


AL licensing survey measures development roadmap



Review of resident health measure feedback

- Feedback was collected through:
 - March Advisory Group meeting (n=7)
 - Drop-in meetings (n=4)
 - Staffing measure feedback form (n=4)
- Feedback ranged from requests that all tags (n=40) be included to requests that a limited number (n=13 to 36) of tags be included.
- DHS will consider this feedback on licensing survey measures and will update the AG on our decisions in the coming months.



Assisted Living Report Card

Tetyana Shippee, PhD
Professor
Division of Health Policy & Management
School of Public Health
tshippee@umn.edu

Tricia Skarphol, MA
Project Manager
Division of Health Policy & Management
School of Public Health
ande3698@umn.edu

Agenda


- Safety overview
 - Review of what makes up this domain
 - Discussion of which tags belong and which tags do not belong in this measure
- Preliminary analyses of investigations data and maltreatment findings.

Safety sub-domain review


Domain	Sub-Domains	Indicators
Safety	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Resident empowerment opportunities/perceived safety• Accountability and continuous quality improvement• Policies around resident safety• Elder abuse• Safety culture	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Regulatory compliance• Citations• Substantiated complaints• Safety culture indicators



Mapping tags to the safety domain domain: measurement development




Safety domain mapped tags overview



Caption text

Safety scope & severity data: first 150 surveys




D=isolated, E=pattern, F=widespread

From the first 150 surveys (September, 2021- May, 2022)

Safety scope and severity data: all surveys to April 2023

Safety Domain: Number of Tags Issued by Scope/Severity



D=isolated, E=pattern, F=widespread

August 2021 - April 2023

Literature review Safety subdomains

Found in tags:

- Policies around resident safety
- Elder abuse
- Safety culture

Possibly found in tags:

- Accountability and continuous quality improvement
- Resident empowerment opportunities/perceived safety

Large Group Discussion

- Question 1:
 - Which tags do belong in this measure? Why?
- Question 2:
 - Which tags don't belong in this measure? Why?
 - **Please place a post-it note or comment on the Jamboard or give your comment to the facilitator to post**



Introduction to investigations data


Using investigations data for quality measures

- DHS has asked the UMN to explore how MDH's investigations data may be used for quality measures on the AL Report Card.
 - We will only include **substantiated maltreatment findings** where the **facility** is found to be **fully or partially responsible**.
- DHS is currently considering whether to include investigations data in the safety measure or use this data to build a separate measure.


Investigations data

- Include in today's presentation:
 - Only looking at substantiated maltreatment findings
 - Assess correlations between facility characteristics and maltreatment findings
 - Examine the correlation between maltreatment findings and survey deficiencies for staffing
- Look back period
 - 18 months for this analysis
 - Future look back period is yet to be determined


Percentage of total licensed facilities by Facility Size; Percentage of maltreatment findings by Facility Size




Percentage of total licensed facilities by Ownership; Percentage of maltreatment findings by Ownership




Percentage of total licensed facilities by Geography; Percentage of maltreatment findings by Geography



Percentage of total licensed facilities by Dementia Care; Percentage of maltreatment findings by Dementia Care



Staffing scope and severity tags for ALFs with maltreatment findings vs ALFs without findings



D=isolated, E=pattern, F=widespread

Mean staffing tag deficiency score with and without maltreatment findings

Findings	Mean (95%CI)	P-Value
No findings	11.24 (10.70,11.78)	p=0.164
With findings	14.33 (9.94, 18.72)	p=0.164

- Mean staffing tag deficiency score was somewhat higher in facilities with maltreatment findings.
- The difference was not statistically significant.
- Future work will analyze correlations of safety and resident health outcomes tags with maltreatment findings data.

Large Group Discussion

- What are your overall reactions to the investigations data findings?
- **Please place a post-it note or comment on the Jamboard or give your comment to the facilitator to post**

Questions & Discussion

Top discussion topics for each question- review the Jamboard



Next steps and Q&A

Next steps for the Advisory Group

- Today's meeting slides and notes will be posted to the project webpage:
www.mn.gov/dhs/assisted-living-report-card
- Two drop-in feedback sessions have been scheduled on July 11 & 14.
- Our next meeting is August 22, 10:30am-12pm. Topics will include:
 - UMN updates on licensing survey measure development.
 - Vital Research's findings from 2022-2023 data collection.
 - Planning for Assisted Living Report Card website launch.



Questions?

Lauren Glass

Lauren.Glass@state.mn.us

651.431.3672