1 SCOPING AND INFORMATIONAL MEETING 2 HINCKLEY - APRIL 25, 2016 3 BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 4 AND DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5 In the Matter of the Application of North Dakota Pipeline Company, LLC for a Certificate of Need for the Sandpiper Pipeline Project in Minnesota 7 PUC DOCKET NO: CN-13-473 8 In the Matter of the Application of North Dakota Pipeline Company, LLC for a Pipeline Routing Permit for the 9 Sandpiper Pipeline Project in Minnesota 10 PUC DOCKET NO: PPL-13-474 11 In the Matter of the Application of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership for a Certificate of Need for the 12 Line 3 Pipeline Replacement Project in Minnesota from the 13 North Dakota Border to the Wisconsin Border PUC DOCKET NO: CN-14-916 14 15 In the Matter of the Application of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership for a Route Permit for the Line 3 Pipeline Replacement Project in Minnesota from the North 16 Dakota Border to the Wisconsin Border 17 PUC DOCKET NO: PPL-15-137 18 19 20 Tobies Restaurant and Bakery 404 Fire Monument Road 21 Hincklev. Minnesota 22 23 24 25 COURT REPORTER: Janet Shaddix Elling, RPR

											2
1		I	N D) E	Χ	_	HINO	CKLE	Y		
2	SPEAKER										PAGE
3	Jerry Ryan										7
4	Mitch Mincoff										8
5	Kris Drager										8
6	Jim France										12
7	Jamie Macalister										19
8	Steve Schulstrom										27
9	Curtis DeYoung										32
10	David LaBorde										33
11	Phillip Wallace										35
12	Terry Langley										38
13	Dan Olson										39
14	Sherrill Wilds										42
15	John Munter										45
16	John Munter										50
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											

U

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Welcome and good evening. My name is Charlie Petersen and I will be the moderator through the session this evening.

I want to just sort of walk through what we have planned for the evening. Starting now, it's already been happening, but basically from 6:00 until 7:00 is an open house.

What basically that means is we have stations around the area here for you to talk to people, and we have experts present at those stations. We've got, I think, most of you have gone through the ones out in front. We've got the greeter, which will give you the packet. This is your lifeblood for tonight's meeting. If you would like to make a public comment during this time here, you need to sign up on a card. Thirdly, if you would like to make public comment that is not oral tonight, there's a written form here that you can send in. And it's mailable, so you can mail it in. And also on the back there are the other ways that you can provide comment into the process.

Again, you can do the comment here at the public meeting, mail in the comment, mail it in a separate envelope, and the address is on the back.

You can fax your comments. You can email your

comments. And you can use the online service.

Bottom line here is we want to hear your comments,
we want to hear your thoughts, your ideas on this.

For the open house period, again, there will be stations. There's the greeter station out there, there is the pipeline siting for the routes around, and a series of maps. There's also sort of topic stations, pieces that the agency or agencies have heard from the previous iterations of going through this process. If you've got a question, this is your time to ask it of them.

Enbridge is set up over here if you've got a question for Enbridge. Their process, their thoughts, their siting pieces, this is your time to ask it. This is an informational time to better understand your thoughts, to better understand what the process is and what the siting and route is for the pipeline.

We also have Janet up here. And I'm going to talk about Janet for most of the evening. She is the court reporter. She is the most important person in the room because she is going to be taking down your thoughts and ideas. She'll catch it here, but during this open house, if you have a private comment that you would like to make,

if you don't want to make in a front of a bunch of people but you'd like to get it on the record, she will take that comment from 6:00 until 7:00.

Am I correct in that?

COURT REPORTER: Yes.

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: After the 7:00 open house, and we'll kind of be monitoring, if there's only a few people out there, a few people asking questions like at quarter to 7:00 we may start the formal meeting a little sooner because, again, we want to get to your comments. And if we've got a bunch of people that would like to speak, we want to hear from you. We'll do a welcome, we'll go through the ground rules, we'll go through the process, we'll go through what's going to happen here.

Jamie from the Department of Commerce will do a presentation, sort of an overview to kind of set the stage. And then we'll take a few minutes of questions of clarity. And I want to hone in on that, questions of clarity. If there's something that she says that you don't understand, that's the time to ask it. If you start giving your opinions at that point in time, that's when it starts morphing down here.

From the public comment time we'll have you come up, we'll give you a microphone, I've got a handy-dandy iPad here that has a timer on it, we will allot a certain amount of time for you to comment. In all honesty, we're still trying to figure out exactly what that timing is. My guess is it's going to be somewhere between three and five minutes. Literally, what's going to be the driver on that is the number of people that want to talk. Because in an hour, give everybody five minutes, that's only 12 people. So if we get a large number of people that want to talk, we'll have to sort of balance the time both of the meeting and also the folks, the time for the folks giving their comments.

Are there any just general questions before we get into this process? This is our first run, we've got 12 of these scheduled between now and a week and a half from now. I don't have the dates in my head, I had it a few minutes ago, but this is the part of aging that happens, just things just leave.

Are there any sort of process questions?

Great. Again, Enbridge is set up over there for any comments, questions, thoughts, anything that you have. And, again, out in the entryway here there's

1 also a setup if you want to look at the maps. Ιf you have a topic that you didn't think was raised at 2 one of the first meetings, et cetera, et cetera, 3 4 bring it up, talk about it. I think most people 5 have yellow packets, if you don't have a yellow packet, grab your yellow packet. 6 Janet, did I forget anything? 7 COURT REPORTER: 8 No. 9 MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Jamie is doing her 10 setup so I'm not going to ask her whether I forgot 11 anything from her standpoint. 12 Thank you for coming tonight. You're 13 going to get sick and tired of this 'cause I'm 14 probably going to do it a couple more times before 15 7:00 'cause I want to get -- as more people come in, 16 I want to run through the process also. 17 Thank you. Let's take the time between now and 7:00, again, ask your questions, get some 18 19 information, share some information. Thank you. 20 (Break.) 21 (Private comments.) 22 MR. JERRY RYAN: My name is Jerry Ryan, 23 J-E-R-R-Y, R-Y-A-N.

And I represent the pipeliner welders,
Local 798 from Tulsa, Oklahoma, and I want to speak

24

in favor of the Sandpiper and the Line 3 replacement. When those are complete it'll be a safe, silent means of transportation for crude oil across the state of Minnesota. Thank you.

MR. MITCH MINCOFF: My name is Mitch Mincoff, M-I-T-C-H, M-I-N-C-O-F-F. And I live in North Branch, Minnesota.

And I'm just going to say I'm in favor of the Sandpiper Pipeline.

MR. KRIS DRAGER: My name is Kris Drager, and I'm here to support the pipeline. K-R-I-S, $D\text{-}R\text{-}A\text{-}G\text{-}E\text{-}R \, .$

(Break.)

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: If I could get your attention just one more time, this is primarily for the new folks who have come into the room that have not heard the first spiel on this.

This is an outline of the process for tonight. We are in the open house period. For that, this is basically a way to get your questions asked and a response so that the agencies can hear your thoughts in sort of a question-and-answer conversation place. Out in the entryway here is a collection of maps to show the routes. Some of the topics that were raised at some of the first

meetings on this, and some of the things, the process that the agencies are going through for this pipeline. So it's a way for you to get your comments or questions answered or responded to and so the agencies can listen to your thoughts.

Enbridge is over in this corner here.

Again, same issue, if you've got a question for them, raise it, raise it to them and have a conversation there.

The yellow packet is your key lifeline. This has all of the information in it for tonight's meeting. The two key pieces are, if you do want to make a public comment when we get to that point in the meeting, we need to have one of these green cards filled out and handed in. So if you haven't done that and want to comment, please fill it out and go back to the greeter desk.

The other piece is the comment form.

There's multiple ways to provide comment here. You can comment what you're doing in the later part of the meeting. You can mail in this form, it is mailable on the back. Also on this form is an address where you can mail your own separate letter if it's more pages. You can fax comments in, you can email comments in, you can use the website to

provide comments. So this is key.

When we hit 7:00, or maybe a little bit before, we'll go into a little more formal meeting here. We'll do a welcome, we'll do the process of what we're going to do. There will be a short presentation on what the state is doing with the line, where it's going. And then we'll spend the bulk of the time taking your public comments.

And Janet here, who is writing now, is the key person for tonight. She is the one that's collecting all of the public comments. So she needs to hear, she needs to know what is going on. And I'll go through the process once we start at 7:00.

Any questions?

Okay. If there are some that come up, you can ask me and I'll try and get you to somebody who can get a response. Or I can -- if it's on process, I can handle the process questions.

Thank you. I'll probably do this one more time, for those of you who have been here for a little while.

Thank you. We're going to have this dance done by the time we're done with the 12 meetings here.

If folks would like to make a private

Janet, you can come up now. If you don't want to do it in front of a bunch of people, she will take your comment now, there's a chair there and she is set up and ready to go. I know there's a couple people that have already come up to do that. So please feel free to do that between now and 7:00.

Anything else I forgot?

COURT REPORTER: No.

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: All right.

Thanks.

(Break.)

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Don't worry, I'm not going to do my announcement again. I just wanted to state that, as the gentleman here is looking at his watch, unless we get a huge groundswell of people that want to come in at the last minute and sort of want to engage in the open house, I think we probably are going to start maybe about ten minutes early. So we want to honor everybody's time and have you get out of here in a reasonable time, we also want to honor the folks' time so they can comment.

Which gets into we need a comment card filled out. 'Cause this is what we will use to go

SHADDIX & ASSOCIATES (952)888-7687 (800)952-0163

1 through and call people up. So if you want to speak, we need to have you fill out the green card. 2 This isn't quite last call for those green cards, 3 4 but we are getting close to it. So if you are 5 interested in speaking or making a comment, please 6 fill out the green card. 7 I'll do the last call for questions, 8 though. Any other process questions? 9 Okay. Thanks, guys. 10 (Break.) 11 MR. JIM FRANCE: My name is Jim France, F-R-A-N-C-E. 12 13 And for future meetings, I'd like to see 14 where the other pipelines are, oil and natural gas, 15 in the proposed area. Not just what Enbridge has 16 got. 17 (End of private comments.) 18 (Break.) 19 MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: I will make one 20 final call that if anybody wants to come up and make 21 a comment to Janet to get your comment on the record 22 in a little more privacy, there's still going to be 23 probably five minutes to do that. 24 So thank you. 25 (Break.)

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: We'll do a last call for green cards. So if you do want to make public comments, please submit a green card. I'll take it and work it through the formal process, so you can go out there and hand it in.

And we'll start in about five minutes.
(Break.)

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: All right. Let's go ahead and get started.

Yeah, those of you that were here at 6:00, this is going to be a little bit of a repeat.

But my name is Charlie Petersen, I work for the State of Minnesota. I'm a management consultant and I've been contracted with by the Department of Commerce to facilitate and monitor these meetings. Actually, I will have another colleague working with me so we'll trade off between the 12 meetings.

The process from here on out is we're into the welcome piece at this point in time. I'll talk a little bit about the process that we will go through here tonight.

Jamie will do a presentation on the pipeline and the process and sort of what I call the we are here aspects. When you go to the Mall of

America, they've got the big map with the, you know, a little dot that we are here, that's sort of the process that she will go through at this point in time. And then we will open it up for public comment. We talked about the cards, we will work from those as they came in, we will take the cards in order.

With that -- let me push this back.

These are the ground rules that we've got for the meeting here tonight and will be the ground rules for all of the meetings that we go through.

The first ground rule, the key ground rule is basically mutual respect, courtesy, and patience. The meeting is so we may hear your thoughts, that's the key piece, so we may hear your thoughts on the alternative issues and analysis to be included in the environmental impact statement, or the EIS.

Please help maintain an atmosphere where everyone feels comfortable and welcome. Again, it goes back to hearing your thoughts on this. When someone is speaking at the microphone, please don't interrupt. That is their time to make their comments on the issues here for the environmental impact statement.

Please remain quiet so others and the court reporter can hear. If you do have

conversations, I may ask you to leave the room.

Before I go on to the next, this is

Janet. Janet is the court reporter. She is the
most important person in the room. She is the one
who's going to be collecting your comments. She
needs to hear. If she doesn't hear, she will give
me a dirty look, she will throw something at me, she
will yell at me. I don't like that. I don't want
to be yelled at. So I'll be looking to her, at
what's going on, she rules the aspect of what goes
on in this room because she is the one that has to
get the ideas down.

No display signs or banners. I don't see any, I'm not going to go through that.

When you're speaking, please refrain from addressing the audience. I don't know that that's a big issue, but, you know, how many people -- I've had people literally stand up and turn around and address the audience and that's not the focus here.

And we were just doing this a couple of minutes ago, please turn off your cell phones or put them on vibrate or something.

I think we have eight cards as far as

people that would want to speak. With that, we can easily get that in the time frame. So the time frame that we will use is five minutes for folks to be able to provide comment.

I've got an iPad here that has a timer on it. In all honesty, I've used this a couple of times, sometimes it works, sometimes it doesn't. I'll probably give you a two-minute and a one-minute sort of warning, and when it hits five minutes I will ask you to please finish your thought. You don't have to stop immediately, but just please finish your thought. And I would ask you to try and honor that five-minute time frame. Sort of capsulize your thoughts in that.

With that stated, there are multiple ways to provide comment into the process. There's a process here tonight at the public meeting. You know, the mail-in form. Again, it's the form that's in your yellow packet. On that form has the multiple ways to provide information in. There's an address that if you have more than just, you know, if it's more than just a sentence or additional documentation that you'd like to attach to that form, there's a place, and there's the address and person to mail it to, Jamie. You can fax comments

in and there's a fax number. Email comments in the email and you can also enter in through the website. So there's multiple ways to get the comments in besides this. This is not the only bite of the apple, at the apple, whichever is appropriate.

One piece. In all honesty, I'm not exactly certain how this is going to work, but because we only have eight cards here, if folks would like to speak twice, we're going to allow that. But we would request that you hold your thought until everyone has had a chance to speak and then you can come back and get a second bite. It's a little loosey-goosey, I get it, but that's kind of the way it's going to operate with that.

So we'll just, you know, hopefully we can get through everybody, see if anybody else wants to comment, and then sort of run it through that process. Again, a second time, the comments will still be limited to that five-minute time frame.

Janet, anything I forgot?

COURT REPORTER: No.

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Okay. She's the puppeteer, she controls what I do.

Jamie. There she is. I was going to turn it over to her and she snuck out of the room.

MS. JAMIE MACALISTER: Sorry about that.
We have some technical difficulties here. While
we're getting this --

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Okay. While they're working on that, let me just ask, does anybody have any questions so far as the process goes? We've gone through this a couple of times. We've got 12 meetings scheduled over the next, I think, two and a half weeks.

Again, the final -- this is something I didn't state but should, big letters -- the period closes on May 26th for comments in. This is the deadline. Anybody know if there's a time during the day, is it just end of business day? 4:30. Thank you. Perfect.

If there are questions that pop up, there's a series of agency staff here. They've been the ones that I think you've been talking to out in the entryway there, that may be a question we may, you know, defer to them to make a response back. Or if you do have a question during the process, grab one of them and you can ask it at that point in time. I may ask you to take the conversation out of the room with that.

Let me introduce Jamie MacAlister. She

is the Environmental Review Manager at the Department of Commerce. And Janet may be the most important person in the room tonight, but Jamie is a very, very close second. She's the one that's coordinating and putting all this together. She's the one that has to make sense of all of this once this comes through.

I think, again, I go back to sort of my image of, you know, we are here. She's going to sort of put it in the picture, explain the situation, explain the process that the State is going through headed up by the Department of Commerce and take a few questions of clarity maybe after her presentation.

Again, there's a fine line between a question of clarity and moving on to an opinion.

But if we've got time, we'll take a couple questions of clarity with that.

Anything else?

MS. JAMIE MACALISTER: No. But I need that.

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: You need a mic.

MS. JAMIE MACALISTER: Yes.

Good evening, everyone. Thank you for coming out. You've been very patient thus far.

So tonight you are here for the information and scoping meetings for the draft EIS for the Sandpiper Pipeline and the Line 3 replacement pipeline.

Hopefully, when you came in you all received a yellow folder. And there's some important information in this yellow folder. In addition to a copy of the PowerPoint that you are about to view, we have a comment form, which you will want to use or save.

I'll just go through this stuff. There's also some information on how to suggest an alternative to any of the routes that you've seen here tonight.

Sorry, I don't have a table up here that's really adequate.

We have the alternatives and the evaluation criteria for the alternatives. And we have the Appendix B from the draft scoping decision document to help guide some of our discussion this evening. And we have some maps here that show the alternatives and the routes that are currently on the table for the scoping process.

So the regulatory framework that we're going to be operating under now for the certificate

of need is Minnesota Statutes 216B. We have the routing and the pipelines, Minnesota Statutes 216G. And that the EIS for both of these projects, this is a combined CN and route project, and those will be prepared according to Minnesota Rules 4410. And as well, further on in this process there will be contested case hearings. This is not a hearing, this is an information and scoping meeting.

So what is the purpose of scoping this EIS? Well, this process is really designed for the public and agencies and other governmental agencies to give us information on these projects to help us identify issues and impacts to participate in the development of route and segment alternatives. And then all of these things get put into the final scope that will be used in the development of the EIS.

So as you may know, we've been working on these projects for a couple of years. And throughout that time frame we've heard that these are the issues that people really care about.

They're really concerned about spills and groundwater, surface water resources, wild rice, tribal issues, pipeline decommissioning, and not on this list, but I know that many folks are interested

in the economic aspect of developing these pipelines.

So we've been out here for a couple of years. We've had over 30 meetings. And we've had scoping meetings, we've been meeting with tribes, we've been meeting with government agencies, we've been meeting with the public, and we've gotten this draft scoping decision document that you all may have seen. And we're wondering, is there anything else out here that we have overlooked? We've done our best to capture the immense record that's been developed over the last couple of years and we're really here tonight to see if there are other issues and topics that we have overlooked and need to be considering as we move forward.

So I'll just give you a brief overview of where we are in the process. We have the EAW and the draft scoping decision document that's been prepared. We're here, as Charlie says, at our information and scoping meetings. We'll be preparing, once all these meetings are over, we'll be preparing a final scoping decision document that we will be submitting to the Public Utilities

Commission for them to approve. And once that final scope has been approved we will move into the EIS

preparation for these projects. And from that point we have 280 days to complete the draft environmental impact statement.

We will also have draft EIS meetings.

There will be a final EIS, a determination of adequacy, and after all of that has been determined the project will move into the contested case portion of the process.

So the constant here is that we have this EIS and we have these permitting decisions. And it can be really confusing to know how all of the agencies and other participants are involved here.

So feeding into the EIS, we have government, we have the tribes, we have the public, we have Department of Commerce that will be taking all this information and feeding it into the EIS. We have all of our sister agencies that you may have spoken with during the informal portion of this meeting. And everyone is putting this information and going into the EIS -- oops. The EIS informs the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission and they in turn make the permitting decision.

So I just want to quickly talk about the routes that have been proposed to date and the system alternatives. Currently, there are -- all of

the system alternatives that were proposed during the Sandpiper Pipeline proceedings are included here for evaluation so you can see them on this map.

There should also be a copy of that map in your folder.

And then, as well, all of the route alternatives that were proposed during the Sandpiper route permit proceedings. Now, Line 3 is somewhat unusual in that regard because that process never really got underway, it's been folded into this process that we're into.

Just quickly, what we anticipate the schedule to be. We don't expect there to be a draft EIS until early next spring, a final EIS later in the spring, and an EIS adequacy determination sometime in early summer, midsummer of next year. But the contested case hearings in the summer of 2017, and possibly a route permit decision in the fall of 2017. So this process, once it gets underway, it will move somewhat quickly.

So submitting your comment is important to us not only this evening, but as we go through the 45-day comment period. All of your comments that you provide us verbally tonight will be captured by Janet. You can also submit your comment

1 form here tonight if you prefer to give us written comments. You can also email them to this web 2 address or you can mail or fax them as you see fit. 3 4 As long as we receive them by May 26th, we're good 5 to go. So, with that, I would like to open this 6 up to your formal comments and I will hand it over 7 to Charlie. 8 MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: 9 Okay. Will you 10 take a couple questions of clarity? 11 MS. JAMIE MACALISTER: Yes. MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Okay. 12 Questions 13 of clarity? 14 UNIDENTIFIED: You talk about meeting 15 with tribes. I don't see it in your list here of the processes. Where would that be located at? 16 17 MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Just a second. 18 The question was the meeting with tribes and where 19 that's at within the process. Thank you. 20 MS. JAMIE MACALISTER: We have held 21 technical tribal meetings with four of the tribes 22 already, and we had a formal consultation meeting 23 with Mille Lacs last fall, last winter. So that 24 will be ongoing. I should say that, you know, as we

move forward, that consultation and that -- the

technical meetings that we've been having will be ongoing until the draft is prepared.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Any other questions of clarity? Going once? Going twice? This usually gets a hand up. Nobody? Anything? Okay. Let's go to the public comment piece.

Again, just, I guess, three areas for you to sort of think about as we get set for the public Basically, what human -- let's try this again. What human and environmental impacts of the proposed pipeline should be studied in the environmental impact statement? Are there any specific methods to address to either avoid, minimize or mitigate these impacts that should be studied? And in looking at alternative routes, we have a collection of criteria, are there any additional criteria that should be considered? So those are just some things to think about as we get going here.

Again, just to reiterate, the main focus is to hear your thoughts on the alternatives, issues, and analysis. We're here to listen. We've got the sign-up to go. I'll do the old baseball, who's up, who's on deck, who's in the hole so you

1 can be prepared to do that. 2 Again, we'll hit it again, a number of ways to comment. Five minutes will be the time 3 4 frame. 5 I'm going to try this. Is that going to be in your way? 6 COURT REPORTER: 7 No. 8 MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Okay. Again, I've 9 got the timer here. I will give you a two-minute 10 warning and I will give you a one-minute warning and 11 then ask you to complete your thought when the chime 12 goes off. I've set the chime fairly soft, I think. 13 The first person up is Steve Schulstrom. 14 So you're up. Curtis DeYoung is on deck, and in the 15 hole is David LaBorde. 16 MR. DAVID LABORDE: LaBorde. 17 MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Thank you. 18 So Steve is the first person up. 19 MS. JAMIE MACALISTER: Steve is going to 20 be referring to these maps and he will leave them, I 21 think, with us. 22 MR. STEVE SCHULSTROM: Yeah, I better. 23 MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Jamie was talking 24 about that Steve will be referring to the maps. 25 That's what's going up now.

1 MR. STEVE SCHULSTROM: Can you see this at all? 2 Okay. 3 MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Ready to go? MR. STEVE SCHULSTROM: 4 Yep. 5 MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: All right. MR. STEVE SCHULSTROM: My name is Steve 6 7 Schulstrom, I represent Carlton County Land S-C-H-U-L-S-T-R-0-M. 8 Stewards. 9 First, I want to say that Carlton County 10 Land Stewards is not anti-pipeline, we're anti put 11 the pipeline in a stupid place. 12 We're here today to talk about how to do 13 something that's very difficult for humans to do. 14 We are going to go against our natural 15 tendency to make things easier, to look at fewer 16 possibilities, to winnow. We are going to expand 17 our possibilities, not narrow them prematurely. We 18 have agreed to complicate our lives because this is 19 an important undertaking. 20 When pipelines were first built in 21 northern Minnesota, the technology that we have 22 today did not exist. A pipeline built today is 23 vastly safer than one built 50 years ago. 24 technology has changed. The technology to determine 25 where a pipeline should be built has also changed.

We no longer need to be content with a simple census listing of how many things will be destroyed. We can employ modern GIS technology to find less impactful routes. Carlton County Land Stewards hired Applied Ecological Services, a company that worked with Enbridge on the Kalamazoo oil spill. They looked at how one could approach the process of determining where a pipeline could be placed.

We used GIS to look at several important parameters. Soil conductivity, slope flow analysis and forest block fragmentation. There are many other parameters one could look at, we identified 16.

I brought this one example of how a single parameter analysis, in this case forest fragmentation, will appear in map form. I'm going to leave this up so people can actually see it later 'cause it's got some detail to it.

The other thing I need to tell you is Carlton County Land Stewards is not endorsing any of these system alternatives as being better than any other. All of these system alternative routes are along existing pipelines except for one. And this is an illustrative example of what can be done if one wishes to do so.

I've got that the NDPC would be the preferred route up there. This one right here. All of the other ones go south. That's fine. Red would indicate a greater forest fragmentation. This will happen when -- if there's no oil spill ever, if you're going to put a pipeline someplace you're going to fragment the forest. And down south it's a little bit different.

All right. Next map. The entire pipeline from start to finish, that simple statement is actually one of the more contentious points of this whole process. Enbridge says that the oil needs to go to Superior before it goes elsewhere. I accept this as desired by the company in the same way it's desired by American Airlines that folks traveling to the East Coast from Minneapolis need to go to Dallas first. The big difference is American Airlines is not asking for the power of eminent domain in their business model.

Also, if you look, the environment, and you're doing an environmental analysis, it doesn't end at -- begin at the North Dakota border, you've got Minnesota and North Dakota at the same -- at the border there and you should look at the whole system.

So we're here to make our jobs more difficult. We're here to look at the possibilities of things. We're here to add to our workload and we're here to examine possibilities that may be difficult to correct. And we're doing this because these pipelines will be here for a very long time.

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Okay. Thank you very much.

Just two quick process pieces. Janet is asking for the written piece. I want to say, if it looks like somebody is reading from something, this is a way, for lack of a better term, it's a belt and suspenders coverage, but at least we can get that written information that may have more and she may ask you as you come up and read from something to do that.

Secondly, and Jamie, I'll let you make the call. She gets a break part way through this. She can't type forever. So I think it's about 45 minutes from now, if I'm doing rough math, we'll sort of watch and see where we're at with our timing, she gets a 15-minute break. If we still have a couple to go, we'll take that 15-minute break and then come back. So thank you for your patience on this.

Curtis DeYoung, Doug [sic] -- was it
LaBorde? And Phillip Wallace.
So, Curtis.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CURTIS DEYOUNG: Thank you.

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Are you ready?

 $\label{eq:mr.curtis} \mbox{MR. CURTIS DEYOUNG: Yes. My name is} \\ \mbox{Curtis DeYoung, C-U-R-T-I-S, D-E-Y-O-U-N-G.}$

I'm a laborer with 563 from Minneapolis, Minnesota. I've worked on a few different pipelines. One in 2008 from Park Rapids down to Lakeville, Minnesota. I've also worked on the environmental crew. This is more of an economic impact. I know a lot of people complain and say that union members come in, make the money, leave. It's just not -- it's not so. We've got operators, laborers, Teamsters, and the welders and, also, if you include steel workers that are part of this process, that would make a difference. They have to stay somewhere. I've been on the road over in North Dakota and also in South Dakota on two different pipelines. We have to live and survive. So there is money that just doesn't go in and come and go.

I've been supportive of the pipeline. I think it's good for the state of Minnesota. I know there's other people that don't think it's worth it

1 at all.

When you're on the right-of-way, there's plenty of inspectors that look over -- look at us. The MPCA has been out when I've been on the right-of-way for working on horizontal directional drill. I'm on the environmental crew so they do show up. Some people seem to think they don't, but they do.

So like I say, I'm in support of it. So that's all I got to say.

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Okay, great.

Thank you very much for your comments.

All right. The next person up is David, and Phillip Wallace, and Terry Langley.

So, David, thank you. Ready?

MR. DAVID LABORDE: Good evening. My name is David LaBorde, L-A-B-O-R-D-E. And I'm here today as a Teamsters' National Pipeline Director.

I grew up in Crow Wing County on a small farm where my mom and dad still reside today, and I live in northwestern Wisconsin right off an Enbridge right-of-way. Enbridge is a neighbor of mine. I can tell you unequivocally that they build the best and the safest pipelines that we have in this country today.

I'm not going to speak for my sister unions that are sitting here behind me, but I can tell you that we have all -- all of the crafts out there have the most state-of-the-art training facilities that we mandate that our members go through to make sure that we build quality and safe

pipelines.

The economic impacts that these pipelines bring to our communities are phenomenal. One of the jobs that the Teamsters do out on the pipeline is that we have to purchase all the goods and consumables that we need to build a pipeline. We spend hundreds of thousands of dollars in our local communities, spending money on everything from gravel, to lumber, to the auto shops, to the restaurants, to the hotels where we have to live and stay.

We have approximately 65,000 Teamsters in Joint Council 32 which is headquartered out of Minneapolis, Minnesota, that covers North Dakota and Minnesota and South Dakota. Our members rely on these jobs to make sure that we have a good family living, that we have affordable health care, and that we have a retirement system that we can retire with dignity after 30 years of service.

1 We are sitting here today to implore you guys, we've been messing around with this system for 2 a long time, it's time for us to get to work. 3 4 this day and age with energy independence right at 5 our fingertips, we don't want to sit here and keep having a dependence on foreign oil. I've listened 6 to a lot of comments over the last several years and 7 8 while I respect everybody's opinion, when we bring oil in from overseas, that the carbon content is 9 drastically higher and it has more pollutants that 10 11 we are putting into our system when we can create 12 good-paying jobs with domestic sweet crude right 13 here at home and I think it's time that we do that. Thank you. 14 15 MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Thank you very 16 much. Anything, Janet? 17 COURT REPORTER: No. 18 MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: All right. Thank 19 you, I appreciate it. 20 The next person up is Phillip Wallace, 21 Terry Langley, and then Dan Olson. 22 MR. PHILLIP WALLACE: My name is Phillip Wallace, that's W-A-L-L-A-C-E. 23 24 And I'm here tonight in support of this 25 pipeline project. You know, we've been working on

trying to get this North Dakota crude to the marketplace for two and a half years now. And, you know, this crude will be moved. And we want to protect the environment. You know, we -- I believe -- I've been doing this for 40 years now, and times in this industry has changed, and thanks to PHMSA and DOT that we do have, you know, strenuous and better regulations to build these pipelines.

You know, out with the old. You know, the Line 3 has been there too long. And it's operating safely right now, but, you know, we need to replace this. And, you know, there was a lot of talk in the other meetings about, you know, the decommissioning of the old line. You know, this line, it's served its purpose. Decommissioning was a big issue with a lot of the people that gave comments at the other meetings. And I don't think they really understood, you know, how we do that. I've worked on many, many pipelines that we took out of service. And these things, you know, they're cleaned and they're purged, taking all of the product out, all of the explosives.

You know, the environment, you know, is very important. And, you know, today 's

construction rules that we have to follow is very strenuous. And, you know, I'm glad of that, I'm proud of that. You know, I want my grandchildren to have a clean earth. But, you know, we can't, not this country or this world can't function without energy. You know, it's not just -- it's not just crude, natural gas. You know, we've got to have it to survive. It would be real nice, you know, to not need crude, but we need this crude.

And, you know, we need to get it transported the safest way. You know, this crude will be transported by rail or by truck, and that is by far the most dangerous way of transporting from point A to point B.

And, you know, I don't quite understand why, the certificate of need was already approved and issued on the Sandpiper, but it was -- I didn't understand why it was pulled. And, you know, and I didn't understand more about the environmental impact statement. And I think I caught it a few minutes ago about the scheduling.

When does the 280-day clock start? Does that start after the EIS is in place? I think that's what the plan is. So that's going to be at least another year. We've already been working, you

1 know, two and a half years to try to get a brand-new pipeline laid and so now we'll add another year to 2 it. 3 But, you know, that's about all I've got 4 5 Just, you know, this is -- this country needs this pipeline. And it needs to be built the 6 7 safest. Safety is number one. Not just to the workers, but to the public. 8 MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: One minute left. 9 10 MR. PHILLIP WALLACE: And I'm here in 11 full support of this pipeline and thank you very 12 much. 13 MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Thank you very 14 much for your comments. 15 Terry Langley, Dan Olson, and then is it 16 Ailene Croup? A-I-L-E-N-E? 17 MS. AILENE CROUP: Croup. 18 MR. CHARLIE PETERSON: Okay, thank you. 19 Excuse me, thank you. 20 Terry. 21 MR. TERRY LANGLEY: Good evening. Thank 22 you for letting me have an opportunity to speak. 23 My name is Terry Langley, L-A-N-G-L-E-Y. 24 I'm a representative of Pipeliners Local Union 798. 25 I'm also here to speak in support of the

pipeline. You know, all over the country where we go, we listen to these people, the politicians mainly, talking about what we need in this country is jobs, jobs, jobs. Well, we do. We need jobs. And we have a good company, a reputable company that is wanting to build a safe pipeline, environmentally sound, and it will create a lot of jobs. But we are being held up. And I think that it's time to grant the permits and let's get this pipeline on the road. So I'm in support of it.

Thank you.

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Thank you very much. I appreciate it.

Dan Olson is next up, all right. Then Ailene, and then Sherrill, Sherrill Wilds.

MR. DAN OLSON: Good evening. My name is Dan Olson, O-L-S-O-N. I am the International representative for the Laborers International Union in North America, representing men and women in the workforce in Minnesota and North Dakota.

I sit before you in total support of this pipeline, the proposed route. Looking at some of the documents that have been put in front of me for over two years are some and the same that I've looked at two years ago. The process is under

various scrutinized eyes with all the departments that are looking at these projects.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The economic impact to this pipeline coming from North Dakota to Superior, Wisconsin is -- it's very large, as to all the cities, all the When Mr. LaBorde talked about the money that's been spent where we live, where we buy our materials and stuff, a dollar spent on a project is turned over seven times. So that could be equated into the 616-mile route and figure out where the economic impact is to all those communities.

I live in Superior, Wisconsin, that's where the Enbridge terminal is, and in the city of Superior itself, and Duluth, Minnesota, Enbridge is one of the largest employers in the region.

A couple of the other things that are very important for people to understand is the safety that we put forward. The people that we train to work on these pipelines. The amount of time that's spent on the environmental impacts as well. So that people in our industry are very cognizant of what we should be doing to protect the environment. We all have the same interests in mind at the end of the day.

This week marks Workers' Memorial Week,

with Wednesday, April 28th, as being a nationally recognized holiday. We go through the process of accidents and injuries and we go through a very stringent process of making sure that we don't have accidents, deaths, injuries. So our industry, the Laborers Union, the Operating Engineers, the Teamsters, and the Welders all have safety precautions in their training, in our unions, and we bring forth the best skilled force that is possibly available to work on these projects.

The route that is proposed is on a right-of-way, whether it's an oil right-of-way, a natural gas line right-of-way or transmission line right-of-ways. These would provide the safest route for that pipeline as they are contained in a right-of-way already, the less impact of, oh, untouched lands and rivers and lakes would be the most common and the most best-used principles for this pipeline.

So I stand here in front of you in total support of this project. Thank you.

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Thank you very much for your comments.

Next up is Ailene.

MS. AILENE CROUP: I'm going to

relinquish my comment period time to the next person.

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Sherrill Wilds is the next person here. Thank you. Ready?

MS. SHERRILL WILDS: Good evening. My name is Sherrill Wilds, S-H-E-R-R-I-L-L, W-I-L-D-S. I am a pipeliner. Actually, I like to say I'm a pipeliner with eyeliner. It's my career, it's my lifestyle. I've been doing it for over 20-some years now. I travel throughout the country. I'm at a Local 66. I'm an operating engineer. Local 66 is in Pennsylvania. I am what you call a professional pipeliner.

Why I'm out in this area right now is I am a guest instructor for the national pipeline training. We have 178 classes that are taught by professional pipeliners. We teach everything from safety to the environmental, to well trained people. I actually teach the engineering and the bending. And I can tell you that our impact as professional pipeliners make it as safe as any way of transporting that pipeline.

I'm also a grandmother of seven grandchildren. And I had a pipeline that come through my farm, my area, and I have no problems

allowing my grandchildren or my family and friends to be able to be on that. I know it's the safest.

What I teach is the safety. We have ways and equipment of doing things to protect the integrity and the coating of that pipe. We have ways of putting that in the ground to be able to do that.

Dan spoke very well. And everything that he says, I am -- it was incredible, he's an incredible speaker. Everyone here from the unions, we are all well-trained people doing our job. When we come into an area, again, like Curtis says, we live in that area. I need a place to put my travel trailer. I shop. I eat. I am going to help the economy. I buy local when I'm in the area. It's my home away from home.

I love being a pipeliner. I'm usually in an area three to six months, eight months at a time. And you get to know the area, the history. A lot of good people. I've met a lot of good people. And I get to know all the good back roads and the wildlife. It's incredible what this country has.

We need this pipeline. We need this oil.

There's over 6,000 petroleum products that we use
every day in our life. Toothbrushes. All the

plastic. Combs. Heart valves. I have a list, a partial list of over 6,000 products that we need this that impacts our everyday life.

Everybody talks about the vehicles, the fuel. It's only a partial, less than half a barrel of oil, a 42-gallon barrel goes towards gasoline. Everything else goes to everyday items that we use every day.

I would like to thank you. I am in support of this pipeline. It is my lifestyle. And I would like to let you know that we do train in our crafts how to do it safely, how to take care of it, and how to take care of our environment. Thank you.

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Thank you very much for your comments.

Everyone that had signed a card has had an opportunity to speak. Just a second. Is there anyone else who would like to speak? The gentleman here. Anyone else? I will give -- I apologize, is it Ailene? Okay. I'll give you the first chance because you had a chance before. Are you going to pass? Okay. I'll put it down. Anybody else?

MR. JOHN MUNTER: I actually turned my card in I think first and it never got transferred there somehow.

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: I apologize. I don't see it.

Come forward. It'll still be the same process, five minutes. Go through the same piece, just one last call for anybody else? We'll still have an opportunity 'cause we have time.

Anything, Janet? You're ready to go? Thank you.

MR. JOHN MUNTER: My name is John Munter, M-U-N-T-E-R. I live in Warba, Minnesota, and I have a bachelor's degree in philosophy from the University of Minnesota - Duluth and a master's divinity degree from the University of Dubuque Theological Seminary. And I've also worked for the Laborers International Union of North America, and also I am a member.

And I have some real concerns about this pipeline. I've talked extensively with Jamie MacAlister earlier, I appreciate her comments, and with Scott Ek and with the PCA and the DNR. And so my comments are maybe short, depending on how far I can get on my slim notes here. But basically the pipeline we're -- the pipelines we're talking about are a segment of the project in Minnesota. They come into Minnesota and they depart from Minnesota.

__

And the Minnesota environmental -- MEPA, the Act, is a general umbrella that works with these pipelines. And you need to ascertain the overall picture of the pipelines, the ecosystems, regardless of state boundaries with that. And so the EIS will do some of that working with North Dakota and with the EIS in Wisconsin.

My concern is we don't have enough information yet about this pipeline, in terms of establishing the public purpose of it, because we don't know where the oil is going. Jamie MacAlister assures me that we'll know at some point when Enbridge provides us the information of where the oil is going, whether it's going to the Gulf or whether it's going to refineries in Joliet or whether it's going out Lake Superior. But as yet we don't know. And so therefore we don't really have a good understanding of what routings are possible or applicable here, in terms of that, whether the routings around Minnesota would be possible or applicable.

Because if we don't know where the oil is going, we don't know what the public purpose is. Is it for export? Are we exporting this oil benefiting the world, or is it for the local area? And the

public purpose is defined as this area, apparently it's not just Minnesota, but it's -- I don't remember the term of it, but it's the upper Midwest states have this oil pact. And so anything that provides oil to, say, Joliet, Illinois, for example, or somewhere else near would be included in the public purpose that could be used to make these pipelines. But we don't know what percentage might be going to Joliet. And if 50 percent is going to the Gulf, or 80 percent is being exported, is that a public purpose that we should be using eminent domain to take Minnesota farms and land for this purpose, for export of oil or for local. don't yet know what the public purpose is and therefore we don't know if eminent domain is applicable and an appropriate thing to use.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I might end my comments here and be interested in a second round in a minute or two. I just might want to add, Jamie, though, that the interest that people have, what I heard in comments last year and it wasn't listed in your list of criteria, was global climate change. I think I heard a lot of comments myself I know about climate change. I think that should be added, especially with the changing climate situation we have and data

that are coming in.

So I'll end right here with that. Thank you.

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Thank you very much for your comments.

Final call? Anyone who would like to make a comment? Going once? Going twice?

Okay. Jamie, where are we at as far as next steps on this? What do we do from here and where do the comments go from here?

MS. JAMIE MACALISTER: First I would like to answer a couple of questions that I heard earlier.

One is regarding when the 280 days will begin. And that 280-day clock will start once the Commission approves a final scope and an EIS preparation notice is issued. That would be the official start of the 280 days. And at this point we are anticipating that that might happen sometime towards the end of August. It will depend on how quickly this process can move forward between now and then.

And the other question I wanted to answer is about the greenhouse gases. And that is on our list here. Climate change is on your Appendix B.

And let me just see where that is. That's under E, climate change.

MR. JOHN MUNTER: Okay.

MS. JAMIE MACALISTER: It is in the outline for study. It was among the top, I would agree, ten things we heard. It didn't quite make that cut.

But in terms of where we go from here, the comment period closes on May 26th. Once that comment period closes, we will be developing a comment summary report that will summarize all of the comments that we've heard. It will tell us if there are any new route or segment alternatives that have been proposed and that will be included in the summary report. And a proposed final scope will be developed and submitted to the Commission. And that will depend somewhat on the number and the nature of the comments that we receive during the comment period. If we receive a lot of comments it may take us a little longer to process those, but that's the general schedule.

As far as for the rest of this evening, we will be here to answer any questions. Janet will be here to take comments, if there are any additional comments. You can submit your comments

1 to us by your comment form this evening as well, if 2 you'd like. 3 And with that, is there anyone else 4 that -- yes. 5 UNIDENTIFIED: Will those comments be 6 posted somewhere? 7 MS. JAMIE MACALISTER: Yes, they will. The comments and the comment summary report will all 8 be available on eDockets as well as our website. 9 10 MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Anything else? 11 Any other comments, briefly. 12 MR. JOHN MUNTER: Well, I just thought I 13 had requested about doing a round two. Did I miss 14 that? 15 MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Yes. When you 16 commented it was technically the round two. And I 17 asked for additional comments of anyone that would 18 like to comment. 19 MR. JOHN MUNTER: Well, that was actually 20 round one for me, because I submitted my card 21 earlier and somehow you lost the card or something. 22 MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: I apologize on the 23 card. It was not up here. I think we've gone 24 through the aspect of hearing comments.

Do you want to open it up for a second

round?

MS. JAMIE MACALISTER: Yep.

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: I apologize again,
I apologize on the card aspect. I have no idea
where that's at. We'll get you a microphone and get
you going. Thank you. Are you ready?

MR. JOHN MUNTER: Yes. I appreciate that.

And I have a couple of scattered comments here, in terms of the Line 3 pipe should be dug up and should be dug up because there's 900 anomalies on that pipe. And we don't know how many leaks are going into the groundwater in various places and that type of thing. So it's not just the pipe itself, but what has already happened in that pipe and what's being put in the groundwater. So unless Enbridge digs it up we'll never find out what is going on around the pipe. It should be cleaned up before they end their business there.

And nextly, the no option should be seriously considered for this pipeline. For one, the problem of methane is really serious in the Bakken. A satellite that went over a couple years

ago found a 10 percent methane release from the Bakken area, as well as like 9 percent over Texas. And the equivalent of coal, you'd have to have a methane release of 3.2 percent methane. actually the oil coming from the Bakken is worse than coal, in terms of its greenhouse gas emissions.

And we also need to seriously look at the economics here. Is Enbridge only putting in these pipelines for the future? If the oil is not making a difference now for price, five years from now do they want to be competing with wind and solar? so to me that is a question that goes to the public Is that a public purpose or is that a private purpose? And we need to divide out the public purpose from the private purpose, and what we want versus what Enbridge wants, and that goes for the routing of this going through Superior as well here.

Well, I guess I'll end there. Ι appreciate your indulgence.

MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: Thank you very much for your additional comments.

Anyone else?

Okav. Jamie, any additional thoughts or comments?

SHADDIX & ASSOCIATES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 MS. JAMIE MACALISTER: No. Nothing else, other than we're here to answer questions if you 2 3 have any further questions or anything else you'd like to discuss with us. We'll be here until 9:00. 4 MR. CHARLIE PETERSEN: And we've gone 5 through this. Again, there are multiple ways to 6 7 provide your comments in the process here, but basically on that comment sheet. So those are the 8 9 things to have. 10 Thank you very much for taking the time out of your busy evenings to come and comment here. 11 12 Thanks. Goodbye. 13 (Meeting adjourned at 7:51 p.m.) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25