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SECTION I: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Leadership Council established an ICT Digital Literacy Advisory Committee (see Appendix G for Members of the Leadership Council and Advisory Committee), and together the two groups have developed the enclosed ICT Digital Literacy Policy Statement and ICT Digital Literacy Action Plan. These documents reflect the Governor’s vision for California as a global leader in producing residents capable of working collaboratively with colleagues in every part of the world in the 21st Century.

The overall purpose of the California ICT Digital Literacy Policy Statement and Action Plan is to ensure that learners of all ages are successful content creators and users of technologies that foster the sharing, gathering and interpreting of information, ideas and texts central to active and effective participation in society. Implementation of the policy and plan will support the needs of California’s workforce that are critical to a thriving and robust 21st Century economy. The California Department of Labor, in its report on Information & Communications Technologies in California (September 2009) estimated growth in employment of nearly 40% in computer systems design and related services, a direction that accounts for almost one-fourth of all new jobs created in California over the next five years.

The Digital Literacy Action Plan is a response to this vision and the need of Californians to use new technologies to address perplexing challenges, build intercultural and global understandings, and create innovative and novel ways of learning, communicating, and working. Additionally, the Action Plan addresses the competencies necessary for Californians to benefit fully from the high-speed telecommunication networks, satellite communication systems, and other technology resources and tools that play a vital role in statewide, nationwide, and worldwide collaborations. The Action Plan seeks to ensure that all Californians and individuals with whom they interact and work with in the global society can benefit from the power and promise of new technologies available, not only in today’s digital age but well into the 21st Century.

The Action Plan places emphasis on Digital Literacy as an ongoing and developing process rather than a destination. Individuals must continually improve their knowledge and understanding of how to use existing and emerging technologies. Becoming and remaining “digitally literate” requires lifelong learning. Individuals must learn how to engage with, and use, new technologies as they transition across levels of school and move from school to work, and from one career to another. In addition, Digital Literacy facilitates staying in communication with family, friends, and associates in social and professional networks. Given this dynamic, and changing nature of technology, the variety of knowledge and technologies to be mastered and the different ways of interacting with technologies in different contexts, individuals must, over time, acquire a range of knowledge and skills that comprise Digital Literacies rather than just one framework and skill that is Digital Literacy. This plurality of knowledge and skills is acquired over time and encompassed in the more general term “digital literacy”.

Defining Digital Literacy

To ensure a common understanding among all key stakeholders within the state and various local and regional entities the ICT Digital Leadership Council has chosen to define Digital Literacy as “a lifelong learning process of capacity building for using digital technology, communications tools, and/or networks in creating, accessing, analyzing, managing, integrating, evaluating, and communicating information in order to function in a knowledge-based economy and society”. The definition of Digital Literacy adopted by the Leadership Council reflects a
desire for knowledge, skills and behaviors that go beyond the technical operations of a computer or other technology device.

**Policy Statement**

The ICT Digital Leadership Council recognizes that the advancement of Digital Literacy in a state as large and diverse as California requires numerous individuals in positions of authority within the State’s distributed leadership structure to take responsibility for crafting and promoting Digital Literacy policies. This report identifies the key entities that will play a critical role in advancing Digital Literacy in California. Each entity’s associated Digital Literacy policy and implementation plan will need to reflect the unique context in which it is being implemented. While policies will vary it is essential that they spring from a common conceptual framework. Similarly, multiple funding approaches for Digital Literacy programs and services will need to originate within each entity that has a role in advancing Digital Literacy.

**Summary Of Recommended Actions**

To address the action items outlined in Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger’s Executive Order S-06-09, the ICT Digital Literacy Leadership Council recommends the following steps that can be found on pages 23-26, with a timeline and the responsible organizations. The recommendations are grouped into six categories:

**Leadership**
- Enlist the support of the State’s elected officials and civic leaders to generate greater public awareness of the importance of Digital Literacy to California and advocate for policies and programs that support opportunities for Pre K-20 learners and workers to develop Digital Literacy skills.
- Encourage the leaders of California’s key entities to support closing the digital divide by developing and providing a seamless, consistent system of support that allows all residents to benefit from reliable technology access, training and assistance as they move between home, school, college, library, and other community-based and workforce settings, and by continuing to pursue efforts to drive availability and affordability of technology in the home for all areas of the state.
- Request the 21 entities identified as being in key leadership roles (pg. 8-9) to adopt ICT Digital Literacy policies and programs that support access, training, and adoption of "successful practices". These organizations should also develop an implementation Action Plan and present the progress made towards implementation on a yearly basis.

**Accountability**
- Conduct a bi-annual assessment of the State of California’s progress in promoting Digital Literacy and issue a report that describes current conditions.
- Revisit the definition of Digital Literacy every two years in order to reflect current perspectives on literacy and their relationship to new and emerging technologies.
- Request that the Legislative Analyst report annually on the steps taken to implement the Action Plan and the resources provided for such work.

**Communications and Outreach**
- Communicate revised definitions of Digital Literacy to stakeholders every two years and forecast changing practices necessary for capacity building.
- Document and share successful policies and programs that promote Digital Literacies.
- Identify opportunities for partnerships between public libraries, community technology centers, and other community-based organizations to provide ICT literacy services to local families and communities.

**Process Improvements and Tool Development**
- Invest additional time and resources in developing a framework for analyzing and certifying methods of Digital Literacy assessment that address requirements of different schooling and workforce contexts (work, school, home, etc.).
- Identify different tools and methods for assessing Digital Literacy proficiency within specific contexts.
• Invest in the strategic development of a strong information technology (IT) sector that is responsive to the needs of the community, schooling, and workforce sectors.
• Develop an online site that allows formal and informal educators to share examples of ways technology can be used to enhance instruction in accordance with state and federal academic standards while simultaneously building students’, teachers’, administrators’, and higher education faculty’s digital literacy skills.
• Make common and centrally hosted Digital Literacy assessment tools and systems available to local decision makers and families at low or no cost to support Digital Literacy development.
• Complete the development of the searchable Career Technical Education (CTE) Pathways database so that students can identify IT college/career preparation programs in their local area.

Legislative Attention
• Remove K-12 education technology programs from the flexibility provision of the state budget (known as Tier 3) that allows education technology funding to be diverted to other school needs, and immediately implement authorizing statues to continue the existence of the K-12 CTAP (California Technology Assistance Project) and SETS (Statewide Education Technology Services) programs.

Education and Workforce
• Ensure that prior to adopting the Digital Literacy recommendations related to curricula integration strategies across Pre K-20 and workforce that:
  1) Clarity is provided regarding ways of developing, accessing, and assessing knowledge, skills, and practices in each level for the four layers of competencies described on pages 16 -18; and
  2) Digital Literacy curriculum and instruction includes the four layers of competency development described herein exists and are widely available to teachers and students.
• Locate resources to fund the creation and/or expansion of curricula to support educators (communities, families, schools, colleges, and workforce) in:
  1) Assisting learners in situations where there is an immediate need to know/learn (i.e. just in time support); and
  2) Developing knowledge, skills, and practices that support Digital Literacy needed for future work and schooling.

Together, the Governor’s Executive Order and the groundwork laid by the California Emerging Technology Fund provide an excellent foundation for California’s next steps to ensure that learners of all ages can be successful creators and/or users of technologies and technology-enabled content. Such actions enable the sharing of information, thoughts and ideas; the production and delivery of goods and services; and participation in modern society. The California ICT Digital Literacy Action Plan contains essential “next steps” and proposed action plans for connecting with the various entities that have unique opportunities to provide leadership in this area. Progress is contingent on the actions of California leaders and key entities, along with the continued work and monitoring efforts of the California ICT Digital Literacy Leadership Council.
“The digital age is creating an information and communications renaissance. But it is not serving all Americans and their local communities equally. It is not yet serving democracy fully. How we react, individually and collectively, to this democratic shortfall will affect the quality of our lives and the very nature of our communities.”

-Knight Commission on the Needs of Informing Communities, 2009

SECTION II: INTRODUCTION

On May 22, 2009, California Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger signed into law Executive Order S-06-09, which established the California ICT Digital Literacy Leadership Council (see Appendix 1). Per the Order, the Leadership Council is chaired by the Chief Information Officer and membership includes the Secretary of Education; the Secretary of Labor and Workforce Development; the Secretary of Business, Transportation and Housing; and the Secretary of State and Consumer Affairs. The Superintendent of Public Instruction has also been invited to participate.

The Leadership Council established an ICT (Information & Communications Technologies) Digital Literacy Advisory Committee, and together the two groups have developed the enclosed ICT Digital Literacy Policy Statement and ICT Digital Literacy Action Plan. The overall purpose of the California ICT Digital Literacy Policy Statement and Action Plan is to ensure that learners of all ages are successful content creators and users of technologies that foster the sharing of information, thoughts and ideas central to active and effective participation in modern society. Implementation of the policy and plan will also support California workforce needs that are critical to the production and delivery of goods and services.

Implementation of the policy and plan will support the needs of California’s workforce that are critical to a thriving and robust 21st Century economy. The California Department of Labor forecast in its September 2009 Information & Communications Technologies in California report that employment in computer systems design and related services is estimated to grow nearly 40% and account for almost one-fourth of all new jobs created in California over the next five years.

The overall purpose of the California ICT Digital Literacy Policy Statement and Action Plan is to ensure that learners of all ages are successful content creators and users of technologies that foster the sharing of information, thoughts, and ideas central to active and effective participation in society. An individual’s ability to read, write, do math, problem solve, work in a team, think critically, and use information and communications technologies is essential to education and workforce preparation, employment success, civic participation, health care, and access to entertainment. ICT Digital Literacy will not solve all of California’s challenges, yet it is vital to California’s ability to compete successfully in a global information and knowledge economy. This report lays the foundation for what ICT Digital Literacy looks like as a lifelong learning process while inviting twenty-one key entities in California to lead Digital Literacy movements at school, at work, and at home.

To ensure a common understanding among all leaders and stakeholders within the state and various local and regional entities the ICT Digital Leadership Council defined Digital Literacy as “a lifelong learning process of capacity building for using digital technology, communications tools and/or networks in creating, accessing, analyzing, managing, integrating, evaluating and communicating information in order to function in a knowledge-based economy and society”.

The Leadership Council and Advisory Committee kept the Governor’s vision and these requirements in the forefront of their minds as they crafted the ICT Policy Statement and Action Plan. Both groups drew upon the substantial amount of work from the California Emerging Technology Fund (CETF) ICT Digital Literacy Leadership Group and its Consensus Report (see Appendix C). As Sunne Wright McPeak, President and CEO of CETF, indicates, “The California Emerging Technology Fund is pleased to support the implementation of this initiative that will ensure all Californians possess the skills needed to succeed in the 21st Century labor force”.

Throughout the report, emphasis was placed on Digital Literacy as an ongoing and developing process, rather than a destination. Individuals must continually improve their knowledge and understanding of how to use existing and emerging technologies. Becoming and remaining “digitally literate” requires lifelong learning.
Individuals must learn how to engage with and use new technologies as they transition through school, to work, and move from one career to another. Additionally, Digital Literacy is important for staying in communication with family, friends, and associates in social and professional networks. Given this dynamic and changing nature of technology, the variety of knowledge and technologies to be mastered and the different ways of interacting with technologies in different contexts, individuals must, over time, acquire a range of knowledge and skills that comprise Digital Literacy rather than just one framework and skill that is Digital Literacy. This plurality of knowledge and skills is acquired over time and encompassed in the more general term “Digital Literacy”.

No single entity can be tasked with the sole responsibility for ensuring access to opportunities for individuals to develop lifelong learning habits that keep them digitally literate. Leadership in providing access for all Californians to the required learning opportunities is a shared responsibility of the State of California and many local entities, employers, non-profit organizations, communities and each individual. The Action Plan for California depends on a broad community of stakeholders that contribute to an expanded definition of crucial digital literacy practices, develop new programs, share new knowledge, build coherent systems of technological support, and collaborate across areas of expertise and work. The Action Plan seeks to support this collaborative approach by ensuring that a cross-section of key state leaders gather on a biennial basis to revisit the state’s progress in promoting digital literacy for all of its residents. The recommended ongoing check-ins and updates are intended to keep the importance of this issue at the forefront of the State’s policy agenda and to ensure continued progress over time.

SECTION III: DIGITAL LITERACY PATHWAYS IN CALIFORNIA

Having a common definition for Digital Literacy is a start. The next steps will enable leaders in California, with state government leading the way, to implement Digital Literacy policies and programs. This section addresses the California policy statement and a Digital Literacy Action Plan that will be reviewed for progress in two years by the Leadership Council.

The Digital Literacy Action Plan is the heart of this report and is comprised of eight key areas the Leadership Council focused on:

1. Define the basic elements of Digital Literacy.
2. Describe and articulate a "continuum" of skills required for Digital Literacy.
3. Develop strategies and actions for incorporating Digital Literacy into workforce training statewide.
4. Develop strategies and actions for incorporating Digital Literacy into P-12 and higher education.
5. Determine acceptable frameworks for assessment and certification.
6. Recommend curricula consistent with the assessment frameworks.
7. Summarize the recommendations and develop a timeline for implementation of the Action Plan.
8. Identify metrics to ascertain the achievement of ICT Digital Literacy.

California ICT Digital Literacy Policy Statement

The Leadership Council recognizes that responsibility for ensuring that state-funded or state-initiated programs promote Digital Literacy is shared among leaders across numerous agencies, departments, commissions and levels of government (state, regional and local). Given this reality, the adoption of a single policy is not practical. The advancement of Digital Literacy in a state as large and diverse as California requires numerous individuals in positions of leadership as well as authority within the State’s distributed leadership structure to take responsibility for crafting and promoting effective Digital Literacy policies. This report identifies 13 entities that will play a lead role in the implementation of the California Digital Literacy initiative. Each entity’s Digital Literacy policy will need to reflect the unique context in which it is being implemented. While policies will vary, it is essential that they spring from a common conceptual framework. Similarly, multiple funding approaches for Digital Literacy programs and services will need to originate within each entity having a role in advancing Digital Literacy.

To this end, the Leadership Council has agreed that it will continue to meet at least once every two years to revisit the definition of and continuum for Digital Literacy so that the overarching definition and continuum will remain current and relevant. Any updated definition would be revised and communicated across the state agencies that play a major role in the development of state residents’ Digital Literacy capabilities. Leadership Council members will also work collaboratively to document and share information about policies and programs that have been
adopted to promote Digital Literacy, so that “successful practices” can be made visible to serve as models for others.

The California ICT Digital Literacy Action Plan

The overall purpose of California ICT Digital Literacy Policy Statement and Action Plan are to ensure that learners of all ages can be successful content creators as well as users of technologies that foster the sharing of information, thoughts and ideas central to active and effective participation in modern society. Implementation of the policy and plan will also support California workforce needs that are critical to the production and delivery of goods and services.

As stated in the ICT Digital Literacy Policy Statement, the Leadership Council recognizes that any plan initiated will require leaders across many agencies, departments, commissions and levels of government (state, regional and local) who oversee state-funded or state-initiated programs to share responsibility and interest in promoting development of Digital Literacy through the adoption of policies appropriate in specific contexts. The Leadership Council will serve as an advocate for action by those leaders who are in positions that enable them to adopt policies and programs to support opportunities for learners to develop Digital Literacy skills. In addition, it will work with the state’s civic leaders to raise public awareness of the importance of Digital Literacy training, and encourage the public at-large to take advantage of resources/programs that can support individuals’ personal development.

The Leadership Council identified twenty-one key entities that have unique opportunities to provide leadership in this area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEGMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA POPULATION</th>
<th>ENTITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All residents</td>
<td>California State Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All residents</td>
<td>Local Public Libraries via Califa and the CA Library Association (CLA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All residents</td>
<td>Community-based organizations via the CA Community Technology Policy Group (CCTPG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California workers</td>
<td>CA Workforce Investment Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California workers</td>
<td>Local Workforce Investment Boards via the CA Workforce Assoc. (CWA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All residents able to benefit from higher education (generally age 16+)</td>
<td>Board of Governors of the CA Community Colleges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All residents able to benefit from higher education (generally age 16+)</td>
<td>Governing Boards, Local Community College Districts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty and students in four year colleges and universities</td>
<td>Board of Governors, California State University System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty and students in four year colleges and universities</td>
<td>Board of Regents, University of California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty and students in four year colleges and universities</td>
<td>Association of Independent California Colleges and Universities (AICCU)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The anticipated benefits to the learners served by these entities and to the state as a whole for adopting and implementing ICT Digital Literacy policies, gleaned from the findings contained in the Governor’s Order, are an:

- Increase in Californians’ competitiveness in the knowledge-based economy.
- Ability to attract capital investment that will generate higher quality jobs.
- Ability to compete successfully in a global information and knowledge economy by helping workers develop the capacity to benefit from the changes in the nature of work, shifts in the labor demand, and changes in required ICT skills for the jobs being generated.
- Increase in productivity, improvements to quality of life, and enhanced global competitiveness.
- Increase in capacity to close and keep closed the Digital Divide as technology evolves.
- Ability to help individuals develop their capacity to read, write, do math, problem solve, work in a team, think critically and use ICT for education and workforce preparation, employment success, civic participation, health care, and access to entertainment.
- Ability to afford all residents the opportunity for full participation in the educational, civic, cultural, and economic sectors of California society by providing accessibility to and appropriate skills for fully utilizing government, education, workforce, health care, business, and other services.
- Ability to enhance the learning environment and to support teaching methods which have been shown to engage and challenge learners of all ages (Note: This benefit was added by the Leadership Council).


To shape key strategies in the initial California ICT Digital Literacy Action Plan, the Leadership Council focused on 8 items:

1. Definition of the basic elements of Digital Literacy.
2. Description and articulation of a "continuum" of skills required for Digital Literacy.
3. Strategies and actions for incorporating Digital Literacy into workforce training statewide.
4. Strategies and actions for incorporating Digital Literacy into P-12 and higher education.
6. Recommended curricula consistent with the assessment frameworks.
7. Summary of Recommendations and a timeline for implementation of the Action Plan.
8. Identification of metrics to ascertain the achievement of ICT Digital Literacy.
Item 1: Definition of the Basic Elements of Digital Literacy

The first task before the ICT Digital Leadership Council was to define what is meant by the term “digital literacy.” A careful analysis of the Governor’s Executive Order revealed the fact that the terms “Information and Communications Technologies (ICT) Digital Literacy” and “Digital Literacy” were used interchangeably. A review of the research literature surrounding the term “digital literacy” revealed that “digital literacy” is used to refer to a diverse range of definitions of literate practices or “new literacies” that involve the use of technologies in some manner. The definitions shown in Appendix B attempt to summarize some of the different areas of emphasis that fall under the broad category of “digital literacy.” These definitions were drawn from an edited volume of work on defining digital literacy that delineates concepts, policies and practices in international contexts. (Lankshear & Knobel, 2008) A review of the numerous articles contained within the Handbook of Research on New Literacies (Coiro, Knobel, Lankshear & Leu, 2008) further illustrated the wide range of perspectives regarding what constitutes a digitally literate individual and the issues associated with trying to assess digital literacy.

The Leadership Council drew on its understandings from this review as it modified, slightly, the digital literacy definition found in one of the whereas clauses in the Governor’s Executive Order. The recommended definition is as follows, “an ongoing process of capacity building for using digital technology, communications tools and/or networks in creating, accessing, analyzing, managing, integrating, evaluating and communicating information in order to function in a knowledge-based economy and society.” Additional information regarding the origins of this definition can be found in the CETF consensus document which is included in Appendix C.

The Leadership Council also agreed with the basic elements of digital literacy identified in a consensus document published by the CETF in November 2008. These basic elements are outlined in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Definitions</th>
<th>Competencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access</td>
<td>Knowing about and knowing how to collect and/or retrieve information</td>
<td>Search, find, and retrieve information in digital environments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manage</td>
<td>Applying an existing organizational or classification scheme.</td>
<td>Conduct a rudimentary and preliminary organization of accessed information for retrieval and future application.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrate</td>
<td>Interpreting and representing information - summarizing, comparing, and contrasting.</td>
<td>Interpret and represent information by using ICT tools to synthesize, summarize, compare, and contrast information from multiple sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluate</td>
<td>Making judgments about the quality, relevance, usefulness, or efficiency of information.</td>
<td>Judge the currency, appropriateness, and adequacy of information and information sources for a specific purpose (including determining authority, bias, and timelines of materials).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create</td>
<td>Generating information by adapting, applying, designing, inventing, or authoring information.</td>
<td>Adapt, apply, design, or invent information in ICT environments (to describe an event, express an opinion, or support a basic argument, viewpoint or position).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicate</td>
<td>Communicating information persuasively to meet needs of various audiences through use of an appropriate medium.</td>
<td>Communicate, adapt, and present information properly in its context (audience, media) in ICT environments and for a peer audience.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Existing international and national digital literacy frameworks and assessment instruments all share these common elements. (Source CETF, 2008)

It is important to note that during this same timeframe the California State Board of Education was considering model school library standards for students in grades K-12 (see http://www.cde.ca.gov/nr/ne/yr09/yr09rel152.asp and Appendix E). The standards directly address two of the four elements described above (accessing and
evaluating information). The K-12 library standards also focus on students’ use of information and the integration of information literacy skills into all areas of learning.

**Item 2: "Continuum" of Skills required for Digital Literacy and Frameworks for Assessment and Certification**

The definition and basic elements of ICT Digital Literacy described above are perceived as being broad enough to be relevant to the wide range of contexts and environments in which they will be applied. The identification of a “continuum” of skills and frameworks for assessing and certifying Digital Literacy that could be applied in P-12 schools, in higher education settings, and in settings outside traditional school environments proved to be much more challenging.

For Pre K-12, the CETF document cited the National Education Technology (NET) standards and performance indicators developed by the International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE) and those recommended by the Partnership for 21st Century Skills. CETF then aligned related elements of existing assessments offered by private companies to these two sets of standards.

For higher education, the CETF consensus document referenced above recommended the adoption of the National ITC Literacy Policy Council’s 5 standards and 22 performance indicators. It also went on to note that foundational-level skills have been identified for students entering college, and indicated that assessment publishers like the Educational Testing Service (ETS) have developed assessments to measure such skills (such as the California State University system’s iSkills test).

The ICT Literacy Advisory Committee struggled with these descriptions of standards (or continuum of skills), performance indicators and assessments for four major reasons:

1. **Existing disparities in opportunities for learning.** At present, the resources available in libraries, schools, colleges, universities, community-based technology programs, workforce preparation programs, and in people’s homes are known to be inadequate. Given the disparities in opportunities for learning, both at home and in state funded programs/services, the committee could not recommend uniform assessments that would likely mirror the deficits created by the lack of opportunities for learning.

2. **Need to define an individual’s level of literacy or display of literate practices within the specific context in which they are required.** Given the time allowed for the development of the plan and the resources available to complete the plan, the committee was not able to validate the applicability and relevance of commercial assessments to the range of contexts in which they would be applied. The committee identified “context” as a key variable in assessing digital literacy. A student who can send text messages and navigate Facebook pages, for example, would not be considered technologically literate in an architecture class if he/she could not use a Computer Assisted Drafting (CAD) system. Without a more detailed analysis, the committee would need to select a test that could be universally applied such as one that measured the individual’s ability to simply use a computer (i.e. functional use). Since that is just a small component of ICT literacy, the assessment would be of little value.

3. **Need to control for potential bias against English language learners.** Tests of individuals’ digital literacy skills could falsely identify someone as lacking in skills when, in fact, they may simply not be able to navigate the test due to reading difficulties associated with taking the test. Given the high proportion of California residents who are acquiring English as a second language, additional attention must be given to this area. The committee was not able to explore the validity, reliability and relevance of commercial assessments from this perspective given the time allowed for the development of the plan and the resources available to complete the plan.

4. **Perceived disparity between the learner outcomes reflected in the ISTE NET standards and the Partnership for 21st Century Skills and what is measured by existing assessments.** The definition of digital literacy adopted by the Leadership Council reflects a desire for knowledge, skills and behaviors that go beyond the technical operations of a computer or other technology devise. It speaks to managing, integrating, evaluating, creating and communicating information in order to function in a knowledge-based economy and society. The definition is similar to the knowledge and skills reflected in the bottom tiers of the Competency Model for the Information Technology Industry shown below that was published by the
Information Technology Association of American (ITAA). ITAA developed the model in conjunction with the US Department of Labor Employment and Training Administration (US DOL/ETA).

According to Dr. Kemi Jonah (2009) of Northwestern University, the ITAA model portrays competencies at various levels of specificity, ranging from fundamental competencies necessary to operate effectively in life to competencies required in specific IT occupations or positions.

Figure 1. Competency Model for the Information Technology Industry (ITAA) : source (ITAA Headline, 2008)

As part of a separate grant funded effort, Dr. Jonah and his colleagues (2009) conducted a very preliminary mapping of how existing assessments appear to map onto the ITAA model (see Figure 2). This mapping effort helped to identify areas where there are assessment gaps. Dr. Jonah acknowledges “this mapping is based on the limited amount of information available about the assessments.” He recommended that a more thorough examination of assessments that purport to measure competencies in the area(s) of greatest interest be conducted before moving forward to buy or build assessments.
Figure 2. Preliminary Mapping of Assessments onto the ITAA Competency Model for the Information Technology Industry

Dr. Jonah's preliminary work suggests that assessments that cover the full range of meaning envisioned by California's definition of ICT Literacy are not yet readily available. Given the time allowed for the development of the ICT Digital Literacy Action Plan and the limited resources available to complete the plan, the committee was not comfortable making recommendations in support of specific assessments or certification tools.

Ultimately, the Digital Literacy Advisory Committee recommended and the Leadership Council affirmed the need to invest additional time and resources in the development of a framework and methods for assessing and certifying digital literacy within and across four "layers" or different contexts that are initially described as follows:

**Layer A: ICT Digital Literacy as a Fundamental Building Block for Learning Pre K-Adult Learners**
*Description*: At this layer, individuals would be learning the fundamentals of technologies required to participate in hybrid or distributed learning environments, protocols for participation, and technical basics. This includes a) developing a comfort level with technology, b) using technology to acquire knowledge/services, c) developing his or her capacity to use technology, and d) developing an awareness of 21st Century skills, what those skills look and sound like in practice, and ways to generate evidence of self-attainment.

*Continuum*: At one end of the spectrum, individuals would be learning how to use a particular technology tool or set of tools and discovering the potential value of the technology. At mid-range, individuals would be fluent in their use of one or more technology tools or applications. At the far end of the spectrum, they are using what they know about one or more technology tools and applications to their exploration and use of numerous other tools and applications.

**Layer B: ICT Digital Literacy for Lifelong Learning In and Out of School (Generally)**
*Description*: Use of technology skills to participate in a distributed learning environment (school, work, home or other settings) in which 21st Century knowledge is generated and assessed.

*Continuum*: At one end of the spectrum, individuals have developed the capacity to use a technology tool and/or applications to acquire knowledge and information. At mid-range, individuals are using one or more technology tools and applications to test new ideas and theories, to convey knowledge, to engage in two-way communications with others outside their immediate environment, and to accomplish goals. At the far end of the spectrum, individuals are exploring and creating new knowledge that leads to improved understanding and/or
advancements in specific fields or areas of study (school or work). Individuals would have developed proficiencies that allow them to harness the capacity of technology to lead discussions or convey/teach information to others, including the capacity to make judgments about how to convey information across distances and the appropriate tools to use in different contexts.

Layer C: ICT Digital Literacy for Lifelong Learning In and Out of School (Contextual/Discipline Specific)
Description: Opportunities across time for learning about, experimenting with, and developing expertise in the use of technologies specific to various disciplines or content areas.

Continuum: At one end of the spectrum, individuals are developing skills related to the use of technology tools and applications that are unique to the specific workforce or academic context (typically related to acquiring, documenting or sharing knowledge and information). At mid-range, individuals are applying technology in sophisticated ways that allows them to contribute to the operations of their company or business, or to build upon prior knowledge in their field or course of study (create new findings or discoveries). At the far end of the spectrum, individuals are using technology to explore and create new knowledge, improved understanding and/or advancements in specific fields or areas of study (school or work). They are evolving practices and are developing new innovations.

Layer D: ICT Digital Literacy for IT Sector College/Career Pathways
Description: Opportunities across time for developing knowledge, skills, and ways of knowing, thinking, being and doing, as a member of the technology industry/community.

Continuum: At one end of the spectrum, individuals have a broad understanding and are proficient in the technologies that are of priority in his/her IT area of emphasis. At mid-range, individuals possess in-depth knowledge that relates to an IT area of emphasis. They are able to troubleshoot problems in numerous situations, understand nuances of use, management and support. At the far end of the spectrum, they are creating new technologies or applications that advance the field and contribute to the United States’ national security and/or its economic competitiveness.

It is important to note that the four layers of digital literacy described above are non-linear (development occurs in different layers at different times), interconnected and are not mutually exclusive. An individual may be developing capacity at multiple layers simultaneously. When he or she moves from one career to another, ICT literacy skills developed in one context contribute to what he or she brings to the next situation.

The Digital Literacy Advisory Committee also recommended, and the Leadership Council affirmed, the potential value in identifying a number of different assessment tools and methods for the various layers of proficiency, all of which could be archived within an e-portfolio that belongs to the learner. A pilot program underway within the K-20 California Educational Technology Collaborative (see http://eportfolioca.org/) will inform future exploration of the ability to export information from the e-portfolio system to support the tracking of the growth of digital literacy competencies over time.

Item 3: Implication of the Proposed Framework and Continuum for Future Policies

Both the Advisory Committee and the Leadership Council recognize that the framework for developing the continuum and assessment/certification of digital literacy skills has significant implications for educators and learners. That is why the Leadership Council has temporarily delayed the adoption of a specific state sanctioned assessment and certification system. To help ensure that the new assessment and certification system will build on and help to inform opportunities for learning (versus penalizing students for lacking knowledge/skills that were never taught or made available to learn), it is also recommended that the Governor and the Legislature, working in partnership with the California Emerging Technology Fund (CETF) and others:

- Acknowledge and take steps to overcome the new digital divide (practices, skills and processes not just connectivity and computers).
- Bridge the digital divide by developing and providing a seamless, consistent system of support that allows all residents to benefit from reliable technology access, training and assistance as they move between home, school, college, library, and other community-based and workforce settings, and by
continuing to pursue efforts to drive availability and affordability of technology in the home for all areas of the state.

- Invest in the strategic development of a strong IT sector that is responsive to the needs of the community, school and workforce sectors.

Further, the Leadership Council recognizes that implementation of this action plan will require collaboration between multiple entities and requests that the Legislative Analyst report annually on the steps taken to implement the action plan and the resources provided for such work.

**Item 4: Strategies/Actions for Incorporating Digital Literacy into Pre K-12 and Higher Education**

While the Leadership Council recognizes and respects the role and responsibilities of other agencies, boards and commissions to determine appropriate digital literacy policies for the constituencies they serve, the council also seeks to ensure that California's digital literacy efforts adequately prepare California residents to compete in today's global society. Thus, all entities with a leadership role in this area are strongly encouraged to adopt policies and programs that adhere to successful practices for supporting digital literacy development. The American Library Association’s “Characteristics of Programs for Information Literacy That Demonstrate Best Practices (2009)” are included in Appendix D as an example of what is meant by “successful practices.”

The Leadership Council also recognizes the severe resource constraints facing the education community. Thus, the Council encourages the education community to look for opportunities to partner with public libraries and community technology centers that also provide ICT literacy services to local communities.

Other suggested strategies and actions for Pre K-12, higher education and workforce preparation programs are as follows:

**Strategies for Pre K-12:**

While California does not have a focused Pre K-12 digital literacy program per se, the California State Board of Education is expected to approve California Model School Library Standards for K-12 curriculum and programs in March 2010. The proposed standards incorporate many of the elements of digital literacy (see Appendix E). Once adopted, the standards will provide a blueprint for each school to build strong school libraries for supporting 21st century learners. In addition, for more than 25 years California has recognized that access to technology in the classroom, technical support, and professional development in the use of technology to support teaching and learning are a prerequisite to developing and implementing a ‘pathway to digital literacy’ as is suggested by this ICT Leadership Council Action Plan. Until recently, the state has invested in the following programs that support digital literacy:

1. California Technology Assistance Project (CTAP): For the past 10 years, the Legislature has authorized the California Technology Assistance Project (CTAP) consisting of eleven regional programs agencies that represent the counties and districts in each of eleven regions in the state. The overall purpose of CTAP is to contribute to an increase in knowledge and use of technology to improve teaching and learning by providing professional development to educators on a regional basis to include: 1) selecting and integrating technology into curriculum, 2) planning and using hardware and telecommunications networks, 3) using technology to support school management and data-driven decision-making, and 4) identifying and applying for state and federal funding for instructional uses of technology. CTAP assists counties and districts in their regions to provide needed services to all school districts while addressing needs of rural and technologically underserved schools. Appendix F provides a framework that defines a list of evaluation-based skills, knowledge, services, and information that are the focus CTAP takes in helping to build the digital literacy pathway for education.

CTAP is consolidating many resources at the new state K-12 Educational Technology Portal, MyCTAP (www.mycatp.org). This website provides a variety of professional development opportunities for teachers, quality instructional resources, technology planning resources for stakeholders, links to the CTAP regional websites and the CTAP SETS (Statewide Education Technology Services) projects. As a part of MyCTAP, the CTAP Community started as an online network for discussing and sharing resources and ideas about effective teaching and learning with technology. One of CTAP’s missions is to provide professional development and support for using electronic resources in teaching and learning. Through the MyCTAP website, teachers and administrators can participate in free, live online workshops. To participate in these workshops, individuals require a high-speed
Internet connection and a computer. The workshops last two hours and are held after school hours. Many of the courses offer certificates verifying professional development hours.

2. Statewide Education Technology Services (SETS): Four Statewide Education Technology (SETS) projects provide information and support, most cost-effectively delivered on a statewide basis, disseminated and used by CTAP regions to assist local districts. These four SETS projects include 1) California Learning Resource Network (CLRN)—an online resource to locate electronic and Internet-based learning resources aligned with State Content Standards, and technology applications supporting data-driven decision making, 2) Technical Support for Education Technology in Schools (TechSETS)—an online resource providing training, support and information to school technology staff, 3) The Technology Information Center for Administrative Leadership (TICAL)—an information and staff development resource to support school administrators use of technology in support of school management and data-driven decision making, and 4) EdTechProfile (ETP) to provide access to online technology proficiency assessments as well as related reports of educator and student proficiency assessment data.

Comprehensive evaluations of both CTAP and SETS have been conducted over the past three years.

3. K-12 High Speed Network: K12HSN is a state program funded by the California Department of Education. K12HSN provides the California K-12 community including educators, students and staff across the state with access to reliable high speed network which has the capacity to deliver high quality online resources to support teaching and learning and promote academic achievement.

In addition to the state supported programs described above, federal funding has been provided to states for education technology under Title 2, part d of the No Child Left Behind Act. The EETT (Enhancing Education Through Technology) program has provided funding administered by California Department of Education directly to school districts for the past 8 years. Half of this funding is allocated to competitive grants and the other half is allocated as an entitlement to school districts. While this funding will be increased federally to about $90 million for EETT under the stimulus (ARRA [American Recovery and Reinvestment Act] legislation in 2010, the state allocated funding level for California will most likely be reduced to about $10 million in 2011. The stated purpose of the federal program is “To assist every student in crossing the digital divide by ensuring that every student is technologically literate by the time the student finishes the eighth grade, regardless of the student’s race, ethnicity, gender, family income, geographic location, or disability.”

Among other things, EETT grant recipients were required by the California Department of Education to “develop process and accountability measures that will be used to evaluate the extent to which activities funded under the program effectively:

- Increase technology literacy among students.
- Enable students to use technology to meet or exceed state academic content standards.
- Increase technology literacy among teachers.
- Increase the ability of teachers to integrate technology into curriculum and instruction.
- Increase the ability of teachers to analyze and use longitudinal achievement to improve instruction and student learning.
- Expand student and teacher access to technology.
- Enhance communication and collaboration between home, school, and community.”

The Effective EETT Competitive Projects, awarded through the state department of education, will identify and disseminate information about the most effective and sustainable applications of technology in California schools that have received EETT Competitive Grants over the past 5 years. An emphasis is being placed on determining practices that can be cost-effectively replicated or adapted in other locations. Also, lessons learned about the planning, implementation and evaluation of technology are being documented. The findings will be made accessible to the public-at-large via the evolving MYCTAP state education technology portal referenced above.

Other federal education programs offer additional opportunities to advance Pre K - 12 digital literacy goals. For example, as this report was being written, several members of the Leadership Council were working to develop the state’s application for federal grant funding known as “Race to the Top.” To achieve the competitive preference priority points, states must have a STEM component to their plan. Whatever California proposes for the “T” in STEM will undoubtedly serve as a key driver for accomplishing digital literacy goals in schools across the state.
Recommendations for Pre K-12 Digital Literacy Standards

There is a need for Pre K-12 digital literacy standards and a plan for making learning opportunities widely available. Despite these investments over time, as of the writing of this report, California has not chosen to establish specific technology standards for students at the various grade levels. However, potential uses of technology are integrated within the California curriculum frameworks (created based on state academic content standards), which guide the development of textbooks. California’s approach to allowing local school districts to determine how to support digital literacy and the No Child Left Behind requirements can be contrasted with top down models in other states. For example:

- Massachusetts’ learning standards are grouped into four strands, and one of the four strands is Technology/Engineering. The standards are developed for grade spans (Pre K-2, 3-5, 6-8 and high school). These updated standards, adopted in 2008, expanded their definition of technology/engineering to outline specific technology skills. For example, the new definition broadened the focus to 21st century skills and digital citizenship, ethics, society and safety.

- The Texas Department of Education has identified Essential Knowledge and Skills for Technology Education/Industrial Technology Education for middle school and high school students.

The lack of Pre K-12 state standards and guidelines for digital literacy creates major challenges to conducting an assessment of the level of digital literacy skills and knowledge California students and educators possess. A process is being developed for the establishment of an item bank of digital literacy survey items that can be adapted to fit the technology goals and objectives for a given school district and/or project. However, most educators agree that statewide standards or specific guidelines for digital literacy as they would relate to each of the California Content Standards are an appropriate direction for the future. This would involve the convening of content and technology experts to take each content area and then to define an addendum to the Content Standards that defines the effective use of technology to support each standard. This would be monitored and updated each year with a communication to school districts. Assessments would then add items related to the use of technology to support standards at the school district level.

Additionally, a comprehensive Pre K-12 digital literacy plan or educational technology plan would include the goals, activities, and timeframe for developing and implementing the Pre K-12 digital literacy guidelines as well as the services needed to support these guidelines. The plan should primarily focus on ways that the existing education programs, policies, and initiatives can be adjusted to include the infusion of technology to support needed data-informed program improvements. It should also define the support needed to implement the necessary professional development, technical assistance, coordination within and between programs, agencies, and education entities.

Strong school library programs should be among the services called for in the Pre K-12 digital literacy plan given the evidence that students perform better academically in schools with strong school library programs. [Dr. Doug Achterman Ph.D. dissertation on “Haves and Have-Not s: School Libraries and Student Achievement,” University of North Texas, December, 2008] Key elements of a strong school library program include:

* Lots of carefully selected books, databases, and other learning resources.
* A program which provides instruction and activities for students to use the research process in finding the information they need.
* Technology, including hardware, software, and networking that form a virtual library without walls linking students to the world of information, and a library that supports the school curriculum 24/7.
* A full time, certified school Teacher Librarian and a full-time paraprofessional working as a team.

The Leadership Council recognizes that the authority to adopt standards for Pre K-12 students and the authority for making funding decisions required to implement the standards rests with the Governor, the Legislature, the Superintendent of Public Instruction, the State Board of Education and others. However, the Leadership Council has been directed by the Governor’s Executive Order to take steps to ensure that digital literacy needs are addressed. Therefore, as an interim measure, the Leadership Council recommends that an online site be developed that allow formal and informal educators to share examples of ways technology can be used to enhance instruction in accordance with state and federal academic standards, while simultaneously building students’, teachers’, administrators’, and higher education faculty’s digital literacy skills.

It is also recommended that the Governor, the Legislature, the Superintendent of Public Instruction and the State Board of Education consider implementing the recommendations of the independent evaluation of K-12
educational technology programs that has been submitted to the state in each of the last three years. The recommendations are part of a 70-page report that include data collection procedures, analysis, and report of findings for each of the eleven CTAP and four SETS projects discussed above. The full report is available at the website for California Department of Education. (The report can be found at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ls/et/rs/sets.asp) The recommendations are as follows:

- Develop a statewide educational technology plan that is clearly integrated with current education priorities established by the State Superintendent of Public Instruction (SPI), State Board of Education (SBE), the Governor, and the Legislature.

- Establish California technology standards and guidelines that define: 1) instructional use of technology to support the California Content Standards, 2) student-digital literacy and skills, 3) teacher-digital literacy and skills.

- Develop and validate statewide assessments that directly assess teacher- and student-digital literacy and skills aligned to the California educational technology standards, guidelines based on implementation of Recommendation 2, to be used as the data source for evaluating CTAP and SETS as well as EETT Competitive Projects.

- Develop and implement a process for increasing active collaboration with CTAP, SETS, and other state-supported educational technology programs with education programs managed by the CDE such as Title I, Curriculum, Staff Development, Assessment, Before and After School Programs, Special Education, and others.

- Continue to plan, fund, and implement a statewide “web-portal” (known as MyCTAP) that links to all other state funded PreK-12 educational technology as well as regular education programs, projects, and initiatives.

- Continue to evaluate CTAP and SETS in terms of their impact on enabling educators to develop, implement, and assess both teacher and student–digital literacy skills and ensure that major findings are disseminated to the legislature, the SPI, the SBE, and other educational technology stakeholders.

- Make every effort to continue and possibly increase funding for services to educators that help them to enable students to develop 21st century digital literacy skills. The evaluation has consistently shown that individuals who use the CTAP and SETS services have increased the infusion of technology into teaching and learning and report a need for additional assistance.

These recommendations assume that the state would continue to support existing programs such as CTAP, SETS, and the K-12 HSN. However, with the flexibility provision of the state budget established in January of 2009 that temporarily suspended the authorizing legislation for these programs, many services available for the past 10 years have diminished. Yet, digital literacy and the effective use of technology is emerging as even more important for California. For these reasons, the Leadership Council strongly recommends that the Pre-K educational technology programs be removed from the flexibility provision of the state budget (known as Tier3) that allows education technology funding to be diverted to the other school needs and immediately implement authorizing statutes to continue the existence of the K-12 CTAP & SETS projects. This would require a minor budget amendment that would not necessitate an additional cost to the state.

Strategies for Higher Education

The Leadership Council recognizes that each of the three segments of higher education have different missions, and that strategies to incorporate digital literacy across the three institutions and in private colleges and universities needs to reflect such differences. Community colleges, for example, prepare students for transfer to four-year colleges and universities, address workforce needs and are available for residents to pursue lifelong learning goals. While state policy can emphasize the importance of affording an opportunity to individuals to enhance their skills as part of a course designed to address personal learning goals unrelated to college or career, it is not the Leadership Council’s intent to mandate the development of such skills for all individuals.

Similarly, the Leadership Council recognizes that significant differences exist within the higher education community regarding perspectives on the ways people learn and the ways that technology supports/constrains learning. A paper written by Harvard Professor, Chris Dede (2008) entitled Theoretical Perspectives Influencing the Use of Information in Teaching and Learning (available at http://edusummit.nl/furtherreading) illustrates ways that the selection or emphasis surrounding one or more particular technologies can be perceived as advantaging
professors/researchers in one research tradition over another. These kinds of subtleties have tremendous implications for digital literacy implementation strategies and related curriculum adoption efforts.

The Leadership Council also recognizes that efforts to embrace digital literacy are well underway within the California State University (CSU) system. Snapshots for each of the 23 CSU campuses collected in the Spring of 2007 are available at Campus Programs - Teaching Commons (see http://teachingcommons.cdli.ictliteracy/campus_pro/program_overviews.htm.) The CSU system has worked with ETS to develop an assessment known as iSkills (see http://www.ets.org/skills/). iSkills is described as a “scenario- and web-based test to assess students’ skills in information and communication technology (ICT) literacy. It contains interactive and realistic simulations of information resources and applications such as the Web, article databases, and spread sheeting and word processing to track and evaluate how students actually go about solving problems with information technology. It will provide group- and individual-level diagnostic information for the key ICT proficiencies needed for success in higher education and beyond in the work environment. It encompasses both the technical skills and cognitive information competence abilities needed to effectively access, evaluate and use information from a wide range of resources.”

Given the diversity in approaches to developing digital literacy that exists across the higher education segments, it is likely that one single assessment will not satisfy the differing needs, conditions, and purposes of the various instructional programs. Assessments are likely to be needed across the four layers of digital literacy and the different points along the continuum for each layer. Given the different governance structures that exist, responsibility for selecting the assessments will need to be as decentralized as possible. This will make it difficult to gather, aggregate and analyze data that can inform assessments of the state’s progress in growing the digital literacy capacity of its residents over time. This reality led the Digital Literacy Advisory Committee to recommend, and the Leadership Committee to agree, that incentives will need to be provided that encourage local decision makers to use common assessments preferred at the state level. Incentives could include cost subsidies for centralized assessments, and services that provide faculty/staff with custom reports, etc. Again, if this route is chosen, those selecting the tests will need to be sensitive to what is being measured as well as the ways it is measured and the underlying assumptions (or research traditions) guiding the development of the assessment.

**Item 5: Workforce Preparation**

ICT literacy is critical to California’s sustainable economic future, both as a global leader in technology and as home to more than 38 million residents and a labor force in excess of 18.3 million. Numerous entities play important roles in educating and reeducating California’s workforce. This includes, but is not limited to public libraries, workforce investment boards, adult schools, apprenticeship programs, and the California Community College system.

Many California public libraries offer courses in using technology to communicate, write resumes, fill out job applications, etc. Some libraries, such as the one in downtown Salinas, have managed to acquire and make available the “tools” required for professional digital media production including green screens, cameras, and related software.

California community colleges are also well situated to respond effectively to business and industry by addressing specific workforce skills needed by employers. With a network of 110 community colleges, the infusion of new strategies and approaches into existing programs, courses and curricula related to information and communication technology can have a statewide impact on more than 2.9 million students and countless others.

The Leadership Council recognizes the particular value of the work being done by the California Community Colleges’ Centers of Excellence (COE) who are conducting a comprehensive assessment of ICT functions and activities that will attempt to measure the demand for technology and skill competencies across industry sectors. By quantifying and comparing industry needs with current education and workforce preparation efforts, gaps in training and curricula misalignments will surface. This type of analysis will inform and better equip stakeholders at all levels of digital literacy education and workforce preparation. The COE’s Phase One Overview report published in September 2009 is available at http://www.coeccc.net. Phases two and three (a statewide employer survey) will be conducted in partnership with the Mid-Pacific Information and Communications Technologies Center if funds can be identified.
The joint project of the California Association of Veterans Services Agencies (CAVSA), the LINK AMERICAS Foundation, and the Los Rios Community College District to provide California’s returning veterans with an online, self-paced digital literacy training program and related services is another example of the many ways the community colleges can support the state’s digital literacy goals. Among other benefits, this innovative project will graduate 5,000 veterans through the Certiport Digital Literacy boot camp.

The Leadership Council commends efforts that have led to the development of the California Pathways website that allows residents in Northern California to see what educational pathways exist for those seeking technology related careers (see http://www.capathways.org/). It is recommended that IT Career Technical Education program providers across the state take steps to populate the fields in the online searchable database so that residents across the state can access similar information that illuminates their pathway to IT college/career programs.

The assessment of general ICT digital literacy skills across the wide variety of workforce preparation programs is especially complex. In some instances, assessment will relate to the basic use of computers for commonly available office applications (such as Microsoft Word). In others, the required technology skills may be highly specific to the occupation or discipline (such as the use of MatLab in an engineering course). Workforce preparation providers will need flexibility to mix and match assessments from the four layers of competencies described above in order to meet their unique needs and audiences.

**Item 6: Recommended Curricula Consistent with the Assessment Frameworks**

The Digital Literacy Advisory Committee recommended, and the Leadership Council affirmed, the need to delay making recommendations related to curriculum until:

1) There is greater clarity regarding ways assessing knowledge, skills and behaviors in the four layers of competencies described above; and

2) A gap analysis has been conducted between the existing curriculum and that needed to adequately address the competencies needed for each of the four layers.

While these areas are being clarified, the Digital Literacy Advisory Committee can work to identify curricula as well as find resources to fund the creation of curricula that supports two conditions:

1) Conditions in which educators are working to develop knowledge, skills and behaviors related to digital literacy just in case they are needed by the learner at some point in time; and

2) Conditions in which the educator or learner has an immediate need (i.e. just in time support).

Both conditions are certain to exist, and often-subtle nuances of the curriculum can enhance/detract from their effectiveness under the two conditions. Each resource will need to be evaluated for these conditions.

**Item 7: Summary of Recommended Actions and Timeline for Implementation**

The following chart summarizes the recommendations included throughout this report and provides a timeframe for completing work associated with the recommendations.

To address the action items as outlined in Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger’s Executive Order S-06-09, the ICT Digital Literacy Leadership Council recommends the following next steps:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECOMMENDATION</th>
<th>RESPONSIBLE PARTY</th>
<th>TIMELINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enlist the support of the State’s elected officials and civic leaders to generate greater public awareness of the importance of Digital Literacy to California and advocate for policies and programs that support opportunities for Pre K-20 learners and workers to develop Digital Literacy skills.</td>
<td>Leadership Council, with staff support from the Office of the State Chief Information Officer</td>
<td>Ongoing, Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage the leaders of California’s key entities to</td>
<td>Working group to be convened by</td>
<td>Proposal to be</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
support closing the digital divide by developing and providing a seamless, consistent system of support that allows all residents to benefit from reliable technology access, training and assistance as they move between home, school, college, library, and other community-based and workforce settings, and by continuing to pursue efforts to drive availability and affordability of technology in the home for all areas of the state.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support Closing the Digital Divide</th>
<th>The Office of the State Chief Information Officer. Final version of proposal for a seamless system of support for Bridging the Digital Divide to be approved by the Leadership Council</th>
<th>Approved by the Leadership Council by January 31, 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Request the 21 entities identified as being in key leadership roles (pg. 8-9) to adopt ICT Digital Literacy policies and programs that support access, training, and adoption of “successful practices”. These organizations should also develop an implementation Action Plan and present the progress made towards implementation on a yearly basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Request the 21 Entities</th>
<th>Letter issued by the State CIO on behalf of the Leadership Council. Action plans requested by the State CIO from the 21 entities</th>
<th>CIO letter to be issued by February 15, 2010. Action plans due from 21 entities by October, 2010.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Accountability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conduct an Assessment</th>
<th>Leadership Council, with staff support from the Office of the State Chief Information Officer</th>
<th>January 31, 2012 &amp; every 2 years thereafter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revisit the Definition</td>
<td>Leadership Council, convened by the State Chief Information Officer</td>
<td>Ongoing, every two years, commencing in January, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request the Legislative Analyst to Report</td>
<td>Office of the State CIO to work with the Governor’s Office and the leadership of the California State Assembly and Senate to make the LAO request</td>
<td>Request to be made by June 2010. Initial LAO report to be part of the LAO Analysis of the 2010-2011 Annual State Budget</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Communications and Outreach**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Communicate Revised Definitions</th>
<th>Leadership Council, convened by the State Chief Information Officer</th>
<th>Ongoing, every two years, commencing in January, 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document and Share Successful Policies and Programs</td>
<td>Office of the State Chief Information Officer to collect examples from the members of the Leadership Council</td>
<td>Examples, collected and reflected on a central online site no later than January 31st of each year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify Opportunities for Partnerships</td>
<td>Included as part of the working group discussions referenced above</td>
<td>Proposal to be approved by the Leadership Council by January 31, 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Process Improvements and Tool Development**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Invest Additional Time and Resources in Developing a Framework</th>
<th>Working group to be convened by the Office of the State Chief Information Officer. Final version of framework to be approved by the Leadership Council</th>
<th>Framework to be approved by the Leadership Council by January 31, 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify Different Tools and Methods for Assessing Digital Literacy Proficiency</td>
<td>Working group to be convened by the Office of the State Chief Information Officer. Final version of assessment proposal to be approved by the Leadership Council by January 31, 2011</td>
<td>Assessment proposal to be approved by the Leadership Council by January 31, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Approval</td>
<td>Target Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invest in the strategic development of a strong information technology (IT) sector that is responsive to the needs of the community, schooling, and workforce sectors.</td>
<td>Governor, the Legislature, the California Emerging Technology Fund (CETF), and others</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop an online site that allows formal and informal educators to share examples of ways technology can be used to enhance instruction in accordance with state and federal academic standards while simultaneously building students', teachers', administrators', and higher education faculty's digital literacy skills.</td>
<td>Office of the State Chief Information Officer</td>
<td>No later than January 31, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make common and centrally hosted Digital Literacy assessment tools and systems available to local decision makers and families at low or no cost to support Digital Literacy development.</td>
<td>Leadership Council in partnership with lead entities after the assessment framework has been adopted</td>
<td>January 31, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete the development of the searchable Career Technical Education (CTE) Pathways database so that students can identify IT college/career preparation programs in their local area.</td>
<td>California Department of Education, California Community College Chancellor's Office and their partners</td>
<td>January 31, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Legislative Attention</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove K-12 education technology programs from the flexibility provision of the state budget (known as Tier 3) that allows education technology funding to be diverted to other school needs, and immediately implement authorizing statues to continue the existence of the K-12 CTAP (California Technology Assistance Project) and SETS (Statewide Education Technology Services) programs.</td>
<td>Legislature and the Governor</td>
<td>2010-2011 Legislative Session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education and Workforce</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that prior to adopting the Digital Literacy recommendations related to curricula integration strategies across Pre K-20 and workforce:</td>
<td>Included as part of the working group discussions referenced above.</td>
<td>Proposal to be approved by the Leadership Council by January 31, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) There is greater clarity regarding ways of developing, accessing, and assessing knowledge, skills, and practices in each level for the four layers of competencies described on pages 16 -18; and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) It is determined that Digital Literacy curriculum and instruction that includes the four layers of competency development described herein exists and is widely available to teachers and students.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locate resources to fund the creation and/or expansion of curricula to support educators (communities, families, schools, colleges, and workforce) in::</td>
<td>Leadership Council, with staff support from the Office of the State Chief Information Officer</td>
<td>Initial resources for educators &amp; learners to be available on the online site by January 31, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Assisting learners in situations where there is an immediate need to know/learn (i.e. just in time support); and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Developing knowledge, skills, and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
practices that support Digital Literacy needed for future work and schooling.
Item 8: Identification of Metrics to Ascertain the Achievement of ICT Digital Literacy

The California ICT Digital Leadership Council acknowledges the need to measure the achievement of the state’s ICT Digital Literacy goals on an ongoing basis in order to document progress over time. Doing so will be challenging since the Council does not currently have any resources to support such work. Therefore, it will need to rely on the voluntary cooperation of the various entities with leadership roles in the attainment of the state’s ICT Digital Literacy goals.

Metrics for the assessment that will be conducted every two years should include:

- Adherence to the process of revisiting the state’s definition of ICT Digital Literacy and assessing outcomes and revising the State Action Plan at least once every two years.
- Progress toward meeting the goals and objectives contained in the Action Plan.
- Evidence that ICT Digital Literacy is being integrated into course and curriculum assessments as well as institutional evaluations and regional/professional accreditation initiatives.
- Evidence of the adoption and use of multiple methods and purposes for assessment/evaluation (formative and summative, and short term and longitudinal) within the entities that have a direct role in promoting digital literacy.
- Review of assessment/evaluation methods (at the institutional/program level and, for educational and workforce preparation programs, ways of assessing student outcomes).

CONCLUSION

Together, the Governor's Executive Order and the groundwork laid by the California Emerging Technology Foundation provide an excellent foundation for California’s next steps to ensure that learners of all ages can be successful creators and/or users of technologies and technology-enabled content that enable the sharing of information, thoughts and ideas, the production and delivery of goods and services, and participation in modern society. The California ICT Digital Literacy Action Plan contains essential “next steps” for connecting with the various entities that have unique opportunities to provide leadership in this area.

Forward progress is contingent on the actions of key partners, the continued work and monitoring efforts of the California ICT Digital Literacy Leadership Council, and the ability to identify and secure resources to support the actions called for within the plan. In many instances, efforts to promote ICT digital literacy simply require individuals to develop ways of including this focus within activities that are already underway. In that sense, progress can be made even in this era of limited funding. The Council is committed to the Governor’s vision, and looks forward to demonstrating progress in the report it will voluntarily produce in December 2012.
APPENDIX A

EXECUTIVE ORDER S-06-09
By the Governor of the State of California

WHEREAS Information and Communications Technologies (ICT) Digital Literacy is a defining component of California's competitiveness for a knowledge-based economy and is growing in importance to attract capital investment that will generate higher quality jobs; and

WHEREAS ICT Digital Literacy skills are vital to California's ability to compete successfully in a global information and knowledge economy; and

WHEREAS ICT Digital Literacy is defined as using digital technology, communications tools and/or networks to access, manage, integrate, evaluate, create and communicate information in order to function in a knowledge-based economy and society; and

WHEREAS there is widespread recognition documented in numerous national and international reports by entities such as the World Summit on the Information Society (WSIS) that ICT Digital Literacy is essential for increasing productivity, improving quality of life, and enhancing global competitiveness; and

WHEREAS even though the first inaugural annual survey by the Public Policy Institute of California in partnership with the California Emerging Technology Fund and Zero Divide (titled Californians and Information Technology) found that nearly seven in ten Californians and strong majorities across demographic groups believe it is very important to have Internet access, there is a persistent Digital Divide in California as evidenced by the fact that:

- Less than half of Latinos (48%) have home computers, compared with about 86% for Whites, 84% for Asians, and 79% for Blacks.
- Only 53% of Latinos have Internet access, and only 39% of Latinos have broadband connections at home, while majorities of other racial or ethnic groups have both Internet access and broadband connections.
- Only 32% of Californians are very confident about using the Internet.
- More than 56% of parents indicate that they visit their children’s school websites, but only 30% of those with household incomes under $40,000 indicate doing so, as compared to 84% of those with incomes of $80,000 or more.
- Those with incomes under $40,000 remain far less likely than those with incomes over $80,000 to use the Internet (58% vs. 97%) or to have broadband at home (40% vs. 89%).
- There is a disparity among ethnic/racial groups, income levels, and regions when comparing rates of computer ownership, Internet access, and broadband connections at home.
- A majority of residents express concern that Californians in lower-income areas and rural areas have less access to broadband Internet technology than others.
- There are indications that since 2000, computer use has grown among whites (79% to 85%) and blacks (76% to 83%), as has Internet use (70% to 81% for Whites, 60% to 82% for Blacks), but among Latinos, computer use has declined (64% to 58%) and Internet use is unchanged (47% to 48%), while Asians have seen declines in both their use of computers (91% to 81%) and the Internet (84% to 80%). [NOTE: These numbers and percentages have been updated using latest data from California’s Digital Divide published by Public Policy Institute of California – report dated June 2009 available at http://www.ppic.org/content/pubs/jtf/JTF_DigitalDivideJTF.pdf.]

WHEREAS to ensure continued global competitiveness in today's knowledge-based economy, it is increasingly important for workers to be able to cope with changes in the nature of work, shifts in the labor
demand, and changes in required ICT skills for the jobs being generated; and

WHEREAS at the individual level, the ability to read, write, do math, problem solve, work in a team, think critically and use ICT is essential to education and workforce preparation, employment success, civic participation, health care, and access to entertainment; and

WHEREAS the State of California supports ICT for applications in government, education, workforce, health care, business and other areas; and

WHEREAS it is recognized that all residents must have the opportunity for full participation in the educational, civic, cultural, and economic sectors of California society and must have accessibility to and appropriate skills for fully utilizing government, education, workforce, health care, business, and other services; and

WHEREAS it is an important goal to ensure that California residents are digitally literate, and that they recognize the importance of (1) access to information and communications technologies regardless of income, geographic location or advantage; (2) the provision of ubiquitous broadband service in a competitive marketplace at affordable cost; (3) opportunities for residents to acquire ICT digital literacy skills in order to benefit academically, economically and socially; (4) the development of a California ICT Digital Literacy Policy that declares that all residents of California should be digitally literate; and (5) a seamless continuum of digital literacy competencies with benchmarks, metrics, assessments and certifications endorsed by the State to identify the ICT digital literacy proficiencies of residents, students, and workers; and

WHEREAS a California ICT Digital Literacy Policy would support a framework and continuum of digital literacy skills, benchmarking, and metrics consistent with globally accepted standards, and would ensure accountability for assessing progress and success; and

WHEREAS an ICT Digital Literacy Policy would be consistent with the Administration’s goal to strengthen the economy, expand the skilled workforce, and increase competitiveness in sciences, technology, engineering and math industries and careers.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, Governor of the State of California, by virtue of the power and authority vested in me by the Constitution and laws of the State of California, do hereby order effective immediately:

1. A California ICT Digital Literacy Leadership Council (Leadership Council) is hereby established. It shall be chaired by my Chief Information Officer. Membership on the Leadership Council shall include the Secretary of Education, the Secretary of Labor and Workforce Development, the Secretary of Business, Transportation and Housing, and the Secretary of State and Consumer Affairs. The Leadership Council shall invite the Superintendent of Public Instruction to participate as a member of the Leadership Council.

2. The Leadership Council shall establish an ICT Digital Literacy Advisory Committee (Advisory Committee). Membership on the Advisory Committee shall include representatives of entities with an interest in ICT Digital Literacy, such as the California Economic Strategy Panel, California Workforce Investment Board, State Board of Education, California Community Colleges, California State University, University of California, public-purpose private-sector organizations such as the California Emerging Technology Fund, California Business Roundtable, California Chamber of Commerce, American Electronics Association, TechNet, and leaders from the private sector. The Majority and Minority Leaders of the Senate and Assembly shall be invited to each appoint a Legislator to serve on the Advisory Committee.

3. The Leadership Council, in consultation with the Advisory Committee, shall develop an ICT Digital Literacy Policy, to ensure that California residents are digitally literate.
4. The Leadership Council, in consultation with the Advisory Committee, shall also develop a California Action Plan for ICT Digital Literacy (Action Plan). The Action Plan shall include:
   a) Definition of the basic elements of Digital Literacy
   b) Description and articulation of a “continuum” of skills required for Digital Literacy
   c) Strategies and actions for incorporating Digital Literacy into workforce training statewide.
   d) Strategies and actions for incorporating Digital Literacy into K-12 and higher education.
   e) Acceptable frameworks for assessment and certification
   f) Recommended curricula consistent with the assessment frameworks
   g) A timeline for implementation of the Action Plan
   h) Identification of metrics to ascertain the achievement of ICT Digital Literacy


6. The California Workforce Investment Board (WIB) shall develop a technology literacy component for its five-year Strategic State Plan to:
   a) Raise the level of Digital Literacy in California by supporting technology training and integrating Digital Literacy skills into workforce development activities
   b) Expand Career Technical Education (CTE) opportunities and Digital Literacy programs in community colleges
   c) Build consensus at the State and local community levels by identifying Digital Literacy ecosystems to drive models of excellence, benchmarking, and reliable metrics for measuring success
   d) Provide workforce examples of skills training and job-placement community-value projects for e-government, e-health or other conveniences
   e) Engage the ICT industry and entertainment mega-industry along with large employers to promote applications
   f) Highlight collaborative models in underserved communities and culturally diverse populations
   g) Build and resource a strong coalition empowered to achieve near-term action and results-oriented outcomes
   h) Reward success to reinforce best practices, individual champions, economic results, and public awareness and support

7. These activities are to be accomplished through realignment of existing personnel and resources without additional state funding. However, the Leadership Council is authorized to identify and deploy non-state resources that can work in collaboration with State agencies to help build a public-private sector alliance for the purpose of assisting in implementation of the goals of this Executive Order.

8. The Leadership Council shall submit the Action Plan to me by December 31, 2009 or sooner.

9. The Leadership Council shall comply with applicable open-meeting laws.

I FURTHER REQUEST that the Legislature and Superintendent of Public Instruction consider adopting similar goals, and that they join the Leadership Council in issuing a "Call to Action" to schools, higher education institutions, employers, workforce training agencies, local governments, community organizations, and civic leaders to advance California as a global leader in ICT Digital Literacy by:

1. Incorporating ICT Digital Literacy into workforce training programs and curricula.
2. Supporting and promoting ICT Digital Literacy by encouraging all public agencies to optimize e-government and the availability of public services online.

3. Requiring employers and employer organizations to identify requisite ICT Digital Literacy skills for 21st century jobs and to articulate appropriate training and assessment standards to local, regional and state agencies responsible for workforce training.

4. Encouraging public and private sectors to join forces and form public-private partnerships to promote ICT Digital Literacy.

I FURTHER DIRECT that as soon as hereafter possible, this Order be filed in the Office of the Secretary of State and that widespread publicity and notice be given to this Order.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Great Seal of the State of California to be affixed this 22nd day of May 2009.
APPENDIX B

Potential Definitions of Digital Literacy (or Literacies) - (Lankshear and Knobel, 2008)

**Information literacy** – Encompasses aspects of the evaluation of information, and an appreciation of the nature of information resources (Bawden 21*).

**Skills** - Recognizing a need for information, identifying what information is needed, finding the information, evaluating the information, organizing the information, using the information. OR connecting with information (orientation, exploring, focusing, locating), interacting with information (thinking critically, evaluating), and making use of information (transforming, communicating, applying) (Bawden 21-22).

**Computer literacy** – Discreet skill set (Martin 157).

**ICT literacy** – Using digital technology, communications tools, and/or networks to access, manage, integrate, evaluate and create information in order to function in a knowledge society. Continuum, changes over time (not mastery of static or technical skills) (Soby 130).

**Skills** - Assess, manage, integrate, evaluate, and create (Soby 131).

**Digital competencies** – Use tools interactively, interact in heterogeneous groups, act autonomously. Mere knowledge and skills are not sufficient in themselves. Strategies, attitudes and procedures are also required (Soby 133).

**E-literacy** – Combines the traditional skills of computer literacy, aspects of information literacy (the ability to find, organize and make use of digital information) with issues of interpretation, knowledge construction and expression (Bawden 25).

**Network literacy** - Focuses on effective use of Internet and other networked resources (Bawden 24).

**Multimedia literacy** – Ability to match the medium we use to the kind of information we are presenting and the audiences we are presenting it to (Soby 139*).
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California ICT Digital Literacy Assessments and Curriculum Framework

Section I: Purpose, Competencies and Performance Outcomes

Purpose:

The purpose of the California ICT Digital Literacy Assessments and Curriculum Framework is to provide a standardized approach for assessment, diagnosis, and continuous improvement of basic information and communications (ICT) digital literacy skills for students and the workforce. The framework builds upon:

- The definition of digital literacy in the California Policy for ICT Digital Literacy.
- A recognition that all residents of the state benefit from being ICT digitally literate in school, the workplace and 21st Century life.
- Adoption of global standards and performance indicators for ICT digital literacy.

Definition, Elements and Competencies:

ICT digital literacy as delineated in the California ICT Digital Literacy Assessments and Curriculum Framework is ability to use digital technology and communications tools, and/or networks to access, manage, integrate, evaluate, create and communicate information in order to function in a knowledge society. Specifically, the elements, definitions and competencies are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Definitions</th>
<th>Competencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access</td>
<td>Knowing about and knowing how to collect and/or retrieve information.</td>
<td>Search, find, and retrieve information in digital environments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manage</td>
<td>Applying an existing organizational or classification scheme.</td>
<td>Conduct a rudimentary and preliminary organization of accessed information for retrieval and future application.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrate</td>
<td>Interpreting and representing information - summarizing, comparing, and contrasting.</td>
<td>Interpret and represent information by using ICT tools to synthesize, summarize, compare, and contrast information from multiple sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluate</td>
<td>Making judgments about the quality, relevance, usefulness, or efficiency of information.</td>
<td>Judge the currency, appropriateness, and adequacy of information and information sources for a specific purpose (including determining authority, bias, and timelines of materials).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create</td>
<td>Generating information by adapting, applying, designing, inventing, or authoring information.</td>
<td>Adapt, apply, design, or invent information in ICT environments (to describe an event, express an opinion, or support a basic argument, viewpoint, or position).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicate</td>
<td>Communicate information persuasively to meet needs of various audiences through use of an appropriate medium.</td>
<td>Communicate, adapt, and present information properly in its context (audience, media) in ICT environments and for a peer audience.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Existing international and national digital literacy frameworks and assessment instruments all share these common elements.
Standards, Performance Indicators and Outcomes:

The standards, performance indicators and outcomes discussed in the following sections are based on the above ICT literacy elements, definitions, and competencies and are intended to:

- Provide guidance for development of a seamless continuum of ICT digital literacy skills.
- Ensure statewide standardized assessments of competencies.
- Assist in identifying digital literacy skills gaps for advancement to full proficiency.
- Provide a reliable performance guide to school administrators, educators, and employers for use in diagnosis and continuous improvement of students and workers.
- Guide curriculum development.
- Validate that certification of competency in basic ICT digital literacy skills achievement is based on globally acceptable standards and performance indicators.

The post secondary standards and performance indicators and outcomes are presented in Section II and relate to the ICT digital literacy skills necessary for completion of academic and professional degrees as recommended by the National ICT Literacy Policy Council. They are based on extensive research and development efforts by national organizations and major assessment developers. Pilot projects related to these standards and performance indicators are already underway in California State University (CSU) four-year post-secondary institutions with national assessment developers such as Educational Testing Service (ETS), among others. CSU is considering incorporating these performance indicators and outcomes into academic requirements. However, to date, there is no standard policy in place for the State. It is recommended that California adopt these standards for the post secondary segment.

Community Colleges, vocational programs, schools and institutions and the industry entry level digital literacy assessment requirements have been grouped together in Section II under a separate category. This grouping rests on the assumption that in general vocational education students and entry level workers seeking certification in work force preparation may require a higher level of remediation and concentration on the basic literacy skills than students in four year academic and professional programs. Test developers, such as ICDL-US and Certiport, are working with California Community Colleges and employers on a number of pilot projects that use a variety of ICT skills assessment instruments for certification of work force competencies in students. There are no national or statewide recognized standards, performance indicators or outcomes for certification at this time for ICT digital literacy competency in the community colleges, vocational programs, or for entry level workforce.
In the K-12 education segment, ICT digital literacy competencies and assessment presents a more complex paradigm. Digital literacy is broadly co-mingled with technology planning, professional development, the incorporation of skills into existing curriculum, administrative support, and access to technology. The International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE) and the Partnership for the 21st Century Skills, a national collaboration of education associations and businesses, are the two leading organizations in identifying K-12 digital literacy skills and assessment approaches. While there is no national standard at K-12, many states have already adopted and aligned the recommendations of these organizations into their locally approved curriculum and assessment standards. Many school districts in California are already incorporating the ISTE National Education Technology Standards (NETS) and performance indicators into their curriculum and technology planning processes. It is recommended that California adopt the ISTE NETS Standards for K-12 education which are discussed in more detail in Section III.

In summary, in each of these segments the current approaches for standardization and certification of ICT digital literacy skills vary. However, there is consensus among key stakeholders that measurement of ICT digital literacy skills and performance outcomes must be in compliance to a common definition, consistent competencies, and globally acceptable standards. It is clear that the post-secondary academic segment and the K-12 segment have made much progress in this regard, and that it would be to the benefit of California to adopt the working models already in progress that are discussed herein.

However, there remains a need for development of a seamless continuum of ICT digital literacy competencies that are aligned to assessments, certification and curricula. California has the opportunity to take a leadership role in developing such an innovative model to standardized certification for ICT digital literacy skills. Therefore, it is recommended that a vendor independent seamless continuum for California ICT Digital Literacy Assessment and Certification be developed that incorporates ICT digital literacy standards, competencies, and alignment of assessments for K-20 and the workforce. Such a continuum would facilitate the assessment and certification of students and the workforce in ICT digital literacy. Furthermore, individuals could be better informed and self-empowered to acquire the necessary skills needed for educational attainment and reaching career goals. The rationale and approach are discussed further in Section II.
Section II: Standards, Performance Indicators, and Outcomes

A. Four Year Post Secondary Education

The Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL), a division of the American Library Association (ALA) is considered the premier source for standards, performance indicators, and outcomes for assessment of ICT digital literacy competencies at the Post-Secondary Level. The ALA standards were reviewed and approved by the ACRL Standards Committee and Board of Directors. The ACRL framework has been adopted by multiple academic institutions and commercial assessment developers. Additionally, the National ICT Literacy Policy Council, a collaboration of business and education organizations has endorsed them. In February 2007, the National ICT Literacy Policy Council met in Washington, D.C. to draft definitions of expected ICT literacy standards for students entering college and for college students beginning advanced course work. The resulting standards are the 'foundational' level of ICT literacy for minimally expected performance of first and third-year college students, and are incorporated into ICT literacy assessment instruments of the Educational Testing Service (ETS) iSkills™ Assessment. ETS is already using the assessment in pilot programs in the California State University System and with multiple four-year institutions nationwide.

There are five standards and twenty-two performance indicators which focus upon the needs of students in higher education at all levels and which identify a range of outcomes for assessing student progress toward information literacy. These outcomes serve as guidelines for faculty, librarians, and others in developing methods for measuring a student's ICT digital literacy competency. It is recommended that all the California post-secondary systems adopt these standards, performance indicators and outcomes. The five specific standards identified by ACRL and the National ICT Literacy Policy Council are:

Standard One
The information literate student determines the nature and extent of the information needed.

Standard Two
The information literate student accesses needed information effectively and efficiently.

Standard Three
The information literate student evaluates information and its sources critically and incorporates selected information into his or her knowledge base and value system.

Standard Four
The information literate student individually or as a member of a group, uses information...
B. Community Colleges, Vocational Programs, Schools and Institutions, and Industry

Standards, performance indicators and outcomes for ICT digital literacy skills for work force preparation, advancing of employees already in the workforce, benchmarking, and certification are varied and lack standardization. There has been no parallel standardization effort at Community Colleges to that of the National ICT Literacy Policy Council for the four-year institutions. To date, the assessments and curricula have been primarily driven by competitive vendors with a primary interest in marketing tests for industry related computer skills standards or technical competencies for jobs related to information technology. For example, Companies such as Microsoft and Cisco, Novell, Linux, among many others, have wide-ranging testing materials with support curriculum that relate directly to their products. Their skills tests, known generally as IT assessments, are available either directly from the company or through numerous re-sellers.

Most of these basic computer skills certifications and training programs are costly for the test taker and focus on identifying and validating use of vendor specific software programs or the operating systems and application programs for computers. IT assessments seem to adequately measure and provide certification of higher-level candidates on primarily the technical skills. However, it is possible that over half of the students in community colleges today are lacking in basic ICT literacy skills required to even effectively operate a computer and navigate typical application suites because of deficiencies in basic literacy skills.

Internationally, two leading companies have dominated the work force for basic digital literacy assessment areas – Certiport with its Internet and Computing Core Certification (IC3) and International Computer Driver’s License (ICDL) with a work skills assessment, and known for the Computer Drivers License Certification. Both companies reference multiple countries using their assessments for both standardized benchmarking and regional and national levels, and for individual digital literacy work force assessments. Additionally, the Computing Industry Association (CompTIA) has a technology certification called CompTIA A+ certification. This assessment falls into the IT category and proves a candidate has a broad base entry-level knowledge and competency in core hardware and operating system technologies, including installation, configuration, diagnosing, preventive maintenance and basic networking. These assessment providers all collaborate to one degree or another with training providers, institutions and employers throughout California.

Non-profit organizations have also entered the IT assessment area. Most notably, the National Workforce Center for Emerging Technologies (NWCET) in Bellevue Washington and Education Development Corporation (EDC) are considered the two leaders in developing IT skills sets for the workforce. Their career clusters, standards and frameworks are adopted nationally and internationally. However, their skill sets are primarily focused on the technical requirements for job skills and do not, per se, delineate basic competencies for ICT digital literacy that incorporate both the technical and cognitive competencies of a 21st Century workforce.
These various approaches, while of a quality standard individually, only provide partial solutions to the broader need for standardized measuring of a candidate’s competencies on all the basic elements of ICT digital literacy. They are, at best, a “patchwork quilt” approach to standardized assessment and certification for job training initiatives, private sector employers, vocational training programs, and public sector training needs throughout California. Furthermore, the lack of a standard assessment and certification of basic ICT digital literacy contributes to a general confusion as to what assessment measures what skill, and if all competencies are covered by the various assessments, and most importantly, if the candidate can be certified as competent at a basic level of ICT digital literacy.

C. Need for a Vendor Independent Seamless Continuum for ICT Digital Literacy Certification

As stated previously, a variety of commercial assessment instruments are currently available for assessment of various performance indicators of ICT digital literacy skills. However, no overarching standardized continuum of skills exists that is in alignment with the California definition of ICT digital literacy or globally recognized ICT digital literacy certifications. Furthermore, the available assessments tend to be costly to the candidate, and most likely cost prohibitive to the public sector for large scale benchmarking at a regional or state level.

There is consensus that a vendor independent seamless continuum of ICT digital literacy skills is necessary to track continuous progress from K-20, to workforce skills preparation to ongoing workforce training requirements. Such a comprehensive continuum could be used to determine a student’s or candidate’s initial level of digital literacy skills for placement, diagnosis, and remediation as well as ongoing competency achievements.

The continuum must be based on California’s definition of basic elements and competencies of ICT digital literacy, developed to accepted global standards, and aligned to assessment instruments and curriculum syllabi. This will ensure benchmarking, uniformity in the mastery of digital literacy competencies, and alignment for comprehensive diagnostic and remediation.

Assessment developers and standards developers collaborating with CETF on the California ICT digital literacy effort indicate that certifications aligned with a seamless continuum of ICT digital literacy skills, and supported by aligned curriculum, are critical to achieving a goal of a digitally literate citizenry for California. Once placed on a continuum by an initial assessment of basic ICT digital literacy, candidates could then continue to refine their skills development, and could more effectively be directed to curriculum requirements for mastery of higher levels of competencies throughout their educational process and career path.

Therefore, CETF, in collaboration with assessment developers, businesses, associations, and vocational stakeholders, is taking the lead in convening the expertise to develop this seamless continuum in order to attain the California ICT Digital Literacy Assessment and Certification.
A statewide collaborative effort will require an implementation strategy that clearly delineates accountability for decision-making processes, timelines, provisions for large-scale deployments and rollouts to validate the continuum, alignment and metrics. These must be from the onset clarity and a shared vision for success. In working with key stakeholders to develop such an approach, CETF must insist that the continuum and assessments meet the following basic requirements:

- Be able to attract multi-stakeholder “buy-in” during development process.
- Be able to assess both basic literacy and ICT digital literacy skills.
- Be cost effective.
- Be delivered in a short time at many locations with online security.
- Be accessible to special needs groups and multilingual.
- Be endorsed by employers and business associations as essential in getting a job.
- Be endorsed by Assessment Providers as the basic ICT digital literacy continuum.
- Be validated to global standards and performance levels and accepted in California.
- Be aligned to syllabi, curriculum and diagnostics.

There is no reason to reinvent the wheel. Standards, performance indicators, and assessments currently exist. The challenge is to assemble the pieces in a coherent and seamless way to achieve a model that meets the requirements for California.

At a minimum, the following widely used standards, assessments, performance indicators and certifications must be reviewed and considered in assembling the continuum:

- ACT Work Keys Assessments
- Certiport IC3
- ETS skills/ICT Certification Exam
- iCDSLUS – Digital Literacy Assessment
- ACRL and the National ICT Literacy Policy Council Standards
- ISTE NETS (discussed in Section III).

Summary descriptions of the skills performance outcomes and assessments are in the Appendix, pages 14-22.
Section III: Standards and Performance Indicators – K-12 Education

A. Standards and Performance Indicators for Students

The International Society for Technology in Education and Training (ISTE) is considered the premier source for standards, performance indicators, and outcomes for assessment of ICT digital literacy competencies at the K-12 Level. The ISTE National Educational Technology Standards for Students (NETS) have been adopted by multiple institutions and K-12 commercial assessment developers. In addition, the Partnership for 21st Century Skills, a leading advocacy group for digital literacy skills, and collaborative effort of educators and business stakeholders, has developed guidelines with elements of 21st Century learning for K-12 that incorporate NETS. It is recommended that the California K-12 systems also adopt these standards into student performance outcomes, and that the NETS be considered in the development of a seamless continuum for ICT digital literacy assessment and certification for California. The detailed NETS performance standards for K-12 students are found in Appendix, page 25.

Educational professionals regard eighth grade as a good benchmarking grade for assessing digital literacy. An assessment targeted directly at eighth grade is the NETS Online Technology Assessment developed jointly by the International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE) and Microsoft. It focuses on the use of ICT to demonstrate achievement in analytic, production and communication skills. The assessment’s twelve 30-minute activities require students to use a variety of Microsoft’s most commonly used Office applications Word, Excel, PowerPoint, Internet Explorer, Outlook, Access and FrontPage - to complete real world tasks such as writing a business letter or constructing a slide presentation on “The Nine Planets.” The assessments offer formative information about students’ skills and have been offered as an online tool for teachers and administrators to gauge their students’ progress towards No Child Left Behind (NCLB) 8th grade technology-literacy requirement. To date no similar standardized evaluation instrument for high school exit proficiency is available.

ISTE has developed a resource list of vendor products that are in alignment with all or selected NETS standards. K-12 districts can refer to this reference in selecting assessments.

In addition to the student performance standards in ICT digital literacy, it is important that the K-12 school environment promote a mindset of ICT development. This includes recognizing that Teacher Librarians, along with classroom teachers, have a significant role in teaching ICT digital literacy skills. It is this partnership that contributes to successful integration of digital literacy into the curriculum. The following framework provides a guide for consideration as educators incorporate ICT digital literacy requirements into the pedagogy, curriculum and assessment.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stages of ICT Development and Approaches to ICT Teaching and Learning</th>
<th>Indicators of ICT Development in a K-12 School Environment</th>
<th>Learning and Teaching Pedagogy</th>
<th>Understanding of Curriculum</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Discovering ICT tools.</td>
<td>Teacher-centered pedagogy: Focus is on knowledge and skills of ICT.</td>
<td>Students’ ICT literacy is developed during special ICT lessons. Target is to teach students to understand and use hardware and software.</td>
<td>ICT capabilities are assessed separately from students’ capacities in other domains. Assessment is a responsibility of the ICT subject teacher. Mainly knowledge and technical skills are assessed. Multiple-choice questions and other standard test techniques are used.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applying Learning how to use ICT.</td>
<td>Teacher-centered pedagogy: Focus is on fundamental knowledge and skills to apply ICT in discrete areas.</td>
<td>ICT is applied within discrete subjects in artificial isolated subject contexts.</td>
<td>Assessment focuses on skills and abilities to perform tasks. ICT literacy is assessed separately and as a part of other subjects. Assessment is a responsibility of isolated teachers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infusing Understanding how and when to use ICT to achieve particular purposes.</td>
<td>Student-centered pedagogy: Focus is on collaboration and communication, use of different information sources and application of ICT for various standard purposes.</td>
<td>Curriculum is organized on a problem-based authentic basis. ICT and different subjects are integrated. ICT (and ICT literacy) is a tool used for accomplishment of various authentic tasks. Projects and other resource-based learning methods are dominant.</td>
<td>Evaluation is integrated and moderated across subject areas. Assessment focuses on attainments in subject domains. Portfolios and multimedia are used to demonstrate attainments. ICT literacy includes technical, cognitive, social and ethical aspects. Evaluation is the responsibility of the student.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transforming Specializing in the use of ICT tools.</td>
<td>Student-centered pedagogy: Focus is on active experimental learning, critical thinking and decision-making capabilities. ICT is applied for individualization of learning and a range of other purposes.</td>
<td>Curriculum is tailored to each student’s individual needs. Blended learning environments and learning management systems are used in the teaching and learning process. ICT literacy is enhanced and applied while accomplishing various learning tasks.</td>
<td>Evaluation is continuous and holistic. Learner-oriented, open-ended, project-based, peer-mediated evaluation approaches are used. ICT literacy is a part of basic literacy. Various communities are involved in the assessment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Progress in implementing ICT at a school and indicators that describe ICT literacy.

B. Performance Indicators for Teachers and Teacher Librarians

Building on the ISTE NETS for Students, the ISTE NETS for Teachers (NETS•T) standards focus on pre-service teacher education, and define the fundamental concepts, knowledge, skills, and attitudes for applying technology in educational settings. All candidates seeking certification or endorsements in teacher preparation should meet these educational technology standards. It is the responsibility of faculty across the university and at cooperating schools to provide opportunities for teacher candidates to meet these standards. Teacher Librarians also need to meet these standards because they are frequently the key professionals at a school site that have responsibility for teaching multimedia, ICT and information literacy skills.

The categories of standards with performance indicators listed below are designed to be general enough to be customized to fit state, university, or district guidelines and yet specific enough to define the scope of the topic. Performance indicators for each standard provide specific outcomes to be measured when developing a set of assessment tools. The standards categories and the performance indicators also provide guidelines for teachers currently in the classroom. It is recommended that these categories of standards be built into the teacher credentialing requirements in-service training competencies, and are considered in the development of a seamless continuum of ICT digital literacy competencies in California. The categories of standards with overall performance indicators are:

TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS AND CONCEPTS
Teachers demonstrate a sound understanding of technology operations and concepts.

1. PLANNING AND DESIGNING LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS AND EXPERIENCES
Teachers plan and design effective learning environments and experiences supported by technology.

2. TEACHING, LEARNING, AND THE CURRICULUM
Teachers implement curriculum plans that include methods and strategies for applying technology to maximize student learning.

3. ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION
Teachers apply technology to facilitate a variety of effective assessment and evaluation strategies.

4. PRODUCTIVITY AND PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE
Teachers use technology to enhance their productivity and professional practice.

5. SOCIAL, ETHICAL, LEGAL, AND HUMAN ISSUES
Teachers understand the social, ethical, legal, and human issues surrounding the use of technology in PK-12 schools and apply those principles in practice.

Complete skills performance requirements for all teachers can be found in Appendix, page 27.
C. Performance Indicators for Administrators

Recognizing that administrative vision and leadership is a key ingredient in successful technology programs in school districts, ISTE has also developed standardized performance indicators for administrators. They identify performance in the following categories:

1. Leadership and Vision
2. Learning and Teaching
3. Productivity and Professional Practice
4. Support, Management, and Operations
5. Assessment and Evaluation
6. Social, Ethical and Legal Issues

Full descriptions of the performance indicators for these categories can be found in Appendix, page 29.

D. Social Networking, Web 2.0, and Acceptable Use Policies (AUP)

In addressing ICT digital literacy requirements, school districts must also take into consideration their policies for how students and teachers are able to use online tools to access applications and resources. For example, access to Web 2.0 tools, such as blogs and podcasts, are often blocked by districts, suggesting a need to revise current policies to keep abreast of technology developments and new applications. Teacher librarians have enthusiastically embraced online web 2.0 courses offered by the California School Librarians Association (CSLA). This has added hundreds of curriculum connection ideas to already available curriculum. Yet, many teachers and students are unable to access these resources due to district blocking.

Districts require Acceptable Use Policies (AUP) for parents, students and district employees to use Internet workstations. However, communications technology ethics, Internet safety, copyright, piracy, plagiarism, peer-to-peer file sharing, and other topics are words and subjects not uniformly understood. AUPs protect districts from liability but do little or nothing to inform and educate users (teachers and students) or parents about what they are signing. For example, a prerequisite tutorial would be appropriate before signing an annual AUP. Each district could contribute to the advancement of digital literacy by using an online tutorial to meet this need. Tutorials should be required (for students and employees) or made available (for parents) before signing an AUP as a basic digital literacy online course similar to what businesses require in annual policy coverage for employees.
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National ICT Literacy Policy Council ICT Literacy Skills
Educational Testing Service (ETS)
Post Secondary Focus
Intermediate Foundational ICT Literacy Skills

Demonstrate abilities that build on the core foundational skills of ICT literacy (define, access, manage, and use information). The learner selects and applies appropriate ICT tools to synthesize, integrate, and assimilate information, to evaluate evidence and infer conclusions, to create and reflect on information processes and products, and to communicate results in a persuasive, ethical, and legal manner. These abilities are demonstrated at a skill level necessary to succeed in 3rd year postsecondary studies and/or the workplace.

Define: Articulate a need for information that defines a hypothesis or problem in operational terms.

Access: Develop and apply a systematic strategy for ethically and legally finding, retrieving, and sorting information from a variety of relevant sources, representing a wide spectrum of perspectives, acknowledging sources appropriately.

Evaluate: Judge veracity, bias, primacy, persuasiveness, and completeness of information and information sources for a specific purpose.

Manage: Develop and apply a comprehensive system to classify and prioritize information in order to identify and clarify interrelationships.

Integrate: Synthesize information from a variety of sources and perspectives, compare and contrast arguments, identify trends and patterns, and infer conclusions.

Create: Generate information new to the learner through critical review and revision of assimilated information. Develop supported arguments and warranted conclusions to address the task at hand.

Communicate: Communicate information persuasively to meet needs of various audiences through the use of an appropriate medium.

(Source: www.ets.org)
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Post Secondary Standards, Performance Indicators, and Outcomes

(American Library Association – ACRL Standards for Information Literacy)
Source: [http://www.ala.org/ala/acrl/acrlstandards/informationliteracycompetency.cfm#asses](http://www.ala.org/ala/acrl/acrlstandards/informationliteracycompetency.cfm#asses)

**Standard One**
The information literate student determines the nature and extent of the information needed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. The information literate student defines and articulates the need for information.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes: Include</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Confers with instructors and participates in class discussions, peer workgroups, and electronic discussions to identify a research topic, or other information need.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Develops a thesis statement and formulates questions based on the information need.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Explores general information sources to increase familiarity with the topic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Defines or modifies the information need to achieve a manageable focus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Identifies key concepts and terms that describe the information need.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Recognizes that existing information can be combined with original thoughts, experimentation, and/or analysis to produce new information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **2. The information literate student identifies a variety of types and formats of potential sources for information.** |
| Outcomes: Include       |
| a. Knows how information is formally and informally produced, organized, and disseminated. |
| b. Recognizes that knowledge can be organized into disciplines that influence the way information is accessed. |
| c. Identifies the value and differences of potential resources in a variety of formats (e.g., multimedia, database, website, data set, audio/visual, and book). |
| d. Identifies the purpose and audience of potential resources (e.g., popular vs. scholarly, current vs. historical). |
| e. Differentiates between primary and secondary sources, recognizing how their use and importance vary with each discipline. |
| f. Realizes that information may need to be constructed with raw data from primary sources. |

| **3. The information literate student considers the costs and benefits of acquiring the needed information.** |
| Outcomes: Include       |
| a. Determines the availability of needed information and makes decisions on broadening the information seeking process beyond local resources (e.g., interlibrary loan, using resources at other locations; obtaining images, videos, text or sound). |
| b. Considers the feasibility of acquiring a new language or skill (e.g., foreign or discipline-based) in order to gather needed information and to understand its context. |
| c. Defines a realistic overall plan and timeline to acquire the needed information. |

| **4. The information literate student reevaluates the nature and extent of the information need.** |
| Outcomes: Include       |
| a. Reviews the initial information need to clarify, revise, or refine the question. |
| b. Describes criteria used to make information decisions and choices. |
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Standard Two
The information literate student accesses needed information effectively and efficiently

### Performance Indicators

1. The information literate student selects the most appropriate investigative methods or information retrieval systems for accessing the needed information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes Include</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Identifies appropriate investigative methods (e.g., laboratory experiment, simulation, network).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Investigates benefits and applicability of various investigative methods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Investigates the scope, content, and organization of information retrieval systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>Selects efficient and effective approaches for accessing the information needed from the investigative method or information retrieval system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The information literate student constructs and implements effectively designed search strategies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes Include</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Develops a research plan appropriate to the investigative method.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Identifies keywords, synonyms, and related terms for the information needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Selects controlled vocabulary specific to the discipline or information retrieval source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>Constructs a search strategy using appropriate commands for the information retrieval system selected (e.g., Boolean operators, truncation, and proximity for search engines, internal organizers such as indexes for books).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Implements the search strategy in various information retrieval systems using different user interfaces and search engines, with different command languages, protocols, and search parameters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f.</td>
<td>Implements the search using investigative protocols appropriate to the discipline.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. The information literate student retrieves information online or in person using a variety of methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes Include</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Uses various search systems to retrieve information in a variety of formats.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Uses various classification schemes and other systems (e.g., call number systems or indexes) to locate information resources within the library or to identify specific sites for physical exploration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Uses specialized online or in person services available at the institution to retrieve information needed (e.g., interlibrary loan, document delivery, professional associations, institutional research offices, community resources, experts and practitioners).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Uses surveys, letters, interviews, and other forms of inquiry to retrieve primary information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. The information literate student refines the search strategy if necessary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes Include</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Assesses the quantity, quality, and relevance of the search results to determine whether alternative information retrieval systems or investigative methods should be utilized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Identifies gaps in the information retrieved and determines if the search strategy should be revised.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Repeats the search using the revised strategy as necessary.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. The information literate student extracts, records, and manages the information and its sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes Include</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Selects among various technologies the most appropriate one for the task of extracting the needed information (e.g., copy-paste software functions, photocopier, scanner, audio-visual equipment, or exploratory instruments).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Creates a system for organizing the information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Differentiates between the types of sources cited and understands the elements and correct syntax of a citation for a wide range of resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>Records all pertinent citation information for future reference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Uses various technologies to manage the information selected and organized.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Standard Three
The information literate student evaluates information and its sources critically and incorporates selected information into his or her knowledge base and value system.

### Performance Indicators

1. **The information literate student summarizes the main ideas to be extracted from the information gathered.**
   - **Outcomes Include**
     - a. Reads the text and selects main ideas
     - b. Retains textual concepts in his/her own words and selects data accurately
     - c. Identifies verbatim material that can be used appropriately quoted

2. **The information literate student articulates and applies initial criteria for evaluating both the information and its sources.**
   - **Outcomes Include**
     - a. Examines and compares information from various sources in order to evaluate reliability, validity, accuracy, authority, timeliness, and point of view or bias
     - b. Analyzes the structure and logic of supporting arguments or methods
     - c. Recognizes prejudice, deception, or manipulation
     - d. Recognizes the cultural, physical, or other context within which the information was created and understands the impact of context on interpreting the information

3. **The information literate student synthesizes main ideas to construct new concepts.**
   - **Outcomes Include**
     - a. Recognizes interrelationships among concepts and combines them into potentially useful primary statements with supporting evidence
     - b. Extends initial synthesis, when possible, at a higher level of abstraction to construct new hypotheses that may require additional information
     - c. Utilizes computer and other technologies (e.g., spreadsheets, databases, multimedia, and audio or visual equipment) for studying the interaction of ideas and other phenomena

4. **The information literate student compares new knowledge with prior knowledge to determine the value added, contradictions, or other unique characteristics of the information.**
   - **Outcomes Include**
     - a. Determines whether information satisfies the research or other information need
     - b. Uses consciously selected criteria to determine whether the information contradicts or verifies information used from other sources
     - c. Draws conclusions based upon information gathered
     - d. Tests theories with discipline-appropriate techniques (e.g., simulators, experiments)
     - e. Determines probable accuracy by questioning the source of the data, the limitations of the information gathering tools or strategies, and the reasonableness of the conclusions
     - f. Integrates new information with previous information or knowledge
     - g. Selects information that provides evidence for the topic

5. **The information literate student determines whether the new knowledge has an impact on the individual's value system and takes steps to reconcile differences.**
   - **Outcomes Include**
     - a. Investigates differing viewpoints encountered in the literature
     - b. Determines whether to incorporate or reject viewpoints encountered

6. **The information literate student validates understanding and interpretation of the information through discourse with other individuals, subject-area experts, and/or practitioners.**
   - **Outcomes Include**
     - a. Participates in classroom and other discussions
     - b. Participates in class-sponsored electronic communication forums designed to encourage discourse on the topic (e.g., email, bulletin boards, chat rooms)
     - c. Seeks expert opinion through a variety of mechanisms (e.g., interviews, email, listservs)

7. **The information literate student determines whether the initial query should be revised.**
   - **Outcomes Include**
     - a. Determines if original information need has been satisfied or if additional information is needed
     - b. Reviews search strategy and incorporates additional concepts as necessary
     - c. Reviews information retrieval sources used and expands to include others as needed
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**Standard Four**
The information literate student, individually or as a member of a group, uses information effectively to accomplish a specific purpose.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The information literate student applies new and prior information to the planning and creation of a particular product or performance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes Include</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Organizes the content in a manner that supports the purposes and format of the product or performance (e.g., outlines, drafts, storyboards)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Articulates knowledge and skills transferred from prior experiences to planning and creating the product or performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Integrates the new and prior information, including quotations and paraphrasing, in a manner that supports the purposes of the product or performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Manipulates digital text, images, and data, as needed, transferring them from their original locations and formats to a new context</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The information literate student revises the development process for the product or performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes Include</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Maintains a journal or log of activities related to the information seeking, evaluating, and communicating process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Reflects on past successes, failures, and alternative strategies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. The information literate student communicates the product or performance effectively to others.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes Include</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Chooses a communication medium and format that best support the purposes of the product or performance and the intended audience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Uses a range of information technology applications in creating the product or performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Incorporates principles of design and communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Communicates clearly and with a style that supports the purposes of the intended audience</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Standard Five**
The information literate student understands many of the economic, legal, and social issues surrounding the use of information and accesses and uses information ethically and legally.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The information literate student understands many of the ethical, legal and socio-economic issues surrounding information and information technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes Include</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Identifies and discusses issues related to privacy and security in both the print and electronic environments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Identifies and discusses issues related to free vs. fee-based access to information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Identifies and discusses issues related to censorship and freedom of speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Demonstrates an understanding of intellectual property, copyright, and the use of copyrighted materials</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The information literate student follows laws, regulations, institutional policies, and etiquette related to the access and use of information resources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes Include</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Participates in electronic discussions following accepted practices (e.g., &quot;Netiquette&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Uses approved passwords and other forms of ID for access to information resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Complies with institutional policies on access to information resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Preserves the integrity of information resources, equipment, systems and facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.Legally obtains, stores, and disseminates text, data, images, or sounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Demonstrates an understanding of what constitutes plagiarism and does not represent work attributable to others as his/her own</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Demonstrates an understanding of institutional policies related to human subjects research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. The information literate student acknowledges the use of information sources in communicating the product or performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes Include</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Selects an appropriate documentation style and uses it consistently to cite sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Posts permission granted notices, as needed, for copyrighted material</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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International Computer Driving License (ICDL) Modules

ECDL / ICDL recognition in the world
Globally 7 Million Certifications Issued or in Progress
Updated December 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Argentina</th>
<th>Egypt</th>
<th>Luxemburg</th>
<th>Sri Lanka</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>St. Lucia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia and Herzegovina (BiH)</td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Oman</td>
<td>United Arab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Emirates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>Serbia &amp;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Skills and Performance

Module 1 - Concepts of Information Technology (IT)
Syllabus for Module 1, Concepts of Information Technology (IT), provides the basis for the theory-based test in this module domain.

Synopsis: Concepts of Information Technology (IT), requires the candidate to have an understanding of some of the main concepts of IT at a general level. The candidate is required to understand the make-up of a personal computer in terms of hardware and software and to understand some of the concepts of Information Technology (IT) such as data storage and memory. The candidate shall also understand how information networks are used within computing and be aware of the uses of computer-based software applications in everyday life. The candidate shall appreciate health and safety issues as well as some environmental factors involved in using computers. The candidate shall be aware of some of the important security and legal issues associated with using computers.

Module 2 - Using the Computer and Managing Files
Module 2, Using the Computer and Managing Files, provides the basis for the practice-based test in this module domain.

Synopsis: Using the Computer and Managing Files, requires the candidate to demonstrate knowledge and competence in using the common functions of a personal computer and its operating system. The candidate shall be able to adjust main settings, use the built-in help features and deal with a non-responding application. He or she shall be able to operate effectively within the desktop environment and work with desktop icons and windows. The candidate shall be able to manage and organize files and directories/folders, and know how to duplicate, move and delete files and directories/folders, and compress and extract files. The candidate shall also understand what a computer virus is and be able to use virus-scanning software. The candidate shall demonstrate the ability to use simple editing tools and print management facilities available within the operating system.

Module 3 - Word Processing
Module 3, Word Processing, provides the basis for the practice-based test in this module domain.
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Synopsis: Word Processing requires the candidate to demonstrate the ability to use a word processing application on a computer. The candidate shall be able to accomplish everyday tasks associated with creating, formatting and using small sized word processing documents ready for distribution. He or she shall also be able to duplicate and move text within and between documents. The candidate shall demonstrate competence in using some of the features associated with word processing applications such as creating standard tables, using pictures and images within a document, and using mail merge tools.

Module 4 – Spreadsheets
Syllabus for Module 4, Spreadsheets, provides the basis for the practice-based test in this module domain.

Synopsis: Spreadsheets, requires the candidate to understand the concept of spreadsheets and to demonstrate the ability to use a spreadsheet application on a computer. The candidate shall understand and be able to accomplish tasks associated with developing, formatting, modifying and using a spreadsheet of limited scope ready for distribution. He or she shall also be able to generate and apply standard mathematical and logical formulas using standard formulas and functions. The candidate shall demonstrate competence in creating and formatting graphs/charts.

Module 5 – Database
Syllabus for Module 5, Database, is the basis for the theory and practice-based test in this module domain.

Synopsis: Database, requires the candidate to understand some of the main concepts of databases and demonstrate the ability to use a database on a computer. The candidate shall be able to create and modify tables, queries, forms and reports, and prepare outputs ready for distribution. The candidate shall be able to relate tables and to retrieve and manipulate information from a database by using query and sort tools available in the package.

Module 6 – Presentation
Syllabus for Module 6, Presentation, is the basis for the practice-based test in this module domain.

Synopsis: Presentation requires the candidate to demonstrate competence in using presentation tools on a computer. The candidate shall be able to accomplish tasks such as creating, formatting, modifying and preparing presentations using different slide layouts for display and printed distribution. He or she shall also be able to duplicate and move text, pictures, images and charts within the presentation and between presentations. The candidate shall demonstrate the ability to accomplish common operations with images, charts and drawn objects and to use various slide show effects.

Module 7 – Information and Communication
Syllabus for Module 7, Information and Communication, provides the basis for the theory and practice-based test in this module domain.

Synopsis: Information and Communication, is divided into two sections. The first section, Information, requires the candidate to understand some of the concepts and terms associated with using the Internet, and to appreciate some of the security considerations. The candidate shall also be able to accomplish common Web search tasks using a Web browsing application and available search engine tools. He or she shall be able to bookmark Web sites, and to print Web pages and search outputs. The candidate shall be able to navigate within and complete Web-based forms. In the second section, Communication, the candidate is required to understand some of the concepts of electronic mail (e-mail), together with having an appreciation of some of the security considerations associated with using e-mail. The candidate shall also demonstrate the ability to use e-mail software to send and receive messages, and to attach files to mail messages. The candidate shall be able to organize and manage message folders/directories within e-mail software.

(Source: http://www2.sdlibus.com/sdlibus-bms-webelient/homepage/syllabus/syllabus.html)
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Certiport – ICT Digital Literacy Assessment

IC3 2005 • Computing Fundamentals

This exam covers the following areas:

Computer Hardware:
- Identify types of computers, how they process information and how individual computers interact with other computing systems and devices
- Identify the function of computer hardware components
- Identify the factors that go into an individual or organizational decision on how to purchase computer equipment
- Identify how to maintain computer equipment and solve common problems relating to computer hardware

Computer Software:
- Identify how software and hardware work together to perform computing tasks and how software is developed and upgraded
- Identify different types of software, general concepts relating to software categories, and the tasks to which each type of software is most suited or not suited
- Identify fundamental concepts relating to database applications
- Using an Operating System:
- Identify what an operating system is and how it works, and solve common problems related to operating systems
- Manipulate and control the Windows desktop, files and disks
- Identify how to change system settings, install and remove software

IC3 2005 • Key Applications

This exam covers the following areas:

- Common Program Functions:
- Be able to start and exit a Windows application and utilize sources of online help
- Identify common on-screen elements of Windows applications, change application settings and manage files within an application
- Perform common editing and formatting functions
- Perform common printing functions
- Word Processing Functions:
- Be able to format text and documents including the ability to use automatic formatting tools
- Be able to insert, edit and format tables in a document
- Spreadsheet Functions:
- Be able to modify worksheet data and structure and format data in a worksheet
- Be able to sort data, manipulate data using formulas and functions and add and modify charts in a worksheet
- Presentation Software:
- Be able to create and format simple presentations
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IC3 2005 - Living Online

This exam covers the following areas:

- Networks and the Internet:
  - Identify network fundamentals and the benefits and risks of network computing
  - Identify the relationship between computer networks, other communications networks (like the telephone network) and the Internet
- Electronic Mail:
  - Identify how electronic mail works
  - Identify how to use an electronic mail application
  - Identify the appropriate use of e-mail and e-mail related "netiquette"
- Using the Internet:
  - Identify different types of information sources on the Internet
  - Be able to use a Web browsing application
  - Be able to search the Internet for information
  - The Impact of Computing and the Internet on Society:
    - Identify how computers are used in different areas of work, school, and home
    - Identify the risks of using computer hardware and software
    - Identify how to use the Internet safely, legally, and responsibly

Source: www.certiport.com
ISTE’s National Educational Technology Standards for Students

1. Creativity and Innovation
   Students demonstrate creative thinking, construct knowledge, and develop innovative products and processes using technology. Students:
   a. apply existing knowledge to generate new ideas, products, or processes.
   b. create original works as a means of personal or group expression.
   c. use models and simulations to explore complex systems and issues.
   d. identify trends and forecast possibilities.

2. Communication and Collaboration
   Students use digital media and environments to communicate and work collaboratively, including at a distance, to support individual learning and contribute to the learning of others. Students:
   a. interact, collaborate, and publish with peers, experts, or others employing a variety of digital environments and media.
   b. communicate information and ideas effectively to multiple audiences using a variety of media and formats.
   c. develop cultural understanding and global awareness by engaging with learners of other cultures.
   d. contribute to project teams to produce original works or solve problems.

3. Research and Information Fluency
   Students apply digital tools to gather, evaluate, and use information. Students:
   a. plan strategies to guide inquiry.
   b. locate, organize, analyze, evaluate, synthesize, and ethically use information from a variety of sources and media.
   c. evaluate and select information sources and digital tools based on the appropriateness to specific tasks.
   d. process data and report results.

4. Critical Thinking, Problem-Solving & Decision-Making
   Students use critical thinking skills to plan and conduct research, manage projects, solve problems, and make informed decisions using appropriate digital tools and resources. Students:
   a. identify and define authentic problems and significant questions for investigation.
   b. plan and manage activities to develop a solution or complete a project.
   c. collect and analyze data to identify solutions and make informed decisions.
   d. use multiple processes and diverse perspectives to explore alternative solutions.

5. Digital Citizenship
   Students understand human, cultural, and societal issues related to technology and practice legal and ethical behavior. Students:
   a. advocate and practice safe, legal, and responsible use of information and technology.
   b. exhibit a positive attitude toward using technology that supports collaboration, learning, and productivity.
   c. demonstrate personal responsibility for lifelong learning.
   d. exhibit leadership for digital citizenship.

6. Technology Operations and Concepts
   Students demonstrate a sound understanding of technology concepts, systems, and operations. Students:
   a. understand and use technology systems.
   b. select and use applications effectively and productively.
   c. troubleshoot systems and applications.
   d. transfer current knowledge to learning of new technologies.
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NET+S Standards Performance Indicators for Students

A major component of the NET+S Project is the development of a general set of profiles describing technology-literate students at key developmental points in their pre-college education. These profiles reflect the underlying assumption that all students should have the opportunity to develop technology skills that support learning, personal productivity, decision making, and daily life. These profiles and associated standards provide a framework for preparing students to be lifelong learners who make informed decisions about the role of technology in their lives.

The Profiles for Technology Literate Students provide performance indicators describing the technology competence students should exhibit upon completion of the following grade ranges:

- Grades Pre K - 2
- Grades 3 - 5
- Grades 6 - 8
- Grades 9 - 12

These profiles are indicators of achievement at certain stages in PreK-12 education. They assume that technology skills are developed by coordinated activities that support learning throughout a student’s education. These skills are to be introduced, reinforced, and finally mastered, and thus, integrated into an individual’s personal learning and social framework. They represent essential, realistic, and attainable goals for lifelong learning and a productive citizenry. The standards and performance indicators are based on input and feedback from educational technology experts as well as parents, teachers, and curriculum experts. In addition, they reflect information collected from professional literature and local, state, and national documents.

ISTE NETS for Student Profiles

Profile for Technology Literate Students Grades Pre K-2 (Ages 4-8)

The following experiences with technology and digital resources illustrate examples of learning activities in which students might be expected to engage during preK-Grade 2 (ages 4-8 years):

1. Illustrate and communicate original ideas and stories using digital tools and media-rich resources. (1, 2)
2. Identify, research, and collect data on an environmental issue using digital resources and propose a developmentally appropriate solution. (1, 3, 4)
3. Engage in learning activities with learners from multiple cultures through email and other electronic means. (2, 6)
4. In a collaborative work group, use a variety of technologies to produce a digital presentation or product in a curriculum area. (1, 2, 6)
5. Find and evaluate information related to a current or historical person or event using digital resources. (3)
6. Use simulations and graphical organizers to explore and depict patterns of growth such as the life cycles of plants and animals. (1, 3, 4)
7. Demonstrate safe and cooperative use of technology. (5)
8. Independently apply digital tools and resources to address a variety of tasks and problems. (6, 4)
9. Communicate about technology using developmentally appropriate and accurate terminology. (6)
10. Demonstrate the ability to navigate in virtual environments such as electronic books, simulation software, and websites. (6)
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Profile for Technology Literate Students Grades 3-5 (Ages 8-11)
The following experiences with technology and digital resources illustrate examples of learning activities in which students might be expected to engage during Grades 3-5 (Ages 8-11):

1. Produce a media rich digital story about a significant local event based on first person interviews. (1, 2, 3, 4)
2. Use digital imaging technology to modify or create works of art for use in a digital presentation. (1, 2, 6)
3. Recognize bias in digital resources while researching an environmental issue with guidance from the teacher. (3, 4)
4. Select and apply digital tools to collect, organize, and analyze data to evaluate theories or test hypotheses. (3, 4, 6)
5. Identify and investigate a global issue to research and generate possible solutions using digital tool and resources. (3, 4)
6. Conduct science experiments using digital instruments and measurement devices. (4, 6)
7. Conceptualize, guide, and manage individual or group learning projects using digital planning tools with teacher support (4, 6)
8. Practice injury prevention by applying a variety of ergonomic strategies when using technology. (5)
9. Debate the effect of existing and emerging technologies on individuals, society, and the global community. (6, 5)
10. Apply previous knowledge of digital technology operations to analyze and solve current hardware and software problems. (4, 6)

Profile for Technology Literate Students Grades 6-8 (Ages 11-14)
The following experiences with technology and digital resources illustrate examples of learning activities in which students might be expected to engage during Grades 6-8 (Ages 11-14):

1. Describe and illustrate a content-related concept or process using a model, simulation, or concept mapping software. (1, 2)
2. Create original animations or videos documenting school, community or local events. (1, 2, 6)
3. Gather data, examine patterns, and apply information for decision-making using digital tools and resources. (1, 4)
4. Participate in a cooperative learning project in an online learning community. (2)
5. Evaluate digital resources to determine the credibility of the author and publisher and the timeliness and accuracy of content. (3)
6. Employ data collection devices such as probes, handheld devices, geographic mapping systems to gather, view, analyze and report results for content-related problems. (3, 4, 6)
7. Select and use appropriate tools and digital resources to accomplish a variety of tasks and solve problems. (3, 4, 6)
8. Using collaborative electronic authoring tools to explore common curriculum content from multicultural perspectives with other learners. (2, 3, 4, 5)
9. Integrate a variety of file types to create and illustrate a document or presentation. (6, 1)
10. Independently develop and apply strategies for identifying and solving routine hardware and software problems. (4, 6)
CONSENSUS DOCUMENT

Profile for Technology Literate Students Grades 9-12 (Ages 14-18)

The following experiences with technology and digital resources illustrate examples of learning activities in which students might be expected to engage during Grades 9-12 (Ages 14-18):

1. Design, develop and test a digital learning game to demonstrate knowledge and skills related to curriculum content. (1, 4)

2. Create and publish an online art gallery with examples and commentary that demonstrate an understanding of different historical periods, cultures and countries. (1, 2)

3. Select digital tools or resources to use for a real-world task and justify the selection based on their efficiency and effectiveness. (3, 6)

4. Employ curriculum-specific simulations to practice critical thinking processes. (4, 1)

5. Identify a complex global issue to research, develop a systematic plan of investigation, and present innovative, sustainable solutions. (1, 2, 3, 4)

6. Analyze the capabilities and limitations of current and emerging technology resources and assess their potential to address personal, social, lifelong learning, and career needs. (4, 5, 6)

7. Design a web site that meets accessibility requirements. (5)

8. Model legal and ethical behaviors when using information and technology by properly selecting, acquiring, and citing resources. (3, 5)

9. Create media-rich presentations for other students on the appropriate and ethical use of digital tools and resources. (5, 1)

10. Configure and troubleshoot hardware, software and network systems to optimize their use for learning and productivity. (4, 6)

Copyright © 2007, ISTE ® (INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR TECHNOLOGY IN EDUCATION) www.iste.org. All rights reserved. This material may be reproduced for nonprofit educational purposes only -- not to be distributed beyond intended educational work group. Please use the above accreditation. For all other permission requests, contact copyright owner at permissions@iste.org.
ISTE Educational Technology Standards and Performance Indicators for All Teachers

Building on the NET's for Students, the ISTE NET's for Teachers (NET's•T), which focus on preservice teacher education, define the fundamental concepts, knowledge, skills, and attitudes for applying technology in educational settings. All candidates seeking certification or endorsements in teacher preparation should meet these educational technology standards. It is the responsibility of faculty across the university and at cooperating schools to provide opportunities for teacher candidates to meet these standards.

The six standards areas with performance indicators listed below are designed to be general enough to be customized to fit state, university, or district guidelines and yet specific enough to define the scope of the topic. Performance indicators for each standard provide specific outcomes to be measured when developing a set of assessment tools. The standards and the performance indicators also provide guidelines for teachers currently in the classroom.

TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS AND CONCEPTS

*Teachers demonstrate a sound understanding of technology operations and concepts. Teachers:

- Demonstrate introductory knowledge, skills, and understanding of concepts related to technology (as described in the ISTE National Education Technology Standards for Students)
- Demonstrate continual growth in technology knowledge and skills to stay abreast of current and emerging technologies.

PLANNING AND DESIGNING LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS AND EXPERIENCES

*Teachers plan and design effective learning environments and experiences supported by technology. Teachers:

- Design developmentally appropriate learning opportunities that apply technology-enhanced instructional strategies to support the diverse needs of learners.
- Apply current research on teaching and learning with technology when planning learning environments and experiences.
- Identify and locate technology resources and evaluate them for accuracy and suitability.
- Plan for the management of technology resources within the context of learning activities.
- Plan strategies to manage student learning in a technology-enhanced environment.

TEACHING, LEARNING, AND THE CURRICULUM

*Teachers implement curriculum plans that include methods and strategies for applying technology to maximize student learning. Teachers:
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- Facilitate technology-enhanced experiences that address content standards and student technology standards.
- Use technology to support learner-centered strategies that address the diverse needs of students.
- Apply technology to develop students' higher order skills and creativity.
- Manage student learning activities in a technology-enhanced environment.

ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION

Teachers apply technology to facilitate a variety of effective assessment and evaluation strategies. Teachers:

- Apply technology in assessing student learning of subject matter using a variety of assessment techniques.
- Use technology resources to collect and analyze data, interpret results, and communicate findings to improve instructional practice and maximize student learning.
- Apply multiple methods of evaluation to determine students’ appropriate use of technology resources for learning, communication, and productivity.

PRODUCTIVITY AND PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE

Teachers use technology to enhance their productivity and professional practice. Teachers:

- Use technology resources to engage in ongoing professional development and lifelong learning.
- Continually evaluate and reflect on professional practice to make informed decisions regarding the use of technology in support of student learning.
- Apply technology to increase productivity.
- Use technology to communicate and collaborate with peers, parents, and the larger community in order to nurture student learning.

SOCIAL, ETHICAL, LEGAL, AND HUMAN ISSUES

Teachers understand the social, ethical, legal, and human issues surrounding the use of technology in PK-12 schools and apply those principles in practice. Teachers:

- Model and teach legal and ethical practice related to technology use.
- Apply technology resources to enable and empower learners with diverse backgrounds, characteristics, and abilities.
- Identify and use technology resources that affirm diversity.
- Promote safe and healthy use of technology resources.
- Facilitate equitable access to technology resources for all students.
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Educational Technology Standards and Performance Indicators for Administrators

I. LEADERSHIP AND VISION.

Educational leaders inspire a shared vision for comprehensive integration of technology and foster an environment and culture conducive to the realization of that vision. Educational leaders:

A. facilitate the shared development by all stakeholders of a vision for technology use and widely communicate that vision.
B. maintain an inclusive and cohesive process to develop, implement, and monitor a dynamic, long-range, and systemic technology plan to achieve the vision.
C. foster and nurture a culture of responsible risk-taking and advocate policies promoting continuous innovation with technology.
D. use data in making leadership decisions.
E. advocate for research-based effective practices in use of technology.
F. advocate on the state and national levels for policies, programs, and funding opportunities that support implementation of the district technology plan.

II. LEARNING AND TEACHING.

Educational leaders ensure that curricular design, instructional strategies, and learning environments integrate appropriate technologies to maximize learning and teaching. Educational leaders:

A. identify, use, evaluate, and promote appropriate technologies to enhance and support instruction and standards-based curriculum leading to high levels of student achievement.
B. facilitate and support collaborative technology-enriched learning environments conducive to innovation for improved learning.
C. provide for learner-centered environments that use technology to meet the individual and diverse needs of learners.
D. facilitate the use of technologies to support and enhance instructional methods that develop higher-level thinking, decision-making, and problem-solving skills.
E. provide for and ensure that faculty and staff take advantage of quality professional learning opportunities for improved learning and teaching with technology.

III. PRODUCTIVITY AND PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE.

Educational leaders apply technology to enhance their professional practice and to increase their own productivity and that of others. Educational leaders:

A. model the routine, intentional, and effective use of technology.
B. employ technology for communication and collaboration among colleagues, staff, parents, students, and the larger community.
C. create and participate in learning communities that stimulate, nurture, and support faculty and staff in using technology for improved productivity.
D. engage in sustained, job-related professional learning using technology resources.
E. maintain awareness of emerging technologies and their potential uses in education.
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F. use technology to advance organizational improvement.

IV. SUPPORT, MANAGEMENT, AND OPERATIONS.

Educational leaders ensure the integration of technology to support productive systems for learning and administration. Educational leaders:

A. develop, implement, and monitor policies and guidelines to ensure compatibility of technologies.
B. implement and use integrated technology-based management and operations systems.
C. allocate financial and human resources to ensure complete and sustained implementation of the technology plan.
D. integrate strategic plans, technology plans, and other improvement plans and policies to align efforts and leverage resources.
E. implement procedures to drive continuous improvement of technology systems and to support technology replacement cycles.

V. ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION.

Educational leaders use technology to plan and implement comprehensive systems of effective assessment and evaluation. Educational leaders:

A. use multiple methods to assess and evaluate appropriate uses of technology resources for learning, communication, and productivity.
B. use technology to collect and analyze data, interpret results, and communicate findings to improve instructional practice and student learning.
C. assess staff knowledge, skills, and performance in using technology and use results to facilitate quality professional development and to inform personnel decisions.
D. use technology to assess, evaluate, and manage administrative and operational systems.

VI. SOCIAL, LEGAL, AND ETHICAL ISSUES.

Educational leaders understand the social, legal, and ethical issues related to technology and model responsible decision-making related to these issues. Educational leaders:

A. ensure equity of access to technology resources that enable and empower all learners and educators.
B. identify, communicate, model, and enforce social, legal, and ethical practices to promote responsible use of technology.
C. promote and enforce privacy, security, and online safety related to the use of technology.
D. promote and enforce environmentally safe and healthy practices in the use of technology.
E. participate in the development of policies that clearly enforce copyright law and assign ownership of intellectual property developed with district resources.
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Partnership for 21st Century Skills

Assessment of 21st century skills should:

- Support a balance of assessments, including high-quality standardized testing along with effective classroom formative and summative assessments
- Emphasize useful feedback on student performance that is embedded into everyday learning
- Require a balance of technology-enhanced, formative and summative assessments to measure student mastery of 21st century skills
- Enable development of portfolios of student work that demonstrate mastery of 21st century skills to educators and prospective employers
- Enable a balanced portfolio of measures to assess the educational system’s effectiveness at reaching high levels of student competency in 21st century skills.

Meeting the demands of today’s world requires a shift in assessment strategies to measure the skills now prized in a complex global environment. The Partnership for 21st Century Skills believes that such a shift is vital to the widespread adoption of 21st century skills in our schools. We must move from primarily measuring discrete knowledge to measuring students’ ability to think critically, examine problems, gather information, and make informed, reasoned decisions while using technology. In addition to posing real world challenges, such assessments should accept a range of solutions to a task. For example, one possible assessment of 21st century skills would focus more on a student’s operational skills, such as her expertise in using multiple sources appropriately and efficiently, rather than on whether or not a correct response was submitted.


How can states create and implement assessments to promote 21st century skills in their classrooms?

Implementing an assessment of 21st century skills strategy is a challenging process that will require effort from educators at all levels of a state. Both summative and formative assessments need to be aimed at core subject knowledge, as well as learning and thinking skills, 21st century content, ICT literacy, and life skills. This will require a large commitment from your state as well as the recognition that the implementation process will be a gradual one and will require multiple cycles of creation, implementation, and evaluation strategies. With that in mind, it is important to start with the following actions:
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1) Create necessary standards.

Guidelines and standards need to be drawn by the state for teachers and educators to begin the process of creating summative and formative assessments. Assessments should be made to match the units and lessons outlined in the states’ reformed standards. The standards could provide examples of assessments as well as indicate how and when to use them. For further assistance with this, see the Standards section on the Route 21 website.

2) Develop, implement, evaluate and improve assessments.

A plan must be created to implement the created assessments into districts, schools, and classrooms and to evaluate their effectiveness in adjusting teacher strategies to target students’ 21st century skills. In addition, the assessments must be evaluated, in terms of their adherence to state standards, their usefulness in improving teaching and learning, and their effective use in the classroom. Any or all of these aspects will probably require constant adjustment and improvement across several years before truly effective strategies for assessment can be realized. Structured research, consultations with assessment experts, and regular multi-level, multidisciplinary discussions amongst stakeholders will provide a strong preliminary step towards bringing 21st century skills into the education system.

3) Align formative and summative assessments to curriculum and instruction.

In many ways, assessment drives what is taught, as schools focus resources and time on the content and skills that are tested. Helping teachers understand how to integrate 21st century skills within their classroom practice and how to adjust teaching strategies accordingly is a vital step to reforming statewide assessment strategies.

4) Develop a professional development strategy.

A professional development initiative that will help teachers incorporate skills necessary for using assessments of 21st century skills, especially of the formative variety, is another important step in the process. Utilizing this assessment strategy will likely require the development of several new skills, including assessment creation, implementation, analysis, and teaching strategy adjustment. For further assistance with this, see the Professional Development section on the Route 21 website.

http://www.21stcenturyskills.org
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Digital Literacy Informational Resources and Assessment Tools

These ICT Literacy standards are based on standards and policy recommendations related to educational technology, which have begun to converge in the past few years. Those standards and their originating organizations are listed here:

- **ICT Literacy Portal** has many resources on the topic and an active community of online speakers, forums, and discussions.
- **International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE)** has a wealth of resources on their website. They spearheaded the creation of the National Educational Technology Standards for Students (NETS-S).
- **NH Society for Technology in Education (NHSTE)** is our state’s affiliate organization of ISTE.
- **21st Century Skills Website** has a wealth of information about ICT skills. One of their initiatives is the creation of literacy maps in science, geography, math, and English showing concrete examples of how ICT literacy can be integrated into core subjects.
- **Second Information Technology in Education Study: Module 2** (SITES-M2) is an international study of innovative pedagogical practices that use information and communication technology (ICT).

American Association of School Librarians (part of ALA) has several resources related to the Information Power standards.

- New Hampshire Educational Media Association (NHEMA) is our state’s association of school librarians.
- International Technology Education Association (ITEA) developed the Standards for Technological Literacy.

Here are additional resources for use in developing ICT Literacy Programs:

**NETS Online Performance Based Assessment** - This tool was developed jointly by the International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE) and Microsoft, to assess 8th graders’ competence in the National Educational Technology Standards for Students (NETS-S).

**TechYES** is a program created for technology literacy certification, which could be incorporated into a middle school ICT course to provide both course content and end-of-course assessment.

**International Computer Driving License (ICDL)** – Provides a credential program for students.

**Certipic IC3** – Provides another path to certifying your students in ICT literacy skills.

**IT Pathway Program** – Provides curriculum resources for Information Technology studies in middle through high school. Several NH educators developed this program as part of a Career Pathways grant. Two courses, “IT and Me” and “IT and Me Works”, are particularly useful for NH districts developing ICT Literacy Programs.

Vermont’s Educational Technology **Performance Tasks** – Provides an extensive set of possible tasks from which to build course activities tied to NETS.
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"Standards for the 21st Century Learner," by the American Association of School Libraries,
http://www.ala.org/ala/aasl/aaslproftools/learningstandards/standards.cfm

"Information Power: Building Partnerships for Learning" by American Association of School Librarians
and Association for Educational Communications and Technology.
http://www.ala.org/ala/aasl/aaslproftools/informationpower/informationpower.cfm
APPENDIX D

Characteristics of Programs of Information Literacy that Illustrate Best Practices

http://www.ala.org/ala/mgrps/divs/acrl/standards/characteristics.cfm

Category 1: Mission

A mission statement for an information literacy program:

- Includes a definition of information literacy;
- Is consistent with the “Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education” [http://www.ala.org/acrl/ilcomstan.html];
- Corresponds with the mission statements of the institution;
- Corresponds with the format of related institutional documents;
- Clearly reflects the contributions of and expected benefits to all institutional constituencies;
- Appears in appropriate institutional documents;
- Assumes the availability of and participation in relevant lifelong learning options for all—faculty, staff, and administration; and
- Is reviewed periodically and, if necessary, revised.

Category 2: Goals and Objectives

Goals and objectives for an information literacy program:

- Are consistent with the mission, goals, and objectives of programs, departments, and the institution;
- Establish measurable outcomes for evaluation for the program;
- Reflect sound pedagogical practice;
- Accommodate input from various constituencies;
- Articulate the integration of information literacy across the curriculum;
- Accommodate student growth in skills and understanding throughout the college years;
- Apply to all learners, regardless of delivery system or location;
- Reflect the desired outcomes of preparing students for their academic pursuits and for effective lifelong learning; and are evaluated and reviewed periodically.

Category 3: Planning

Planning for an information literacy program:

- Articulates its mission, goals, objectives, and pedagogical foundation;
- Anticipates and addresses current and future opportunities and challenges;
- Is tied to library and institutional information technology planning and budgeting cycles;
- Incorporates findings from environmental scans;
- Accommodates program, department, and institutional levels;
- Involves students, faculty, librarians, administrators, and other constituencies as appropriate to the institution;
- Establishes formal and informal mechanisms for communication and ongoing dialogue across the academic community;
• Establishes the means for implementation and adaptation;
• Addresses, with clear priorities, human, technological and financial resources, current and projected, including administrative and institutional support;
• Includes mechanisms for articulation with the curriculum;
• Includes a program for professional, faculty, and staff development; and
• Establishes a process for assessment at the outset, including periodic review of the plan to ensure flexibility.

Category 4: Administrative and Institutional Support
Administration within an institution:
• Identifies or assigns information literacy leadership and responsibilities;
• Plants information literacy in the institution’s mission, strategic plan, policies, and procedures;
• Provides funding to establish and ensure ongoing support for
  o Formal and informal teaching facilities and resources
  o Appropriate staffing levels
  o Professional development opportunities for librarians, faculty, staff, and administrators; and
• Recognizes and encourages collaboration among disciplinary faculty, librarians, and other program staff and among institutional units;
• Communicates support for the program;
• Rewards achievement and participation in the information literacy program within the institution’s system.

Category 5: Articulation with the Curriculum
Articulation with the curriculum for an information literacy program:
• Is formalized and widely disseminated;
• Emphasizes student-centered learning;
• Uses local governance structures to ensure institution-wide integration into academic or vocational programs;
• Identifies the scope (i.e., depth and complexity) of competencies to be acquired on a disciplinary level as well as at the course level;
• Sequences and integrates competencies throughout a student’s academic career, progressing in sophistication; and
• Specifies programs and courses charged with implementation.

Category 6: Collaboration
Collaboration among disciplinary faculty, librarians, and other program staff in an information literacy program:
• Centers around enhanced student learning and the development of lifelong learning skills;
• Engenders communication within the academic community to garner support for the program;
• Results in a fusion of information literacy concepts and disciplinary content;
• Identifies opportunities for achieving information literacy outcomes through course content and other learning experiences; and
Takes place at the planning stages, delivery, assessment of student learning, and evaluation and refinement of the program.

Category 7: Pedagogy
Pedagogy for an information literacy program:

- Supports diverse approaches to teaching;
- Incorporates appropriate information technology and other media resources;
- Includes active and collaborative activities;
- Encompasses critical thinking and reflection;
- Responds to multiple learning styles;
- Supports student-centered learning;
- Builds on students’ existing knowledge; and
- Links information literacy to ongoing coursework and real-life experiences appropriate to program and course level.

Category 8: Staffing
Staff for an information literacy program:

- Include librarians, disciplinary faculty, administrators, program coordinators, graphic designers, teaching/learning specialists, and others as needed;
- Serve as role models, exemplifying and advocating information literacy and lifelong learning;
- Are adequate in number and skills to support the program’s mission;
- Develop experience in instruction/teaching and assessment of student learning;
- Develop experience in curriculum development and expertise to develop, coordinate, implement, maintain, and evaluate information literacy programs;
- Employ a collaborative approach to working with others;
- Receive and actively engage in systematic and continual professional development and training;
- Receive regular evaluations about the quality of their contribution to the program.

Category 9: Outreach
Outreach activities for an information literacy program:

- Communicate a clear message defining and describing the program and its value to targeted audiences;
- Provide targeted marketing and publicity to stakeholders, support groups and media channels;
- Target a wide variety of groups;
- Use a variety of outreach channels and media, both formal and informal;
- Include participation in campus professional development training by offering or co-sponsoring workshops and programs that relate to information literacy for faculty and staff;
- Advance information literacy by sharing information, methods and plans with peers from other institutions; and
- Are the responsibility of all members of the institution, not simply the librarians.

Category 10: Assessment/Evaluation
Assessment/evaluation of information literacy includes program performance and student outcomes and:
For program evaluation:

- Establishes the process of ongoing planning/improvement of the program;
- Measures directly progress toward meeting the goals and objectives of the program;
- Integrates with course and curriculum assessment as well as institutional evaluations and regional/professional accreditation initiatives; and
- Assumes multiple methods and purposes for assessment/evaluation
  - Formative and summative
  - Short term and longitudinal;

For student outcomes:

- Acknowledges differences in learning and teaching styles by using a variety of appropriate outcome measures, such as portfolio assessment, oral defense, quizzes, essays, direct observation, anecdotal, peer and self review, and experience;
- Focuses on student performance, knowledge acquisition, and attitude appraisal;
- Assesses both process and product;
- Includes student, peer, and self-evaluation;

For all:

- Includes periodic review of assessment/evaluation methods.
APPENDIX E

California Model School Library Standards

More than 60 research studies throughout the nation, from Alaska to North Carolina to California have shown that students in schools with good school libraries learn more, get better grades, and score higher on standardized tests than their peers in schools without libraries.

Douglas Achterman’s 2008 doctoral dissertation on student achievement in California titled, Haves, halves and have-nots: School libraries and student achievement, found that the greater the number of library services offered, the higher students’ scores tended to be. “On the U.S. History test, the library program is a better predictor of scores than both school variables and community variables, including parent education, poverty, ethnicity, and percentage of English language learners.”

In their joint doctoral dissertation, Using Large-Scale Assessments to Evaluate the Effectiveness of School Library Programs in California, Stacy Sinclair-Tarr and William Tarr found statistically significant positive relationships between the presence of a school library and student achievement on both the English-language arts and mathematics California Standards Tests at the elementary and middle school levels.

The California Education Code (EC) reinforces the essential role of school libraries by requiring school districts to provide school library services for their students and teachers and by requiring the State Board of Education to adopt standards, rules and regulations for school libraries. The relevant EC sections are:

Section 18100. The governing board of each school district shall provide school library services for the pupils and teachers of the district by establishing and maintaining school libraries or by contractual arrangements with another public agency.

Section 18101. The State Board of Education shall adopt standards, rules and regulations for school library services.

School libraries have evolved from having a focus on print materials to providing a rich selection of resources, both print and digital; from students learning how to search a card catalog to learning strategies for searching a variety of digital resources and using Internet Web browsers; from basic literacy to information literacy (the ability to access, evaluate and use information effectively). However, the skills learned from print transcend their use in books alone. “Students who understand systems of text organization are better equipped to use the Internet as it is today. Most notably, they expect worthy resources to have order. This may drive them to probe complex web sites, which, for all their bells and whistles, are fundamentally arranged like reference books, with A-Z lists and topical divisions.”

These standards include:

• Model School Library Standards for Students that delineate what students should know and be able to do at each grade level or grade span, and;
• Model School Library Program Standards that describe the staffing, collections and resources, including technology, expected in an effective school library that will enable students to achieve the School Library Standards for Students.

The California Model School Library Standards serve as model standards providing guidance to school districts as they strive to improve their school library programs to positively affect student achievement.
Model School Library Standards for Students

The California Model School Library Standards for Students incorporates information literacy, the ability to utilize or search print, media, and digital technology to access, evaluate and use information, to enable students to function in a knowledge-based economy and society. The standards describe what students should know and be able to do at each grade level, kindergarten through grade six, or grade span, grades seven and eight and grades nine through twelve, as a result of having an effective school library program at their schools.

The standards are developed from four overarching concepts and listed at each grade level and grade span. Each student is expected to successfully achieve these standards by the end of each grade level or grade span. In addition, students are expected to have mastered the standards for previous grades and continue to use the skills and knowledge as they advance in school. The classroom teacher and teacher librarian should assess students to determine if they have the prerequisite knowledge, skills and understandings, and whether there is a need to review or re-teach standards from earlier grades.

Organization of the Standards:

1. Students Access Information
   Students access information by applying their knowledge of the organization of libraries, print materials, digital media, and other sources.
   1.1 Recognize the need for information
   1.2 Formulate appropriate questions
   1.3 Identify and locate a variety of resources using multiple search strategies.
   1.4 Retrieve information in a timely and safe manner

2. Students Evaluate Information
   Students evaluate and analyze information to determine appropriateness in addressing the scope of inquiry.
   2.1 Determine relevance of information
   2.2 Assess comprehensiveness, currency, credibility, authority, and accuracy of resources
   2.3 Consider the need for additional information

3. Students Use Information
   Students organize, synthesize, create and communicate information.
   3.1 Demonstrate the ethical, legal, and safe use of information in print, media, and digital resources
   3.2 Draw conclusions and make informed decisions
   3.3 Use information and technology collaboratively and creatively to answer a question, solve a problem, or enrich understanding

4. Students Integrate Information Literacy Skills into All Areas of Learning
   Students independently pursue information to become life-long learners.
   4.1 Read widely for information, personal interest, and life-long learning
   4.2 Seek and share information
   4.3 Appreciate and respond to creative expressions of information
Kindergarten

STANDARD 1
Students Access Information
The student will:

1.1 Recognize the need for information:
   a. Understand the concept that printed and digital materials provide information by identifying
      meaning from simple symbols and pictures.

1.2 Formulate appropriate questions:
   a. Ask and answer questions about essential elements of a text. ELA

1.3 Identify and locate a variety of resources using multiple search strategies:
   a. Locate the school library.
   b. Identify two sources of information that may provide an answer to an identified question with
      guidance.
   c. Distinguish fact from fiction (e.g., “Does this happen in real life?”).
   d. Identify who to ask for help in the school library.
   e. Describe the general organization of the library.
   f. Identify types of everyday print and digital materials such as story books, poems,
      newspapers, periodicals, signs, and labels.

1.4 Retrieve information in a timely and safe manner:
   a. Know how, and be able to, check out resources from the school library responsibly.

STANDARD 2
Students Evaluate Information
The student will:

2.1 Determine relevance of information:
   a. Connect the information and events in text to life experiences. ELA

2.2 Assess comprehensiveness, currency, credibility, authority, and accuracy of
   resources:
   a. Identify basic facts and ideas in what was read, heard, or voiced.

2.3 Consider the need for additional information:
   a. Use pictures and context to make predictions about story content. ELA

STANDARD 3
Students Use Information
The student will:

3.1 Demonstrate smart ethical, legal, and safe use of information:
   a. Understand the need to adhere to privacy and safety guidelines.
   b. Understand the need to ask a trusted adult permission when asked to provide personal
      information in person, on a form or online.

3.2 Draw conclusions and make informed decisions:

---

5 ELA refers to student standards from the *English-Language Arts Content Standards for California Public Schools* that transfer easily into the school library standards for students.
a. Retell central ideas of simple expository or narrative passages.

3.3 Use information and technology collaboratively and creatively to answer a question, solve a problem, or enrich understanding:
   a. Participate in completion of a graphic organizer with multi-faceted aspects of a topic.

STANDARD 4
Students Integrate Information Literacy Skills into All Areas of Learning
The student will:

4.1 Read widely for information, personal interest, and life-long learning:
   a. Identify a personal interest and possible information resources to learn more about it.

4.2 Seek and share information:
   a. Share information and ideas, speaking audibly in complete, coherent sentences. ELA

4.3 Appreciate and respond to creative expressions of information:
   a. Listen and respond to stories based on well-known characters, themes, plots and settings. ELA

ELA
Grade One

STANDARD 1
Students Access Information
The student will:

1.1 Recognize the need for information:
   a. Understand that printed and digital materials provide information by identifying meaning from more complex symbols and pictures.

1.2 Formulate appropriate questions:
   a. Respond to who, what, when, where, and how questions. ELA

1.3 Identify and locate a variety of resources using multiple search strategies:
   a. Understand how to check out and care for a variety of library resources both print and digital.
   b. Alphabetize to the first letter to locate books in the library.
   c. Identify basic digital devices and parts of a computer (e.g., DVD player, remote control, digital camera, monitor, power button, keyboard, mouse).
   d. Identify the front cover, back cover, and title page of a book, in print and digital formats, and compare and contrast the differences.
   e. Identify the services and resources of the public library.
   f. Demonstrate correct procedures to turn computer on and off, and open and close applications.

1.4 Retrieve information in a timely and safe manner:
   a. Use pictures and context to make predictions.
   b. Identify the need to request assistance from a trusted adult if the information source makes the student uncomfortable.
   c. Identify characteristics of fiction and nonfiction.

STANDARD 2
Students Evaluate Information
The student will:

2.1 Determine relevance of information:
   a. Relate prior knowledge to textual information. ELA

2.2 Assess comprehensiveness, currency, credibility, authority, and accuracy of resources:
   a. Aware of the role of media to inform and entertain.

2.3 Consider the need for additional information:
   a. Ask questions for clarification and understanding. ELA

STANDARD 3
Students Use Information
The student will:

3.1 Demonstrate ethical, legal, and safe use of information:
   a. Describe the roles of authors and illustrators and their contribution to print and digital materials.
   b. Understand that the Internet is a way a computer is connected to the rest of the world.

3.2 Draw conclusions and make informed decisions:
a. Use context to resolve ambiguities about word and sentence meaning. ELA

3.3 Use information and technology collaboratively and creatively to answer a question, solve a problem, or enrich understanding:
a. Retell stories using basic story grammar and relating the sequence of story events by answering who, what, when, where, why, and how questions. ELA

STANDARD 4
Students Integrate Information Literacy Skills into All Areas of Learning
The student will:

4.1 Read widely for information, personal interest, and life-long learning:
a. Read a good representation of grade-level-appropriate text making progress toward the goal of reading 500,000 words annually by grade four (e.g., classic and contemporary literature, magazines, newspapers, online information).
b. Read poems, rhymes, songs and stories. ELA

4.2 Seek and share information:
a. Share information orally and creatively with peers and other audiences.

4.3 Appreciate and respond to creative expressions of information:
a. Recite poems, rhymes, songs and stories. ELA
Grade Two

STANDARD 1
Students Access Information

The student will:

1.2 Recognize the need for information:
   a. Identify a simple problem or question that needs information.
   b. Organize prior knowledge of a subject, problem, or question (e.g., create a chart).

1.2 Formulate appropriate questions:
   a. Develop questions that define the scope of investigation and connect them to the topic.
   b. Understand the concept of keywords.

1.3 Identify and locate a variety of resources using multiple search strategies:
   a. Identify two sources of information that may provide an answer to the question(s).
   b. Independently check out and care for a variety of library resources including technology devices.
   c. Identify who to ask for help when online at the school library or in the classroom.
   d. Locate age-appropriate fiction and non-fiction materials in the school library in print and online.
   e. Alphabetize to the second letter to locate information.
   f. Identify types of everyday print and digital materials using academic vocabulary.
   g. Identify the parts of a book (print and digital): table of contents, glossary, index, and dedication.
   h. Perform simple keyword search of a topic using an approved search engine or database.
   i. Use computer software graphic elements and navigational tools (e.g., buttons, icons, fields).

1.4 Retrieve information in a timely and safe manner:
   a. Identify trusted places in the community where students can seek information (e.g., home, school, museums, governmental agencies, public libraries).
   b. Identify trusted and knowledgeable people to ask for assistance with an information search (e.g., teacher, teacher librarian, family).
   c. Connect prior knowledge to the information and events in text and digital formats.
   d. Identify and describe elements of fiction (e.g., character, plot, setting, point of view).
   e. Use at least one fact and/or photograph found in a current and credible source to communicate understanding.
   f. Identify when it is necessary to ask an appropriate adult for assistance in seeking out information in both digital and print environments.
   g. Identify main ideas of a text in preparation for note taking.
   h. Identify nonfiction text structures in print and digital formats (e.g., main idea and supporting details, cause and effect, compare and contrast, sequencing).

STANDARD 2
Students Evaluate Information

The student will:

2.1 Determine relevance of information:
   a. Draw meaning from illustrations, photographs, diagrams, charts, graphs, maps, and captions.
   b. Review facts and details to clarify and organize ideas for note taking.

2.2 Assess comprehensiveness, currency, credibility, authority, and accuracy of resources:
   a. Identify the purpose of an advertisement including Internet pop-ups.
2.3 Consider the need for additional information:
   a. Recognize the need for additional information to answer questions posed by others.

STANDARD 3
Students Use Information
The student will:

3.1 Demonstrate ethical, legal, and safe use of information:
   a. Demonstrate proper procedures and good citizenship in the library and online.
   b. Recognize that the author and illustrator both have ownership of their own creation.
   c. Demonstrate basic knowledge of the school's acceptable use policy (AUP).
   d. Understand that the Internet contains accurate and inaccurate information.
   e. Understand that just as there are strangers face-to-face, there are also strangers on the Internet.
   f. Adhere to privacy (nondisclosure of personal or family information) and safety guidelines (laws and policies) when using the Internet at school or home.
   g. Demonstrate the ability to discern the difference between information and advertisements.

3.2 Draw conclusions and make informed decisions:
   a. Present information drawn from two sources.

3.3 Use information and technology collaboratively and creatively to answer a question, solve a problem, or enrich understanding:
   a. Present information to convey the main idea and supporting details about a topic.
   b. Record and present information with pictures, bar graphs, numbers, or written statements.
   c. Communicate with other students to explore options to a problem or an ending to a story.

STANDARD 4
Students Integrate Information Literacy Skills into All Areas of Learning
The student will:

4.1 Read widely for information, personal interest, and life-long learning:
   a. Read a good representation of grade-level-appropriate text making progress toward the goal of reading 500,000 words annually by grade four (e.g., classic and contemporary literature, magazines, newspapers, online information).
   b. Select and use resources in a variety of formats to support personal interests, recreational goals, and pursuits.
   c. Understand how media affects the telling of a story (e.g., illustrations, photographs, music, video).

4.2 Seek and share information:
   a. Share the source from which information was obtained.
   b. Creatively inform others when new information about an area of interest is learned.

4.3 Appreciate and respond to creative expressions of information:
   a. Portray information visually to convey the main idea and supporting details about a topic.
   b. Compare and contrast different versions of the same stories that reflect different cultures.

ELA
Grade Three

STANDARD 1
Students Access Information
The student will:

1.1 Recognize the need for information:
   a. Connect and relate prior experiences, insights, and ideas to those of a speaker. ELA

1.2 Formulate appropriate questions:
   a. Identify a problem that needs information by asking how, what, where, when, or why questions.
   b. Identify keywords within questions.

1.3 Identify and locate a variety of resources using multiple search strategies:
   a. Differentiate between primary and secondary sources.
   b. Understand the general purpose of the library catalog.
   c. Understand that nonfiction print and non-print materials in a library are arranged by subject (e.g., Dewey Decimal System).
   d. Understand the information provided on spine labels, including call numbers.
   e. Understand different systems of alphabetizing (e.g., letter-by-letter, word-by-word).
   f. Independently browse the library to locate materials.
   g. Use academic language to identify types of media and digital delivery devices.
   h. Use guidewords to locate information in a reference book.
   i. Perform a complex keyword search of a topic using an approved search engine or database.
   j. Understand the organization of general reference resources in print and/or digital formats including dictionary, thesaurus, atlas, almanac, and encyclopedia.
   k. Use specialized content-area print and digital resources to locate information.
   l. Use print and/or digital indexes to locate articles within an encyclopedia.
   m. Locate and know general content of the biography section in the library.

1.4 Retrieve information in a timely and safe manner:
   a. Demonstrate a basic understanding of intellectual property rights, the difference between sharing and ownership.
   b. Demonstrate respectful and responsible behavior in the library.
   c. Use a dictionary to learn the meaning and other features of unknown words.
   d. Locate information in text by using the organizational parts of a book (e.g., title, table of contents, chapter headings, glossary, author notes, dedication, indexes).
   e. Apply techniques for organizing notes in a logical order (e.g., outlining, webbing, thinking maps, other graphic organizers).

STANDARD 2
Students Evaluate Information
The student will:

2.1 Determine relevance of information:
   a. Select information appropriate to the problem or question at hand.
   b. Determine if the information answers a simple question.
   c. State the purpose in reading (i.e., tell what information is sought). ELA

2.2 Assess comprehensiveness, currency, credibility, authority, and accuracy of resources:
   a. Distinguish between the speaker’s opinions and verifiable facts.
   b. Identify copyright and publication dates in print resources.
2.3 Consider the need for additional information:
   a. Recall major points in the text and make and modify predictions about forthcoming information. ELA

STANDARD 3
Students Use Information
The student will:

3.1 Demonstrate ethical, legal, and safe use of information:
   a. Define cyber bullying and its effects.

3.2 Draw conclusions and make informed decisions:
   a. Demonstrate comprehension by identifying answers in text. ELA
   b. Compare ideas and points of view expressed in broadcast and print media. ELA

3.3 Use information and technology collaboratively and creatively to answer a question, solve a problem, or enrich understanding:
   a. Organize information chronologically, sequentially, or by topic.
   b. Locate facts and details to support a topic sentence and paragraph.

STANDARD 4
Students Integrate Information Literacy Skills into All Areas of Learning
The student will:

4.1 Read widely for information, personal interest, and life-long learning:
   a. Read a good representation of grade-level-appropriate text making progress toward the goal of reading 500,000 words annually by grade four (e.g., classic and contemporary literature, magazines, newspapers, online information).
   b. Distinguish common forms of literature (e.g., poetry, drama, fiction, nonfiction).

4.2 Seek and share information:
   a. Deliver brief recitations and oral presentations about familiar experiences or interests.
   b. Select appropriate information technology tools and resources to interact with others.

4.3 Appreciate and respond to creative expressions of information:
   a. Plan and present dramatic interpretations of experiences, stories, poems or plays with clear diction, pitch, tempo, and tone. ELA
STANDARD 1
Students Access Information
The student will:

1.2 Recognize the need for information:
   a. Identify a more complex problem or question that needs information.
   b. Recognize and use appropriate pre-search strategies (e.g., recall of prior knowledge).

1.2 Formulate appropriate questions:
   a. Distinguish and interpret words with multiple meanings. ELA

1.3 Identify and locate a variety of resources with multiple search strategies:
   a. Use standard reference tools online and in print, including dictionary, atlas, thesaurus, encyclopedia, and almanac.
   b. Perform basic search of the automated library catalog by title, author, and subject.
   c. Understand the basic organization of the library classification system (e.g., 10 major Dewey Decimal System classifications).
   d. Understand the organization of newspapers and periodicals, both in print and online, and how to use them.
   e. Define online terms (e.g., home page, Web site, responsibility statement, search engine, uniform resource locator [URL]).
   f. Define URL Internet extensions (e.g., .com, .org, .edu, .gov, .us, .net).
   g. Use electronic menus and icons (e.g., search, content, help screen, index, key words) to locate information.

1.4 Retrieve information in a timely and safe manner:
   a. Extract information from illustrations, photographs, charts, graphs, maps, and tables in print, non-print, and digital formats.
   b. Extract appropriate and significant information from the text, including problems and solutions.

STANDARD 2
Students Evaluate Information
The student will:

2.1 Determine relevance of information:
   a. Evaluate new information and hypotheses by testing them against known information and ideas.

2.2 Assess comprehensiveness, currency, credibility, authority, and accuracy of resources:
   a. Explain how sources possess comprehensiveness, currency, credibility, authority, and accuracy.
   b. Distinguish between fact and opinion in expository text.
   c. Summarize information that contains the main ideas of the reading selection and the most significant details.
   d. Compare and contrast information on the same topic after reading several passages or articles.
   e. Recognize the role of media to persuade, interpret events and transmit culture.

2.3 Consider the need for additional information:
   a. Verify accuracy of prior knowledge.
STANDARD 3
Students Use Information
The student will:

3.2 Demonstrate ethical, legal, and safe use of information:
   a. Identify author, title, copyright date, and publisher.
   b. Use approved or personal passwords appropriately.
   c. Understand the environment of Internet anonymity and that not everyone on the Internet is truthful and reliable.

3.2 Draw conclusions and make informed decisions:
   a. Make and confirm predictions about text by using prior knowledge and ideas presented in the text itself, including illustrations, titles, topic sentences, important words, and foreshadowing clues. ELA

3.3 Use information and technology collaboratively and creatively to answer a question, solve a problem, or enrich understanding:
   a. Write information reports or presentations: (a) frame a central question about an issue or situation; (b) include facts and details for focus; and (c) draw from more than one source of information. ELA
   b. Understand and use a variety of organizational structures as appropriate to convey information (e.g., chronological order, cause and effect, similarity and difference, posing and answering a question).
   c. Select a focus, an organizational structure, and a point of view for a report or presentation based upon purpose, audience, length, and format requirements.
   d. Create simple documents by using electronic media and employing organization features (e.g., passwords, entry and pull-down menus, word searches, thesaurus, spell checks).

STANDARD 4
Students Integrate Information Literacy Skills into All Areas of Learning
The student will:

4.1 Read widely for information, personal interest and life-long learning:
   a. Read a good representation of grade-level-appropriate text making progress toward the goal of reading 500,000 words annually (e.g., classic and contemporary literature, magazines, newspapers, online information).
   b. Use appropriate strategies when reading for different purposes (e.g., full comprehension, location of information, personal enjoyment). ELA
   c. Understand and describe the purpose of age-appropriate book awards (e.g., Caldecott, Newbery, California Young Reader).

4.2 Seek and share information:
   a. Evaluate information of a personal interest for accuracy, credibility, and relevance.
   b. Communicate with others outside your school environment through the use of technology to share information (e.g., video conference, blog, wiki, chat, discussion board).

4.3 Appreciate and respond to creative expressions of information:
   a. Deliver oral summaries that contain the main ideas and the most significant details of articles and books.
Grade Five

STANDARD 1
Students Access Information
The student will:

1.1 Recognize the need for information:
a. Identify the topic of a research investigation.

1.2 Formulate appropriate questions:
a. Formulate and refine questions that cover the necessary scope and direction of the investigation.
b. Use keyword and phrase notes to create an outline.

1.3 Identify and locate a variety of resources using multiple search strategies:
a. Use a thesaurus to identify word choices and meanings to facilitate research.
b. Interpret information from the automated library catalog.
c. Use call numbers, spine labels, and the library classification system to locate information in the library.
d. Understand how text features make information accessible and usable.
e. Identify a variety of online information sources.
f. Use appropriate reference materials, both print and online, to obtain needed information.
g. Use strategies for locating information sources (e.g., indexes, using organizational features of electronic text, keywords, SEE and SEE ALSO cross references).
h. Use library catalog to locate biographies available in the library.
i. Create and use complex keyword searches to find specific information online.
j. Ask questions that seek information not already located.

1.4 Retrieve information in a timely and safe manner:
a. Compare and contrast information obtained from subscription databases and from open-ended search engines on the Internet.
b. Use scanning and skimming skills to locate relevant information.
c. Locate relevant information by using specialized features of printed text (e.g., citations, end notes, preface, appendix, bibliographic references).

STANDARD 2
Students Evaluate Information
The student will:

2.1 Determine relevance of information:
a. Assess how new information confirms and/or changes the original thoughts (e.g., what I know, what I want to know, and what I learned [KWL] chart).

2.2 Assess comprehensiveness, currency, credibility, authority, and accuracy of resources:
a. Describe how media resources serve as sources for information, entertainment, persuasion, interpretation of events, and transmission of culture.
b. Identify and assess evidence that supports the main ideas and concepts presented in texts.
c. Draw inferences, conclusions, or generalizations from text, and support them with evidence and prior knowledge.

2.3 Consider the need for additional information:
a. Evaluate information located to determine if more information is needed and, if so, identify additional resources to search.
b. Ask questions that seek information not already discussed. ELA
STANDARD 3  
Students Use Information  
The student will:  

3.1 Demonstrate ethical, legal, and safe use of information:  
a. Record bibliographic information in an acceptable format.  
b. Demonstrate an understanding of and show respect for personal intellectual property.  
c. Demonstrate legal and ethical behavior in information use.  
d. Evaluate Internet resources for accuracy, credibility, and relevance.  
e. Use basic safety procedures when e-mailing, texting, chatting, etc.  
f. Recognize suspicious online offers and invitations (e.g., spam, phishing, polls, competitions).  

3.2 Draw conclusions and make informed decisions:  
a. Write research reports about important ideas, issues, or events by using the following guidelines: (a) frame questions that direct the investigation; (b) establish a controlling idea or topic; and (c) develop the topic with simple facts, details, examples, and explanations.  

3.3 Use information and technology collaboratively and creatively to answer a question, solve a problem, or enrich understanding:  
a. Use a thesaurus to edit and revise manuscripts to improve the meaning and focus of writing.  

STANDARD 4  
Students Integrate Information Literacy Skills into All Areas of Learning  
The student will:  

4.1 Read widely for information, personal interest, and life-long learning:  
a. Read a good representation of grade-level-appropriate text making progress toward the goal of reading one million words annually by grade eight (e.g., classic and contemporary literature, magazines, newspapers, online information).  
b. Understand that genre is a term that describes similar literary works (e.g., drama, fable, fairy tale, fantasy, folklore, essay, speeches).  

4.2 Seek and share information:  
a. Demonstrates maturity in consideration of others, both in person and during communications and interactions using technology.  

4.3 Appreciate and respond to creative expressions of information:  
a. Distinguish facts, supported inferences, and opinions in text. ELA  
b. Interpret how theatre and storytelling forms from various cultures reflect beliefs and traditions. VPA  

---  

6 VPA refers to student standards from the Visual and Performing Arts Content Standards for California Public Schools that transfer easily into the school library standards for students.
Grade Six

STANDARD 1
Students Access Information
The student will:

1.1 Recognize the need for information:
   a. Recognize that accurate and comprehensive information is the basis for informed decision-making.
   b. Determine and use appropriate research strategies (e.g., brainstorming, recall of prior knowledge).

1.2 Formulate appropriate questions:
   a. Formulate relevant questions with a scope narrow enough to be thoroughly covered.
   b. Demonstrate ability to create effective keyword searching in print and online by identifying appropriate keywords.

1.3 Identify and locate a variety of resources using multiple search strategies:
   a. Identify and locate multiple sources of information related to research topics and questions (e.g., books, reference materials, online sources, periodicals).
   b. Perform basic search of automated library catalog to locate resources for a particular purpose.
   c. Use the automated library catalog to locate resources in other libraries and use interlibrary loan, if available.
   d. Identify the structural features of popular media (e.g., newspapers, magazines, online information) and use the features to obtain information.
   e. Use the World Wide Web including search engines and browsers to locate information.
   f. Demonstrate proper and responsible use of technology and other library materials.
   g. Demonstrate use of outside sources for information gathering (e.g., Web sites of public libraries and colleges, online databases).
   h. Compare and contrast the benefits and potential of using open source media, subscription databases, print media, and visual media as useful to answer a research question.
   i. Demonstrate knowledge of current applications available online (e.g., photo organizer, presentation generator, document creator, video conferencing).
   j. Recognize that specialized encyclopedias differ in arrangement, emphasis, and indexing.
   k. Use Boolean search techniques and other limiters or expanders to locate appropriate resources.
   l. Identify the authority of an author or sponsoring organization in print and online materials.
   m. Identify information that supports the question but may not directly answer it.

1.4 Retrieve information in a timely and safe manner:
   a. Understand and practice the basics of safe use of the Internet.
   b. Accurately record citation information for each type of resource used.
   c. Use several facts from visual or audio media to support a hypothesis.
   d. Restate facts and details taken from an information source (print, non-print, or digital) and organize those ideas for note taking using techniques such as outlining, webbing, flowcharting, etc.
STANDARD 2
Students Evaluate Information
The student will:

2.1 Determine relevance of information:
   a. Analyze information from illustrations, photographs, charts, graphs, maps, tables, and captions.
   b. Analyze evidence to support research question.
   c. Identify instances and types of unsupported statements in resources used (e.g., inference, fallacious reasoning, persuasion, inaccuracies, and propaganda).

2.2 Assess comprehensiveness, currency, credibility, authority, and accuracy of resources:
   a. Identify how visual language creates an impression for the viewer (e.g., angle, lighting, special effects, camera movement).
   b. Recognize importance of publication date as an indicator of information currency.
   c. Identify persuasive and propaganda techniques used in television and identify false and misleading information. ELA
   d. Explain the authority, timeliness and/or accuracy of specific information resources.

2.3 Consider the need for additional information:
   a. Evaluate information located to determine if it is sufficient to answer the question.

STANDARD 3
Students Use Information
The student will:

3.1 Demonstrate ethical, legal, and safe use of information:
   a. Practice safe handling of personal information online.
   b. Recognize academic uses of social networking sites and understand how to use them safely and ethically.
   c. Articulate and follow the rules for online use at school.
   d. Identify programs that can damage a computer (e.g., viruses, worms, trojans, spyware).
   e. Practice ethical behavior in online interactions.
   f. Identify what can constitute an “uncomfortable” interaction online and how to effectively handle it.
   g. Identify urban legends and hoaxes spread through e-mail and the Internet.

3.2 Draw conclusions and make informed decisions:
   a. Determine the adequacy and appropriateness of the evidence for an author’s conclusions. ELA

3.3 Use information and technology collaboratively and creatively to answer a question, solve a problem, or enrich understanding:
   a. Choose an appropriate format to produce, communicate, and present information (e.g., written report, multimedia presentation, graphic presentation, posters, graphs).

STANDARD 4
Students Integrate Information Literacy Skills into All Areas of Learning
The student will:

4.1 Read widely for information, personal interest, and life-long learning:
   a. Read a good representation of grade-level-appropriate text making progress toward the goal of reading one million words annually by grade eight (e.g., classic and contemporary literature, magazines, newspapers, online information).
b. Participate in activities that reflect interests, talents, or desires.
c. Critique own work and that of others in a respectful and cooperative way.

4.2 Seek and share information:
   a. Respect others’ right to freedom of speech.
   b. Pursue information related to personal well-being (e.g., career interests, community involvement, health matters, recreation).
   c. Collaborate in person and through technology to identify problems and seek their solutions.

4.3 Appreciate and respond to creative expressions of information:
   a. Demonstrate a variety of methods to engage the audience when presenting information (e.g., voice modulation, gestures, questions).
   b. Appreciate a range of creative forms of expression (e.g., poetry, drama, film, literature, visual arts).
Grades Seven and Eight

STANDARD 1
Students Access Information
The student will:

1.1 Recognize the need for information:
   a. Recognize the need for information in preparing research reports and persuasive compositions, and in delivering informative presentations.

1.2 Formulate appropriate questions:
   a. Define a thesis statement.
   b. Establish a hypothesis and/or a position statement.
   c. Identify topics; ask and evaluate research questions for relevancy.
   d. Create a plan of action for research including identifying key questions, definition of topic, keywords, and list of possible resources.

1.3 Identify and locate a variety of resources using multiple search strategies:
   a. Conduct multiple-step information searches using various search strategies to locate digital information that supports research and writing tasks.
   b. Identify scholarly, accurate, and current sources of information in a variety of formats.
   c. Prioritize sources of information for efficient and effective use.
   d. Develop and use successful research strategies to locate information sources including primary and secondary sources.
   e. Understand and demonstrate appropriate use of “tags” for online resources.
   f. Use automated library catalog to locate a variety of reference and other library resources that support a research question.
   g. Use bibliographies and digital resources to access information beyond the school library collection.
   h. Demonstrate knowledge of the types of resources needed to best answer the question.
   i. Identify the authority of URL Internet extensions and their potential for bias (e.g., .com, .org, .edu, .gov, .us, .net).
   j. Use a variety of encyclopedias and other reference resources to gather information.
   k. Use indexes online and in print.
   l. Use print and/or digital indexes or the search engines of subscription periodical databases to locate information in periodicals and save to a file.
   m. Use bibliographies to identify and locate resources beyond basic reference tools.

1.4 Retrieve information in a timely and safe manner:
   a. Recognize that inappropriate and illegal use of information has consequences.
   b. Demonstrate effective use of digital sources (e.g., navigating within the source, searching one source for a specific topic before searching in multiple sources and for multiple topics).
   c. Explain what the Internet is, how it was created and how it works.
   d. Identify cues in visual media to assist in understanding meaning.
   e. Discuss with others the plot, setting, genre, and characters found in books recently read.
   f. Create presentations that demonstrate proper citation and attribution of written, audio and visual resources used.
   g. Use a dictionary to learn the history of common words.
   h. Demonstrate effective note taking including citation references, quotes, and major points.
STANDARD 2
Students Evaluate Information
The student will:

2.1 Determine relevance of information:
   a. Assess the author's evidence to support claims and assertions, noting instances of bias and
      stereotyping in a variety of visual and audio materials.
   b. Evaluate sources for fact, opinion, propaganda, currency, and relevance.

2.2 Assess comprehensiveness, currency, credibility, authority, and accuracy of resources:
   a. Evaluate credibility, comprehensiveness and usefulness of print, nonprint, and digital
      information sources.
   b. Analyze differences among various categories of informational materials (e.g., textbooks,
      newspapers, magazines, atlases, online resources) in terms of their structure and purpose.
   c. Evaluate the authority of authors, Web site hosts, and/or sponsoring organizations of Web
      sites and print material.
   d. Use more than one resource when needed to verify and determine accuracy.
   e. Assess currency and timeliness as a part of Web site and other media evaluation.
   f. Identify and assess evidence that supports ideas and concepts presented in audio and visual
      media.
   g. Evaluate information from visual media as a primary source.

2.3 Consider the need for additional information:
   a. Revise, add, or delete questions as information need changes.

STANDARD 3
Students Use Information
The student will:

3.1 Demonstrate ethical, legal, and safe use of information:
   a. Articulate understanding of “fair use” and other legal rulings in regard to copyright.
   b. Participate in online classroom and/or library discussion groups.
   c. Participate ethically and safely in online activities.
   d. Give credit to authors when appropriate in written and oral presentations, including music and
      visual content.
   e. Give credit in an acceptable format for quoted and paraphrased information.
   f. Understand and communicate the ethical use of intellectual property.
   g. Recognize that inappropriate and illegal use of information has consequences.
   h. Understand ethical issues in audio and visual media relating to ownership of content.
   i. Explain ethical and legal issues relating to use of printed, visual, audio, and online materials
      (e.g., file sharing).
   j. Understand how to secure wireless devices.

3.2 Draw conclusions and make informed decisions:
   a. Evaluate evidence to support a proposition or proposal.
   b. Present a report visually, orally, or in writing that conveys a clear point of view with evidence
      supporting that perspective.

3.3 Use information and technology collaboratively and creatively to answer a question, solve a
   problem, or enrich understanding:
   a. Create documents by using word processing skills and publishing programs.
b. Develop simple databases and spreadsheets to manage information and prepare reports.
c. Use a variety of media to impart information, share opinions, and/or persuade an audience (e.g., audio, video, written).
d. Create presentations using presentation software or multimedia online applications.

STANDARD 4
Students Integrate Information Literacy Skills into All Areas of Learning
The student will:

4.1 Read widely for information, personal interest, and life-long learning:
   a. Read a good representation of grade-level-appropriate text making progress toward the goal of reading one million words annually by grade eight (e.g., classic and contemporary literature, magazines, newspapers, online information).
   b. Choose reading from a variety of genres (e.g., drama, fable, fairy tale, fantasy, folklore, essay, speeches).
   c. Assess the process and the product created as an audio, visual or written piece of work.
   d. Assess personal growth through reflection and review of samples of previous work (e.g., portfolio).

4.2 Seek and share information:
   a. Present information collaboratively through written, audio or visual formats.
   b. Explain how social networks operate and identify issues related to participation.

4.3 Appreciate and respond to creative expressions of information:
   a. Compare and contrast how literature, theatre, and visual arts from different cultures or time periods convey the same or similar content or plot.
Grades Nine through Twelve

STANDARD 1
Students Access Information
The student will:

1.1 Recognize the need for information:
   a. Identify topics; broaden or narrow topic and develop ideas to direct the focus of an inquiry, investigation, or research.

1.2 Formulate appropriate questions:
   a. Generate research questions based on interests, observations, information, stories, and issues or on an assigned topic.
   b. Present a clear thesis statement.
   c. Finalize research question by conducting preliminary research.

1.3 Identify and locate a variety of resources using multiple search strategies:
   a. Use a variety of specialized search engines and databases to locate relevant information.
   b. Search for information on Web sites using "tags" and hierarchical directories.
   c. Use the hierarchy of an URL to navigate a site.
   d. Search for information using advanced search skills (e.g., Boolean operators, truncation, adjacency, proximity, wild cards).
   e. Search for information using controlled vocabulary (subject headings).
   f. Understand the differences between search engines, Web crawlers, metasearch engines and hierarchical directories.
   g. Identify and locate relevant sources of information that provide a broad view of information related to research topics and questions.
   h. Differentiate between scholarly and popular publications in print or digital format.
   i. Create and save searches and bibliographies within library catalogs and databases.
   j. Identify the structural features of informational text and use the features to locate information (e.g., expository text, public documents, journal articles).
   k. Select and use appropriate tools and technology to locate resources.
   l. Identify, compare and contrast the bibliographic information provided in a printed or digital book or a Web site.
   m. Demonstrate knowledge of basic and advanced online search applications.
   n. Use a variety of print, media and online resources to locate information including encyclopedias and other reference materials.
   o. Demonstrate a variety of research methods used in different disciplines.
   p. Use digital databases or print indexes to locate magazine or newspaper articles on a topic and save them to a file.
   q. Identify and locate sources (print and electronic) that provide an overview of information related to the research topic.

1.4 Retrieve information in a timely and safe manner:
   a. Demonstrate proper procedures and good citizenship online.
   b. Understand how to access and retrieve resources from local, regional, state, and national libraries through interlibrary loan and other means.
   c. Use pre-search strategies to identify what should be read in depth (e.g., scan titles, headings, captions).
   d. Analyze structure and format of informational text that make information accessible and usable (e.g., format, graphics, sequence, diagrams, illustrations, charts, maps).
STANDARD 2
Students Evaluate Information
The student will:

2.1 Determine relevance of information:
   a. Evaluate online search results, demonstrating an understanding of how search engines
determine rank or relevancy.
   b. Analyze important ideas and supporting evidence in an information source, using logic and
   informed judgment to accept or reject information.
   c. Interpret meaning from charts, maps, graphs, tables, and pictures.

2.2 Assess comprehensiveness, currency, credibility, authority, and accuracy of resources:
   a. Verify the authenticity of primary and secondary source information found online.
   b. Identify bias and prejudice in historical interpretations.
   c. Analyze media for purpose, message, accuracy, bias, and intended audience.
   d. Prepare a bibliography of reference materials using a variety of consumer, workplace, and
   public documents.
   e. Use systematic strategies to organize and record information (e.g., anecdotal scripting,
   annotated bibliographies). ELA

2.3 Consider the need for additional information:
   a. Determine and use strategies for revising, improving, and updating knowledge of a subject.
   b. Review work through self-reflection, peer review, and teacher feedback to determine if the
   information is sufficient.

STANDARD 3
Students Use Information
The student will:

3.1 Demonstrate ethical, legal, and safe use of information:
   a. Demonstrate respect for copyright restrictions, fair use, and public performance rights when
   downloading or duplicating media.
   b. Use appropriate conventions for documentation in the text, footnotes, references, and
   bibliographies by adhering to an acceptable format.
   c. Define and defend the need for intellectual freedom.
   d. Demonstrate responsible use of information by respecting intellectual property rights of
   others.
   e. Recognize and protect the private information of oneself and others.
   f. Describe safe, online shopping practices.
   g. Understand the implications of criminal activities such as generating viruses, hacking, identity
   theft, etc.
   h. Use materials, equipment, and facilities responsibly and independently.
   i. Describe the privileges and responsibilities outlined in the district’s Internet Acceptable Use
   Policy for their school.
   j. Practice strategies to protect digital devices (e.g., antivirus software, secure connections,
   encryption, operating system updates).

3.2 Draw conclusions and make informed decisions:
   a. Analyze information from multiple sources and identify complexities, discrepancies, and
   different perspectives found between sources.
b. Synthesize the content from several sources (or works by a single author) dealing with a single issue; paraphrase the ideas and connect them to other sources and related topics to demonstrate comprehensive knowledge.

3.3 Use information and technology collaboratively and creatively to answer a question, solve a problem, or enrich understanding:
   a. Explain how meaning is conveyed in image and sound and recognize that many media messages are constructed to gain profit and/or influence viewers.
   b. Analyze design elements of various kinds of media productions and identify media messages that have embedded points of view.
   c. Identify capabilities and limitations of tools for organizing and using information.
   d. Produce media efficiently and appropriately to communicate a message to an audience.
   e. Design experiments, surveys, and interviews, individually or in a group, as needed to investigate research questions.
   f. Analyze and interpret results of experiments, surveys, and interviews, using quantitative and qualitative methods.
   g. Draw clear and appropriate conclusions supported by evidence and examples.
   h. Use common organizational patterns such as logic, analogy, compare and contrast, problem and solution, cause and effect to inform or persuade.
   i. Use props, visual aids, graphs, and electronic media to enhance the appeal and accuracy of presentations.
   j. Marshal evidence in support of a thesis and related claims, including information on relevant perspectives.
   k. Construct and test hypotheses; collect, evaluate, and employ information from multiple primary and secondary sources; and apply it in oral and written presentations.

STANDARD 4
Students Integrate Information Literacy Skills into All Areas of Learning
The student will:

4.1 Read widely for information, personal interest, and life-long learning:
   a. By grade twelve, read two million words annually independently, including a wide variety of classic and contemporary literature, magazines, newspapers, and online information.
   b. Demonstrate competence and self-motivation in reading, listening, and viewing.
   c. Monitor own information-seeking progress for effectiveness, and adapt as necessary.
   d. Develop strategies to focus on personal learning.
   e. Evaluate how effectively own ideas are expressed.
   f. Demonstrate personal responsibility for lifelong learning.
   g. Select information on a topic of interest.

4.2 Seek and share information:
   a. Locate information independently to satisfy curiosity.
   b. Contribute actively to the learning community and participate in groups to pursue and generate information.
   c. Demonstrate and advocate for legal and ethical behavior among peers, family members, and their community when using information resources and technology.
   d. Use technology to communicate and share information with others with the same interests.

4.3 Appreciate and respond to creative expressions of information:
   a. Read and listen to a range of literary and other creative forms of expression (e.g., poetry, drama, film, literature, visual arts).
Model School Library Program Standards

The Model School Library Program Standards represent a base level of staffing, collections, services and resources, including technology, expected in an effective school library to enable all students to achieve California’s Model School Library Standards for Students.

The standards are rigorous standards for California’s school libraries and are based on what exists, using national and state data, and research studies on the effectiveness of school libraries, including the following:

- The top quartile of respondents to the American Association of School Librarians 2008 study, School Libraries Count! A National Survey of School Library Media Programs.

- The average resources and services of the respondents to the School Library Journal 2009 national survey of school libraries.

- The average resources and services of libraries in the California Department of Education 2007-08 School Library Survey that matched the baseline set of respondents from the national studies listed above.

- Douglas Achterman’s 2008 doctoral dissertation, Haves, halves and have-nots: School libraries and student achievement which found that library staffing and services are significantly related to students’ test scores: the greater the number of library services offered, the higher students’ scores tended to be.

The specific studies and research reports that were used in the development of these standards are listed as references at the end of this section.

These Model School Library Program Standards are meant to be models for school districts throughout the state to guide in planning and implementing effective school library programs.

---

Model School Library Program Standards

The following Model School Library Program Standards outline what is expected in an effective school library to enable all students to achieve California’s Model School Library Standards for Students.

**STAFFING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Credentialed teacher librarian: 1 full time per 785 students</td>
<td>A California teacher librarian has both a classroom teaching credential and a teacher librarian credential. The ratio of teacher librarian to students is based on the average staffing ratio of all other states.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classified paraprofessional assistant in the school library: 34 hours per week</td>
<td>The 34 hours per week are in addition to those provided by the credentialed teacher librarian. Classified position titles are determined at the district level (e.g., library technician, library assistant, library aide).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ACCESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Library open for students: 40 hours or more per week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated library management system including online public access capabilities</td>
<td>Automated catalog and circulation system available online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library Web page/portal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet access for students in the library</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible scheduling: 38 hours per week</td>
<td>Student and class visits are scheduled at varying times according to need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computers in the school library: 33 networked computers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities have enough space to accommodate one class plus additional individuals and the library collection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TEACHER LIBRARIAN RESPONSIBILITIES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled collaborative planning and teaching with at least one grade level or department or 20% or more of individual teachers</td>
<td>The teacher librarian collaborates with classroom teachers to create and teach lessons using the resources of the library.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Delivery of instruction: 20 or more hours per week

Library management: 5 hours per week

Reading guidance

Current set of policies and procedures, and a yearly library plan that includes assessment of the program

RESOURCES

Online subscription databases: at least 2

One video/image database (e.g. California Streaming), and at least one periodicals aggregator, i.e., a periodicals index with full-text articles

Print magazines in addition to those available electronically:
• 25 at elementary
• 20 at middle school
• 15 at high school

At least 2/3 of the collection is less than 15 years old

Books per student: 28

The total book and periodical collection increases from elementary to middle and high school, while the ratio of books per student decreases. Book and periodical collections increase with enrollment.

Collection development. Yearly add a number of books per student to the collection:
• 1 at elementary
• 1 at middle school
• .5 at high school
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APPENDIX F
Teacher professional development framework to help build the ‘digital literacy pathway’ for California educators and students

**Digital Literacy Framework:** A ‘framework’ to help build the “digital literacy pathway” by guiding the planning, implementation, and evaluation of professional development and support services provided by the eleven California Technology Assistance Projects (CTAP). This Framework is based on continuously updated guidelines for the services provided by the eleven Statewide CTAP Regions over the past 6 years. Data to inform updates is based on responses by educators across the state regarding their specific needs related to assistance in the planning and integration of technology into teaching and learning as part of the statewide and local evaluations of CTAP services provided to educators. The Framework was reviewed and updated with input from k-12 teachers and administrators in June 2009.

The Framework is organized according to four Program Areas supporting the “digital literacy pathway” for educators and students with statements relating to what an educator might be able to do as a result of using professional development services and information resources. It also provides the basis for surveys used at the regional and local level to prioritize need for local planning and evaluation as 1=low, 2=moderate, and 3=high.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Area 1. Professional development supporting technology in curriculum and instruction</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regional technology assistance should increase the extent to which are educators able to . . .</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Integrate electronic learning applications into adopted curriculum &amp; instruction to include but not be limited to: web-based instructional resources, digital textbooks and related supplements, Internet supported research tools and information sources.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Assisting educators on how to incorporate new and emerging “digital literacy standards” into instructional practice at all grade levels (pre-school through grade 12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Acquire specific technology-use skills related to current digital Literacy knowledge and skills</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Develop instructional strategies (units or lessons) that apply and utilize current state-of-the-art technology tools and delivery methods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Coach and mentor colleagues on technology integration as a professional development strategy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Identify and select online courses to support K-12 curriculum and instruction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Develop and deliver instructional lessons and units online</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Access and utilize the California Learning Resource Network (CLRN) to identify and select electronic learning resources (ELRs), Web Information Links (WILs), and “digital textbooks”, aligned to CA Content Standards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Utilize the statewide education technology website (known as MyCTAP) as ‘one-stop’ access to Regional CTAP and SETS services as well as other information and update relating to technology to support teaching and learning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j. Access and participate in professional development events co-sponsored or enabled by CTAP with professional associations such as Computer Using Educators (CUE), International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE), Consortium on School Networking (COSN), and programs such as RSDSS, and BTSA.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k. Integrate technology into Program Improvement and technologically under served schools.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l. Make effective educational use of personal networking applications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m. Understand and implement cyber-safety and copyright policies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regional technology assistance should increase the extent to which are educators able to . . .

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Develop and submit district technology plans that meet the EETT and E-rate network infrastructure and hardware requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Be informed about new and emerging technologies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Plan, implement, and sustain hardware and network infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Utilize TechSETS and other resources such as CETPA, CoSN, to assist in infrastructure design, implementation, and sustainability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Access and utilize the K-12 High Speed Network</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Program Area 3. Professional development supporting technology to manage student information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Select technology applications to manage and analyze student information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Use technology to access student information and assessment data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Use student assessment data to inform instructional strategies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Use Ed Tech Profile to assist staff determine professional development needs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Utilize the Technology Information Center for Administrative Leadership (TICAL) and the Electronic Learning Assessment Resource (ELAR) as an information resource for administrative technology applications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Utilize additional resources such as TICAL, ACSA, CoSN, and other resources for using technology to support data-driven-decision-making</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Identify resources and support for documenting implementation, evaluating impact, and identifying effective practices of EETT Competitive Grants and other education programs and projects.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Priority

Program Area 4. Funding and coordination with other federal, state, and local programs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Prepare and submit EETT-Competitive grants that meet the current State and Federal requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Apply for E-Rate discounts or California Teleconnect funding (CTF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Learn about, and apply for new state, federal, and other educational technology grants.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Use electronic learning resources to support other educational programs (i.e. Program Improvement, Title I, Before and After School Programs, Sp. Ed. Etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Become aware of and use the instructional technology resources as well as information that can accessed through the Internet from school, community, and state libraries.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Collaborate with and use instructionally relevant resources (accessible through the use of technology) of institutions of higher education to support and expand learning opportunities of p-12 students.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Expand the awareness, use, and impact of CTAP resources through partnerships with other programs and initiatives such as BTSA, Title I, RSDSS, AB 430, and other resources as appropriate to the goals of CTAP.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For additional information regarding specific evaluation instruments and procedures relate to this framework, contact John Cradler: cradler@earthlink.net
The California ICT Digital Literacy Leadership Council and Advisory Committee

The Leadership Council is chaired by the Chief Information Officer of the state of California.

Membership includes:

- the Secretary of Education of California;
- the Secretary of Labor and Workforce Development of California;
- the Secretary of Business, Transportation and Housing of California;
- and the Secretary of State and Consumer Affairs of California.

The Superintendent of Public Instruction of California has also been invited to participate.
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