



Meeting Minutes: Community Supervision Advisory Committee

Meeting Date: July 22nd, 2026

Hybrid Meeting: MN Department of Corrections and via Webex

Members attending in person (6): Molly Bruner, Carter Diers, Kami Genz, Corey Kohan, Kelly Mitchell, Kurt Mortenson.

Members attending online (12): Ron Antony, Julie Atella, Jessica Fralich, Machelles Frisbie, Kevin Glass, Nicholas Henderson, Don Lannoye, Rebecca Muskat, Jon Priem, Rhonda VanSchoonhoven, Jennifer Verdeja, Barb Weckmann-Brekke.

Members Absent (5): Kim Britt, Amber Brown, Marlin Meszaros, Toby Stephens, Layne Sutherland.

Also present: Andrea Bogi (Evident Change), Sarah Convington (Evident Change), Don Klick (DOC), Tessa Nelson (Risk & Needs WG).

1. Call to Order & Roll Call

Co-Chair Kevin Glass called the meeting to order at 9:30 am. Roll call was taken.

2. Approval of Draft Meeting Agenda and Draft Meeting Minutes from April 22nd, 2026 (Action)

Motion to approve: Kurt Mortenson

Motion 2nd: Nicholas Henderson

Motion carried 13-0 (5 Board Members Abstained – Ron Antony, Julie Atella, Machelles Frisbie, Rebecca Muskat, and Jennifer Verdeja)

3. Risk & Needs Policy (Discussion)

- Issue: The Risk and Needs Policy became effective July 1st and requires prescreening on all targeted misdemeanors. Misdemeanor DWI cases in many jurisdictions do not have automated pre-screeners and Manual LSIR-SV will have significant cost and staffing burdens.
 - Concerns: The fiscal impact on counties, staffing capacity to complete interviews, and transfer policy confusion.
 - The first draft of pre-screener is expected around October 2026, but there will still be months of work before implementation.
 - Committee Direction:
 - Short-Term. Counties may outline in the Comprehensive Plans how they will transition toward compliance once the pre-screener is available.

- Long-Term. Revisit targeted misdemeanor DWI screening policy during the next revision cycle if automation is still not available.
- LS/CMI Validation
- Dr. Hamilton's statewide validation found LS/CMI performance weaker than expected and that it was not a viable long-term tool.
- The recommendation was discussed for the development of a Minnesota-Specific Risk and Needs Tool.
 - Requires:
 - Visioning sessions with all three delivery systems.
 - Input from researchers, data experts, and people with lived experience.
 - Clear articulation of Minnesota values (transparency, fairness, cultural relevance).
 - Next steps:
 - Create a small drafting group (CSAC Chairs, Carter Diers, Rebecca Muskat, Corey Kohan, and Julie Atella) to create RFP for a facilitator/tool developer.
 - Consider two-state RFP
 - Stage 1: Facilitate Statewide Visioning.
 - Stage 2: Build the Assessment Tool.
 - Risk & Needs WG to begin outlining essential tool components.
 - Draft RFP for CSAC review by September CSAC meeting.
- Interim Actions
 - Agencies may adopt recalibrated cut points now.
 - Must be adopted by July 1, 2027.
 - Several counties requested reviewing their own validation datasets first.
 - Delivery Systems will discuss and return feedback to CSAC.
- Discussed integration of MNCOR/MNSTAR 3.0 risk scores for ISR and supervised release planning.

4. Workload Study Update (Discussion)

- Overview
- Analysis of collected data is underway.
 - Examining funding formula (based, population counts, capitated rate)
 - Administrative cost survey distributed statewide
 - Considering multi-year population averaging to avoid penalizing early discharge
- Final Analysis is due Fall 2026.
- CSAC will need to review and recommend funding formula adjustments.

5. Deliverables Updates / Sequencing of CSAC Deliverables (Discussion)

- Kelly Mitchell presented an updated timeline and sequencing map covering all deliverables.
- Key Items:
 - Complete adult supervision standards.
 - Next step is juvenile supervision standards (earliest start January 2027).
 - Supervision conditions reform possible with judges, prosecutors, and defense attorneys on committee.
 - Need performance metrics for each CSAC policy.
 - Need to resolve statutory reporting requirements after CSTS data lake work is completed.

- Workload study integration will drive funding recommendations.

6. Other Business (Discussion)

- CSAC/Commissioner Relationship
 - CSAC needs a defined, ongoing advisory relationship with the Commissioner of Corrections.
 - Policies vetted in CSAC should go directly to the DOC Executive Council for approval.
 - Non-policy recommendations (e.g., legislative impacts) should flow through the Commissioner of Corrections.
 - CSAC Chairs and DOC will draft proposed bylaws for committee review.

7. Public Comment

There was no public comment.

8. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 12:04.