STAFF TRAINING REQUIREMENT DUE TO BUDGET CUTS.

Source: Plaintiff/A. Wrobel 11/5/80.

Action Required: Monitor review of staff training budget trends.

ISSUE 17 (PARAGRAPH 89 F) ALLEGED FAILURE TO REMOVE INCENTIVE FOR STATE HOSPITAL PLACEMENT. SEE ISSUE #1.

Source: Plaintiff/A. Noot 1/14/81.

Action Taken: March 13, 1981 monitor hearing, Court Order 1/13/82. (See Issue 1)

ISSUE 18 (PARAGRAPH 89, 95E) LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL ADEQUACY.

Source: Monitor/DPW (Noot) 1/23/81.

Action Taken: Monitor letter outlining alleged non-compliance and Paragraph 95 timetable. Hearing before Monitor 3/13/81, 11/3/81 hearing. Court Order 1/13/82. (See Issue 1)

ISSUE 19 (PARAGRAPH 16) ADMISSION OF THREE PERSONS TO MOOSE LAKE STATE HOSPITAL FOR BEHAVIOR PROBLEMS.

Source: Monitor/DPW (Wrobel) letter 1/30/81.

Action Requested: Description of steps to avert state hospital placements; possible through residences working with mental health centers.

ISSUE 20 (PARAGRAPH 26, 89 B, F) ALLEGED FAILURE TO PROVIDE FUNDS FOR COMMUNITY BASED SERVICE DEVELOPMENT.

Source: Plaintiff/monitor 2/9/81.

Action Taken: March 13, 1981 Monitor Hearing, Court Order 1/23/82.

ISSUE 22 (PARAGRAPH 60) POSSIBLE VIOLATION OF FUNDING NECESSARY FOR INSERVICE TRAINING IN BUDGET PROPOSAL.

Source: Plaintiff letter 2/9/81.

ISSUE 23 (PARAGRAPH 61) POSSIBLE VIOLATION OF CONSULTANT SERVICES FUNDING LEVEL IN BUDGET PROPOSAL.

Source: Plaintiff letter 2/9/81.

ISSUE 24 (PARAGRAPH 63) POSSIBLE VIOLATION OF PROVISION OF INDIVIDUAL HABILITATION PROGRAMS IMPLIED IN BUDGET PROPOSAL.

Source: Plaintiff letter 2/9/81.

ISSUE 25 (PARAGRAPH 64) POSSIBLE VIOLATION OF PROVISION OF INDIVIDUALLY ADAPTED WHEELCHAIRS TO CLASS MEMBERS IN BUDGET PROPOSAL.

Source: Plaintiff letter 2/9/81.

Action Taken: Request to DPW to respond to four plaintiff concerns on wheelchairs sent 3/82.

ISSUE 27 (PARAGRAPH 67 02B) POSSIBLE VIOLATION OF OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE INTENSIVE BEHAVIOR MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS DUE TO BUDGET PROPOSAL.

Source: Plaintiff letter 2/9/81.

ISSUE 28 (PARAGRAPH 24) POSSIBLE FAILURE TO PROVIDE FOR DEVELOPMENT OF ICF-MR FACILITIES FOR CLASS MEMBERS IN BUDGET PROPOSALS.

Source: Plaintiff letter 2/9/81.

ISSUE 29 (PARAGRAPH 34, 35) INSUFFICIENT FUNDS FOR LICENSOR FUNCTIONING AND TRAINING IN BUDGET PROPOSAL.

Source: Plaintiff letter 2/9/81.

Action Requested by Plaintiff: Stress compliance problems posed by a failure to ensure an effective licensing arm at the state level. See also issue 7.

ISSUE 39 (PARAGRAPH 22) DISCHARGES AGAINST TEAM DECISION BY COUNTY (WILLMAR).

Source: State Hospital MR Program Directors Meeting 3/4/81.

Action Requested: Notification of placements against team decision.

ISSUE 40 (PARAGRAPH 21) ANNUAL ASSESSMENT OF COMMUNITY SERVICE NEEDS.

Source: State Hospital MR Program Directors Meeting 3/4/81.

Action Requested: Include type and scope of services for person to function in the community and statement as to why person placed in state hospital originally.

ISSUE 41 (PARAGRAPH 81) MINIMIZATION OF PSYCHOTROPIC MEDICATIONS - CAMBRIDGE STATE HOSPITAL.

Source: Plaintiff letter 3/6/81.

Action Requested by Plaintiff: Consider whether to extend drug order to Cambridge State Hospital.

Action Taken: Plaintiff letter 4/22/81. DPW/plaintiff letter 10/4/81 - will not agree to stipulate to extension. Plaintiff letter 3/4/82 - open to 12/31/82. See issue 61. Plaintiff request 12/23/82.

ISSUE 42 (PARAGRAPH 88, 89 B) MONITORING OF EXPENDITURE LEVELS FOR SERVICES AT COUNTY LEVEL BEFORE AND AFTER CSSA.

Source: Monitor/DPW (Wrobel) 3/10/81.

Action Taken: DPW/monitor meeting 3/17/81 question of adequacy of DAC monitoring under Minn. Stat. 252.21. CSSA monitoring reports by Connie Cobb, Tony Shoshtak (297-2192). Court Order 1/13/82.

ISSUE 43 (PARAGRAPH 89 F) FINANCIAL INCENTIVE FOR STATE HOSPITAL OVER COMMUNITY PLACEMENT.

Source: Monitor/DPW (Wrobel) 3/10/81.

Action Taken: 3/13/81 hearing before monitor; awaits motion to endorse recommendation for new proposals to Legislature. Court Order 1/13/82. See issues 1, 17.

ISSUE 45 (PARAGRAPH 22 E) COMMUNITY PLACEMENT EVALUATIONS NOT RESPONSIVE IN CONTENT TO REQUIREMENTS.

Source: Monitor/DPW (Wrobel) 3/10/81.

Action Taken: (1) Letter Monitor/Commissioner DPW 2/19/81 (81-8): Regarding evaluations overdue beyond 90 days; request steps taken or planned to remedy situation. (2) DPW/Monitor Meeting 3/17/81: Instructions will be sent to state hospitals on doing IHP at discharge plan: 60-day followup format will relate ISP, IHP to what is delivered and comment on gaps; a form will be drafted to address this need. (3) DPW/Monitor Meeting 3/30/81: Decision by DPW coordinating committee to put out interim set of instructions on 60-day followup very soon. Instructions are to go to Chief Executive Officers. (4) DPW/Monitor Meeting 4/7/81: Monitor has written Commissioner Noot outlining 15 evaluations over 90 days overdue. (5) Letter Monitor/Commissioner DPW 4/17/81 (81-53): Fifteen overdue placement evaluations; Paragraph 95 provisions. (6) Letter Wrobel/Monitor 4/23/81: Outlined responsibilities for ensuring that evaluations are completed on a timely basis. (7) Letter Kohnstamm/Monitor 4/24/81: Reiterated Department responses and steps. (8) Memo D. Boland/CEOs 5/8/81: Outlines proceeding for ensuring evaluations by the 90th day are completed

for each placement. (9) Monitor/Wrobel letter 5/12/81: Acknowledged receipt of 8 evaluations overdue 90 days or more with 5 outstanding at MLC. (10) DPW/Monitor meeting 5/20/81: Instructional bulletin has gone out on evaluations; counties should be providing adequate evaluations. MR Division is to review a format for ensuring adequate coverage in the review of appropriateness of community placement. (11) DPW/Monitor meeting 11/2/81: Department Bulletin #81-53 responds to identify responsibilities on late evaluations. Monitor will provide format to state hospital program directors and counties with below par evaluations on a routine basis. Current status: pending.

ISSUE 47 (PARAGRAPH 21) USE OF ANNUAL ASSESSMENTS OF STATE HOSPITAL RESIDENTS BY COUNTIES IN PLANNING.

Source: Monitor/DPW (Wrobel) 3/10/81.

Action Taken: (1) DPW/Monitor meeting 3/17/81: County use of annual assessments to be covered in instructional bulletin on MDPS - will be reiterated. Department plan is to develop detailed Social Service Manual material on planning of services and case management in April and schedule regional workshops in June. Workshops will be conducted by the MR Division staff including the TAP group. Dr. Wray will be asked to review the manual prior to distribution. (2)

Monitor/Commissioner letter 5/18/81 (Chrono 81-89): Request description of steps taken to comply with Paragraph 21. (3)

DPW/Monitor meeting 5/20/81: Monitor has written to Commissioner Noot requesting a description of steps taken to comply with Paragraph 21 in use of assessments by counties and central office. (4) Letter Commissioner/Monitor 5/27/81: Outline of steps pursuant to Paragraph 21. (5) DPW/Monitor Meeting 11/2/81: Monitor impression not happening in manner specified in 5/27/81 letter. Will raise on factual basis as issue of compliance. TAP staff to look into it; possible training topic for counties in planning. (6) Letter copy Bock to Monitor 8/13/82: Reporting form for annual assessments. (7) Letter Monitor to Bock 8/19/82: Annual assessments for planning.

ISSUE 49 (PARAGRAPH 89 B) FUNDING LEVELS FOR DEVELOPMENTAL
ACHIEVEMENT CENTER SERVICES.

Source: Monitor/DPW Meeting 3/30/81.

Action Taken: March 13, 1981 Court Monitor hearing and
recommendations; subsequent Court Order 1/13/82.

ISSUE 50 (PARAGRAPH 24, 26) APPROPRIATENESS OF IN-HOME DAY
PROGRAM.

Source: Monitor/DPW meeting 3/30/81.

ISSUE 55 (PARAGRAPH 68 B) BEHAVIOR MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS EMPLOYING
SEPARATION REQUIRE DOCUMENTATION OF WITHDRAWAL FROM POSITIVE
REINFORCEMENT.

Source: Plaintiff letter 4/10/81.

Action Taken: Monitor request for proposals sent to each state
hospital; working with each state hospital using separation to
install documentation systems.

ISSUE 61 (PARAGRAPH 81) EXTENSION OF PSYCHOTROPIC MEDICATION
MINIMIZATION TO CAMBRIDGE STATE HOSPITAL.

Source: DPW (Kohnstamm)/Monitor letter 4/24/81.

Action Taken: DPW expert panel recommendations by 6/12/81.
Conference 4/13/81 - Plaintiff requested stipulation to
extension. See also issue #41.

ISSUE 65 (PARAGRAPH 63) ADEQUACY OF INDIVIDUAL HABILITATION PLANS.

Source: Plaintiff letter to DPW (Kohnstamm) 5/4/81.

Action Requested by Plaintiff: Request guidelines for individual habilitation plans.

ISSUE 67 (PARAGRAPH 22E, 23) EVALUATIONS OF COMMUNITY PLACEMENTS OVERDUE AT MINNESOTA LEARNING CENTER.

Source: Monitor/DPW meeting 5/5/81.

Action Taken: Monitor recommendation to Court that evaluations for MLC only for ICF-MR, not for juvenile correction facilities. Letter Monitor/DPW concerning overdue evaluations 12/15/81. See issues 31, 34, 44.

ISSUE 70 (PARAGRAPH 67 02A) PROPOSAL FOR COMMITTEE TO REVIEW PROLONGED USAGE OF RESTRAINT FOR CLIENTS AT STATE HOSPITALS.

Source: Monitor/DPW Meeting 5/20/81.

Action Taken: Boland/Monitor letter 7/4/81: intention to develop policy soon; Monitor/Boland letter 10/8/81 requesting meeting on process. Requested policy statement from DPW 1/13/82 memo to DPW (Wrobel).

ISSUE 72 (PARAGRAPH 70, 71) PROPOSAL TO MODIFY REQUIREMENT ON REPORTING OF RESTRAINT USE IN STATE HOSPITALS.

Source: Monitor/DPW meeting 5/20/81.

Action Taken: In report to Court, recommend simplification of requirement for progress note entry for repetitive use of restraint 12/15/81. Recommended in second Report to Court.

ISSUE 73 (PARAGRAPH 16, RO 5B) DOCUMENTATION OF EXPLORATION OF COMMUNITY OPTIONS PRIOR TO COMMITMENT AND ADMISSION TO STATE HOSPITALS.

Source: Monitor/DPW meeting 5/20/81.

ISSUE 74 (PARAGRAPH 16, RO 5B) ADMISSIONS TO STATE HOSPITALS BECAUSE OF BEHAVIOR PROBLEMS.

Source: Monitor/DPW meeting 5/20/81.

Action Taken: DPW/Monitor Meeting 5/20/81: State hospital admission policy might require description of steps taken by DAC and residential providers to manage behavior problems of clients prior to demission from community programs (Flick v. Noot also). Since admissions often are court-based, necessary to intervene prior to state hospital admissions process - communications with local social services agencies and county court systems to sensitive them to alternatives.

Monitor/Wrobel Letter (Chrono 81-65): Washington County letter from Elma Walter regarding assistance in dealing with behavior management problems of several clients in the community. Requested identification of resources by TAP staff to meet challenges in letter and inform parties of availability of resources.

Flick v. Noot et al No 4-78 Civil 359 1979. Section IV C 1. "If advance warning of the possibility for revocation becomes apparent to any of the participants involved in the patient's treatment plan, the patient and all participants shall be informed and every effort made to prevent the conditions which make the revocation necessary. The individual identified in the plan as responsible for monitoring and supervising the implementation of the after care plan shall be responsible to inform all involved individuals, including the patient and shall coordinate all efforts. If such efforts are not sufficient and it appears that revocation is indicated, a revocation shall be submitted to the state hospital medical director."

Action Requested: Monitor/DPW (Wrobel) memo 1/13/82 - Department statement of steps with respect to admissions for behavior problems.

ISSUE 79 (PARAGRAPH 30) POSSIBLE CUT IN STAFF OF MR DIVISION,
DPW.

Source: Plaintiff letter 7/7/81.

Action Requested by Plaintiff: Request clarification of
positions which remain.

ISSUE 85 (PARAGRAPH 63, 64) ADEQUACY OF PHYSICAL THERAPY SERVICES
TO PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED STATE HOSPITAL RESIDENTS.

Source: Plaintiff letter 8/14/81.

ISSUE 86 (PARAGRAPH 28-33) CORRESPONDENCE OF ASSIGNED
RESPONSIBILITIES AND DECREE DUTIES OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE STAFF.

Source: Plaintiff letter 8/14/81.

ISSUE 87 (PARAGRAPH 16) ROUTINE USE OF FARIBAULT STATE HOSPITAL
FOR RESPITE CARE FOR BRAILLE SCHOOL STUDENTS.

Source: Plaintiff letter 8/14/81.

ISSUE 90 (PARAGRAPH 26) STATEWIDE REDUCTIONS OF DEVELOPMENTAL
ACHIEVEMENT CENTER SERVICES.

Source: Monitor 10/1/81.

Action Taken: Meyer/Wrobel memo 1/19/82 outlining cutbacks made.

ISSUE 98 (PARAGRAPH 26) IN-HOME DAY PROGRAM APPROPRIATENESS.

Source: Monitor/DPW (Wrobel) memo 1/13/82.

Action Requested: DPW issue a statement on the appropriateness of in-home day programs for mentally retarded persons in light of county responsibility for placement evaluation. See issue 50.

ISSUE 100 (PARAGRAPH 64) WHEELCHAIR PROVISION TO STATE HOSPITAL RESIDENTS.

Source: Plaintiff letter 3/15/82.

Action Requested by Plaintiff: 1) Random survey of adaptations of wheelchairs. 2) Request Commissioner to provide clear standards on reimbursements. 3) Request 7 state hospitals to provide statement on difficulties in authorization for MA. 4) Ramification of use of state hospital funds for chairs.

Action Taken: Monitor/DPW (Kohnstamm) letter 3/26/82.

ISSUE 102 (PARAGRAPH 89) MONITORING MECHANISM FOR DAC EXPENDITURES AND ADDITIONAL CAPACITY.

Source: Monitor/DPW Liaison Meeting 4/29/82.

Action Taken: May 4, 1982 meeting to discuss draft of proposal. Court Order 1/13/82.

See issue 42.

ISSUE 105 (PARAGRAPH 24) STATUS OF FOSTER CARE LICENSURE EFFORT.

Source: Monitor 5/18/82.

Action Requested: In second report to Court, recommended parties stipulate on topic in light of failure of state to develop a rule.

ISSUE 106 (PARAGRAPH 26, 102) SUPPLEMENTARY REPORTING ORDER ON COMMUNITY SERVICES.

Source: Plaintiff letter 7/22/81.

Action Requested: Recommendation to the court of supplementary reporting order.

ISSUE 107 (PARAGRAPH 24, 26) REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF NONCOMPLIANCE DECLARATION.

Source: Plaintiff letter 7/23/82.

Action Requested: Issue noncompliance declaration on actions to fulfill paragraphs 24 and 26.

ISSUE 108 (PARAGRAPH 88, 90) DPW LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS FOR 1983 SESSION.

Source: Plaintiff letter 7/19/82.

Action Requested: Begin inquiry into action under Paragraphs 88 and 90.

ISSUE 109 (PARAGRAPH 30) MENTAL RETARDATION DIVISION STAFFING.

Source: Plaintiff letter 7/19/82.

Action Requested: Monitor ask Commissioner to list positions in the Mental Retardation Division. See also #79.

Action Taken: Noncompliance Notice 9/16/82. Memo Wrobel/Wray 1/13/83 Re: Posting vacancy. Memo Wray/Wrobel 1/20/83 Re: Freeze impact.

ISSUE 111 (PARAGRAPH 22 E) EVALUATION OF COMMUNITY PLACEMENTS FROM MINNESOTA LEARNING CENTER.

Source: Letter Commissioner Noot to Wray 8/16/82.

Action Requested: Exemption of MLC from evaluation requirement to other than Rule 34 facilities.

Action Taken: Letter to P. K. Kohnstamm 9/1/82.

ISSUE 118 (PARAGRAPH 17-20) EXTENSION OF CHILD'S STAY AT A STATE HOSPITAL: FARLEIGH, DICK; DOB: 3/17/67; DA: 3/11/81 (Brainerd State Hospital Issue 118).

Source: Monitor 10/9/82.

Action Taken: Hearing 2/22/83 at Brainerd State Hospital. Extension until 6/30/83; extension expired.

Action Required: Futher action before monitor.

ISSUE 124 (PARAGRAPH 17-20) EXTENSION OF CHILD'S STAY AT A STATE HOSPITAL: UTES, KRISTIE; DOB: 4/7/71; DA: 4/16/82 RESPITE; 5/13/82 INFORMAL (Cambridge State Hospital Issue 41).

Source: Monitor 10/9/82.

Action Taken: Anoka County extension request 1/13/82. One year residency at 5/13/83.

ISSUE 126 (PARAGRAPH 17-20) EXTENSION OF CHILD'S STAY AT A STATE HOSPITAL: JONES, JONATHAN; DOB: 6/26/70; DA: 12/1/80 (Faribault State Hospital Issue 181).

Source: Monitor 10/9/82.

Action Taken: Hearing 3/22/83. Extension until 6/30/83; extension expired.

Action Required: Further action before the monitor.

ISSUE 129 (PARAGRAPH 17-20) EXTENSION OF CHILD'S STAY AT A STATE HOSPITAL: REGNIER, KENNETH; DOB: 9/2/66; DA: 4/18/81 (Faribault State Hospital Issue 179).

Source: Monitor 10/9/82.

Action Taken: Hearing 2/8/83. Extension to 9/1/83. Extension expired.

ISSUE 131 (PARAGRAPH 17-20) EXTENSION OF CHILD'S STAY AT A STATE HOSPITAL: PLUTSHACK, ROBERT; DOB: 11/17/74; DA: 11/16/81 (Faribault State Hospital 185).

Source: Monitor 10/9/82.

Action Taken: Hearing 3/22/83. Extension until 9/30/83; extension expired.

Action Required: Further action before the monitor.

ISSUE 137 (PARAGRAPH 17-20) EXTENSION OF CHILD'S STAY AT A STATE HOSPITAL: KJELLERUP, TODD; DOB: 1/29/65; DA: 1/6/81 (Fergus Falls State Hospital Issue 181).

Source: Monitor 10/9/82.

Action Taken: Hearing 2/23/83; extension to 10/31/83; extension expired.

Action Required: Further action before the monitor.

ISSUE 138 (PARAGRAPH 17-20) EXTENSION OF CHILD'S STAY AT A STATE HOSPITAL: STENSRUD, TAMARA; DOB: 7/3/66; DA: 8/6/82 (Willmar State Hospital Issue 48).

Source: Monitor 10/9/82.

ISSUE 139 (PARAGRAPH 63) RAMSEY COUNTY SUSPENSION OF 100 PERSONS FROM ACTIVE CASELOADS AT CAMBRIDGE.

Source: Monitor 10/22/82.

Action Taken: Memo Stevens/Gordon 4/20/82.
