



ATTACHED PLEASE FIND BRIEF FOR:

AUGUST L. NEUMANN

VS.

DEPT. OF EMPLOYMENT AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

COURT FILE NO. A13-1007

August 19, 2013

Dear Sir or Madaam:

I, August L. Neumann, feel that I should not have to repay any "supposed" overpayment of unemployment to the State of Minnesota, and feel that my unemployment benefits should be reinstated based on the following facts:

1. I complied and did a work search each and every week I was required to.
2. The events that are in question, of which I left the area and was "unable to accept work" are without a question untrue. I took my 70 year old step-father Don to Madison for less than a 48-hour period to see his son who was sick, seeing as he was unable to drive himself down there. At this time, I was still in Wisconsin, which does not make me unable to accept work. Also, Madison is a 4-hour drive, which if a company called and offered me employment, usually a job doesn't start the same day. I would have been able to still be home and start new work the following day, as I was within 4 hours from home and gone less than 48 hours
3. I was not ever informed that while receiving unemployment benefits, I would not be able to drive places that were 4 hours from my home, nor help a family member out by driving them.

Based on the following facts, I am requesting that you reinstate my unemployment benefits, and dismiss the action against me for overpayment of benefits.

Thank you for your time,

August L. Neumann

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "August L. Neumann". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long, sweeping underline that extends to the right.