

# Request for Offers (RFO) Addendum

RFO Number: RFO0016

Addendum: 1

Date of Addendum: 08/20/14

Revised Date, Time (if changing): N/A

Title: Minnesota Provider Screening and Enrollment (MPSE) application

## SCOPE OF ADDENDUM

The following are changes to the RFO:

Responses to questions received:

1. Q - Is in person interview required. Is Skype /Phone interview possible?  
A – In person (face to face) interview is required.
2. Q - Do we need to include the contact details of the candidate in the coversheet and resume?
3. A – Yes
4. Q - If a candidate is from outside MN, can we quote an all-inclusive rate instead of separate expenses rate?
5. A – Yes
6. Q - How is the budget for this RFO?  
A – DHS has enhanced funding for the Minnesota Provider Screening and Enrollment (MPSE) application and cost will be a significant factor in evaluating proposals. Please see “RFO Evaluation Process” on page 5 of the RFO for more information.
7. Q - Is there any incumbent for this, if yes please share the name?  
A – Yes, the current incumbent vendor is Modis.
8. Q - On page no 2, it is mentioned that start date is Aug 18, 2014 however the due date is on Aug 22, 2014. Please confirm.  
A – The engagement start date is only a target date. Please refer to the Process Schedule for significant dates relating to this RFO.
9. Q - On page no 2, in the end it is mentioned that Drools developer and java developer needed. Please confirm do you need only Drools developer or/and java developer.

A – Reference to multiple developers is to convey the composition of the project team. This RFO is to procure one Drools resource. Please refer to the “Required and Desired Skills” on page 4 for our requirements.

10. Q - Is this new work or is someone already performing this work?

A – This is currently assigned work being performed.

11. Q - Is this one position or multiple...i.e. PEP, PVS, etc..?

A – This is for one position, specifically for Drools development.

12. Q - The SOW mentions business rules written in Drools, but there is no mention of Drools in the requirements. Is Drools a required skill?

A – This is for a Drools developer. Please review “Responsibility of the Selected Vendor” on page 3, and “Required and Desired Skills” on page 4 for our requirements.

13. Q - The position requires both Java & Drools development skills. Which of these you think is more relevant to this position? What is the % of Java Development Vs. % of Drools development?

A – Drools is the primary skill required. This position requires 80% Drools and 20% Java development skills. Please review “Responsibility of the Selected Vendor” on page 3, and “Required and Desired Skills” on page 4 for our requirements.

14. Q - Should this position require a strong Drools candidate and the % of Drools development is more in comparison to % of Java Development, we are looking at a very Niche skill and the resource pool across the country is very small. This be the situation, can the State be flexible on the rates for a Drools specialty candidate than for a regular Java developer? From our experience, the Drools candidates ask for a much higher rate. Can we go a little higher for the Drools specialty?

A – Vendors must meet the cost criteria as defined by the [SITE: Adjusted Average Rates table](#). Vendors whose maximum hourly rates exceed the average by 75% will not be considered.

15. Q - Most Drools candidates across the nation want to work Remote. They are willing to be Onsite for a week or 2 at the start of the project, and then work remote and can be onsite as and when needed for the successful project implementation. Can the State be a little flexible in entertaining these remote workers?

16. A – 100% of the work will be performed at the Elmer L/ Andersen Building, 540 Cedar Avenue, St. Paul, Minnesota 55012.

17. Q - Please provide clarity on the dates provided in the various sections of the RFO.

A – The engagement start date is only a target date. Proposal submissions are due no later than 8/22/14 noon (CT).

This addendum shall become part of the RFO and should be returned with, or acknowledged in, the response to the RFO.

Responder Name:

Signature:

Title:

Date: