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Who is CostQuest?

CostQuest Associates
- Cincinnati – Seattle
- Formed in 1999
- Noted economic broadband modeling company
- Noted as an independent expert in understanding communication economics
  - Federal Support Efforts
    - Underlying models for the FCC’s National Broadband Plan and the new Connect America Fund
      - Similar to models used for city analysis
      - Development and use of unique data sets
  - Industry Support Efforts
    - Models that capture the economics of network/broadband deployment
      - Tied to our deep insight into costs and engineering
  - State Support Efforts
    - Underlying models and geospatial support to understand the cost and extent of broadband deployment
  - City Support Efforts
    - Similar to our recent efforts to support the city of San Francisco, our modeling captures the economics of broadband deployment
Similar Work

- State of New York
  - New NY Broadband Program
  - Two phases complete, $500 Billion budget
- FCC
  - National Broadband Plan (2010-2011)
  - Connect America Cost Model - Distributes funding to support voice and broadband services
- NTIA
  - State Broadband Initiative
- Private Clients
  - Wireless, cable, telcos and investment firms
Our Approach
A cost model is a computerized application designed to estimate the forward looking cost of deploying telecommunication or data services.

- Forward looking isn’t as it is now but how you would build in the most efficient manner possible, today.

- The cost model doesn’t provide the exact answer, but it is an estimate that allows varying key drivers. It allows what-if analysis.
  - Technology choices: Fiber to the Premise, xDSL, LTE, 5G
  - Service or competitive presence
  - Engineering choices: Bandwidth per subscriber, minutes of use
  - Cost of Materials
  - Operational Expenses
What questions have we analyzed?

- The role of mixed modes of technology
- The most ‘efficient’ deployment scenario by demand location
- The role of wireless in last mile in rural applications
- What is the cost for the service?
New NY Broadband Program

State of New York

• $500 Million Fund
• Reverse auction funding mechanism
• CQA reviewed bids
• Fiber, wireless, coax/cable technologies studied
• Program covers a portion of upfront capex
• CQ also looks at the financial viability of the project once the state steps away
• Phases I and II of the program have committed funds in-excess of $340 million, including private matching funds, to more than 125,000 locations (housing units and business/organization locations).
• Accepted bids aligned closely, on average, to the CostQuest model, supporting the accuracy of the cost modeling.
State USF

Other States

• Custom Models
  • NY and others

• SBCM Models
  • Similar to FCC efforts, but typically with different goals
  • Can base effort on FCC/CQA CACM model - SBCM
  • SBCM mirrors the FCC’s adopted CACM/CAM. Results can be run using either the FCC default input collection or modified inputs of the User’s choice.
  • Customizations are not included in SBCM pricing.
City of San Francisco

San Francisco
- Looked at 4 ownership scenarios
- Used city-owned assets
- Net Present Value of business at 10 years and 30 years
- Contribution at neighborhood level
City of San Francisco
Municipal application analysis

- Full modeling of the business
- Demand (take rate) key to business case
- Revenue modeled to understand contribution margin
- Ownership models, including public-private partnerships are modeled
### Demographics in Served Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AreaSqMiles</td>
<td>4.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RoadMiles</td>
<td>84.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HwyMiles</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResLocations</td>
<td>1,435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BusLocations</td>
<td>501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>1,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDU</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Householeds in MDU</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResPopulation</td>
<td>3,492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResHouseholds</td>
<td>1,527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResHousingUnits</td>
<td>1,698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BusFirms</td>
<td>437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BusEnterprises</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BusEmployees</td>
<td>4,238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WirelessTowers</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResAndBusPerRoadMile</td>
<td>23.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResAndBusPerSqMile</td>
<td>431.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Supply in Served Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Locations Served</th>
<th>Pct.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cable_3orMore</td>
<td>1,509</td>
<td>77.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FW_3orMore</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility_3orMore</td>
<td>1,936</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telco_3orMore</td>
<td>1,885</td>
<td>97.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable_10orMore</td>
<td>1,509</td>
<td>77.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FW_10orMore</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility_10orMore</td>
<td>1,936</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telco_10orMore</td>
<td>1,726</td>
<td>89.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable_25orMore</td>
<td>1,509</td>
<td>77.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FW_25orMore</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility_25orMore</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telco_25orMore</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Municipal application analysis – Mora, MN

RetailProvider Study
Component: City Scorecard
Technology: GPON
Provider Type: RetailProvider
City: Minnesota
State: Minnesota

Business Case Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand/Subscribers</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Locations:</td>
<td>2,199.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Units:</td>
<td>1,698.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Locations:</td>
<td>501.00</td>
<td>501.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumed Take Rate:</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Subscribers:</td>
<td>872.56</td>
<td>652.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Initial Investment with Success Capital
Total Investment (upfront and success based capital costs) to Deploy Network (excludes maintenance capital): $3,637,700.02

Summary of Business Case (levelized multi-year run rate)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Residential</th>
<th>Business</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Annual Costs:</td>
<td>$580,677.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Capital Costs:</td>
<td>$242,081.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Operational Costs:</td>
<td>$338,596.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Revenue:</td>
<td>$582,021.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Contribution Margin:</td>
<td>$1,343.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 10-Year Levelized Net Present Value of Business (assuming sale of assets at end): $596,899.29

Subscriber Statistics

Capital Per ACTIVE line $5,239.13
Net Non-Recurring Cost ("Customer Turn Up") per LINE $36.42
Total Monthly Revenue Run Rate per ACTIVE line $69.85
Total Monthly Cost per ACTIVE LINE Run Rate $69.69
Monthly Capital Costs per ACTIVE line $29.05
Monthly Operating Expenses Per ACTIVE line $40.64
Levelized Monthly Contribution per ACTIVE line Run Rate $0.16
FCC Connect America Models

**FCC’s Connect America Fund**

- Cost modeling measured the cost to provide broadband voice against a benchmark.
- FCC supported areas based on cost and presence of competition.
- Model provided a distribution mechanism for a limited USF budget.
Goals, objectives and policy drive the analysis

- What do you build?
  - Does the 25 x 3 network also have to support the 100 x 20 network?
- What scale are you analyzing and reporting?
- Who gets the build, who doesn’t?
- Do existing assets come into the analysis?
- What data is available?
- What data gaps have to be addressed?
Types of Models

Multi-Service Models
- Most granular
- Cost by service by customer
- Used in regulatory hearings

Single Service Models
- Broadband and voice services by Census Block
- CACM / New York

Business Case Models
- Gigabit city models
- San Francisco GBCM

The platform choice is driven by project goals.
For the cost estimate, what are you building?

- Minnesota has a mix of densities?
  - How do you define urban and rural?
    Does everyone get the same level of service?

- What is the type of network?
  - Fiber to the premise
  - Wireless
  - Hybrids

- What is the scale of the analysis?
  - Demand locations
  - Neighborhoods
  - Cities
  - Counties?
Housing Unit Density
Fiber Deployment Approach

Using CostQuest’s network modeling tool, each neighborhood is engineered

• Capital requirements identified
  • To Pass
  • Success/Connect
Fiber Deployment Approach

Access Distribution
Service Area Footprint – Pedestals for Customers
Fiber Deployment Approach

Access Distribution
Feeder Routing
Fiber Deployment Approach

Access Distribution
Distribution Routing
What is the role of existing service?

- Does present service impact where and what is built?
- How are you measuring the presence of existing service?
477 Wireline Speeds
477 LTE Presence
What is the role of existing infrastructure?

- Is a cost carried for ‘re-usable’ assets like telephone poles and conduit?
- Does everyone share a middle mile connection?
ILEC Infrastructure
What data is needed?

• One served / all served nature of 477 data
• How far should the state build?-the long driveway / special construction problem.
• Are there pockets of urban unserved due to building ownership
• Does affordability impact the analysis?
Questions?

• Mike Wilson
  • mwilson@costquest.com

• Mark Guttman
  • mguttman@costquest.com