

MINNESOTA BOARD OF PHARMACY

SEVEN HUNDRED AND FORTY-SEVENTH MEETING

At approximately 8:00 a.m., June 4, 2002, the Minnesota Board of Pharmacy met at the Minneapolis Convention Center, in Minneapolis, Minnesota, for the purpose of administering a written practical/clinical examination in prescription compounding and errors and omissions to the candidates for licensure by examination. All members of the Board were in attendance. Also in attendance were the Board's Executive Director, Mr. David Holmstrom, and the Board's Pharmacy Surveyors.

The following candidates, who have met all of the required prerequisites and who have presented properly executed applications, were present to write the examinations administered as part of the full Board examination process:

Aboro Abebe	Brian L. Entinger	Pauline R. Jacobs
Daniel R. Adams	Jason D. Ernster	Matthew M. Jerabek
Carrie L. Agler	Meredith R. Evers	Sandra L. Johnson
Jarin M. Akerson	Kristin C. Ferris	Cormac J. Kehoe
Zeinab A. Ammar	Aaron M. Finley	Thomas L. Kerwin
Hollie R. Amrein	Megan E. Flynn	Aaliya K. Khan
Ben E. Anderson	Rita L. Franzoi	Erika A. Klus
Jan A. Anderson	Timothy L. Fromm	Aimee K. Kobernat
Mary J. Arndt	Kimberly J. Furgason	Ryan W. Lamberg
Christine E. Bartels	Jeralyn J. Furst	Bethanie A. Larson
Kimberly A. Barth	David E. Gamache	Jessica M. Lawton
Mark A. Baugh	Eric T. Gangl	Joyce Lee
Stacy L. Belehar	Matthew J. Garrison	Jessica J. Leske
Lisa M. Berg	Deanna M. Gengler	Amy L. Libby
Jennifer L. Beyer	Eleonor Gerbuz	Sheila Wanda C. Lightly
Amy E. Boedigheimer	Christina A. Glasgow	Mark J. Lindgren
Laura L. Borstad	Christina M. Greco	Anna M. Lindlief
Stefanie A. Bradford	David C. Gribble	Sandra K. Lindmark
Eric J. Brandvold	Daniel T. Gwynn	Tracy L. Litke
Rachael M. Branson	Amy J. Hagbom	Kim H. Liu
Kristine M. Brincks	Tricia B. Hagedorn	May X. Lo
Sara L. Brodersen	Rachael R. Halvorson	Michael M. Lukkason
Julianna A. Burzynski	Michele R. Hanson	Jennifer S. Lund
Nicole M. Capitola-Nelson	Stephanie B. Hanson	Eric M. Maass
Michelle A. Chalupnik	Christa L. Heimer	Denyse M. Madden
Kirsten L. Chalupsky	Leslie M. Helou	Nicole M. Madsen
Jennifer L. Chapman	Jody B. Henry	Craig P. Magarity
Jason T. Cook	Michelle L. Hinojos	Jane M. Malmskog
Kendra L. Cope	Dawn M. Hoeft	Helen M. Mbiyu
Kevin J. Currans	Laura G. Hogan	Lance P. McNab
Uyen X. Dinh	Kathy A. Hoopes	Travis D. Meidinger
Jennifer A. Donnelly	Keri A. Hoss	Debbie D. Miller
Christopher L. Dornack	Yoon Y. Huh	Jill E. Minard
Amy E. Doten	Kristin B. Weckwerth	Erin M. Moline
Dorothy J. Doyle	Amy M. Jacobs	Melanie A. Monnie

Julie C. Moriak	Jason R. Reames	Jana L. Strand
Lo Ri K. Morrissey	Jonathan J. Rehtiensi	Tara M. Suihkonen
Rose M. Mueller	Pamela R. Revier	Amit P. Sule
David R. Nash	Bryan K. Ridgley	Zach D. Tester
Andrea K. Nasstrom	Chad D. Rieger	Sherry A. Thell
Michele L. Nelson	Stephanie J. Rippie	Tracy L. Tomasek
Nichole J. Neumann	Jennifer R. Riss	Baochau M. Tran
Marvin E. Neva, Jr.	Jeffrey A. Ristau	Jodie A. Trites
Thao Q. Ngo	Jodi M. Robinson	Krista L. Turner
Jenny B. Nguyen	Jenny L. Sagehorn	Else N. Umbreit
Kimberly T. Nguyen	Landen C. Sanderson	Jennifer L. Unruh
Thanh P. Nguyen	Christine M. Schmidt	Melisa M. Varghese
Muhammed T. Banjoko	Corissa L. Schnell	Tracy J. Veronen
Johanna Ohannessian	Amanda M. Scotting	Anna M. Vu
Steven J. Oksa	Amy L. Seelow	Nicole M. Webb
Lisa M. Olson	John W. Sherven	Stephanie K. Welliver
Falguni B. Patel	Nicole A. Sherven	Trisha M. Werner
Natalie A. Pavek	Todd M. Sherwood	Jayme L. Westling
Stacy L. Peak	Aliya N. Smith	Julie A. Wharam
Kristine J. Pederson	Jaclyn M. Smith	Jessica L. Wheeler
Andrea L. Peterson	Matthew D. Smith	Jaime A. Williams
Nicole A. Petrone	Jin Bom Song	McKenzie A. Woerdehoff
Gamal M. Phuly	Jennifer L. Sorman	Lingling Xu
Krista M. Pierson	Matthew N. Splett	Li-Ping Yap
Christopher J. Poncelet	Jayme A. Steig	Jodie A. Zimmerman
Ann F. Ramsdell	Sara A. Stichert	

The following retake candidate was also present to write the examination:

Angela T. Champion

Also present to write the examination were Mr. Kenneth Stenglein and Mr. Earl J. Poirier, who are seeking reinstatement of their license to practice pharmacy.

Also present to write the examination was Mr. Freeman Mjolsness, who is required to take the examination as part of the reciprocity process, since he has not been engaged in active pharmacy practice for several years.

At approximately 8:30 a.m., June 5, 2002, the Board met in Conference Room A, at the University Park Plaza Building, 2829 University Avenue SE, Minneapolis, Minnesota, for the purpose of conducting a general business meeting. Again, all members of the Board were in attendance. Also in attendance was the Board's Executive Director, Mr. David Holmstrom.

After reviewing, revising, and approving the agenda for this meeting, the Board addressed the minutes of the meeting of April 24, 2002. A question was raised regarding an issue of discussion at the April meeting and Mr. Holmstrom was directed to review the tape of

the April meeting to confirm the decision that was included in the Board minutes. As a result, the Board deferred approval of the April minutes until the July Board meeting.

The Board next turned its attention to applications to conduct new pharmacies, which it has received since its last meeting. The first such application was submitted on behalf of Baron's Pharmacy, in Hibbing, Minnesota, by Mr. Craig Baron, Pharmacist-in-charge. After carefully reviewing this application and a diagram of the proposed pharmacy, and after noting that Pharmacy Board Surveyor Stuart Vandenberg had already inspected the new pharmacy, Mr. Gary Schneider moved and Ms. Betty Johnson seconded that the application be approved and a license issued. The motion passed.

The second application to conduct a new pharmacy to be reviewed by the Board was submitted on behalf of Fairview University Oncology Pharmacy, in Minneapolis, Minnesota, by Ms. Jill Severson, Pharmacist-in-charge. After carefully reviewing this application and a diagram of the proposed pharmacy, Ms. Betty Johnson moved and Ms. Carol Peterson seconded that the application be approved and a license issued subject to the successful completion of an on-site inspection by a member of the Board's staff to assure compliance with pharmacy Board rules dealing with licensure. The motion passed.

The third application to conduct a new pharmacy to be reviewed by the Board was submitted on behalf of Mayo Clinic Pharmacy Eisenberg, in Rochester, Minnesota, by Mr. Matthew Freed, Pharmacist-in-charge. After carefully reviewing this application and a diagram of the proposed pharmacy, Ms. Jean Lemberg moved and Mr. Gary Schneider seconded that the application be approved and a license issued subject to the successful completion of an on-site inspection by a member of the Board's staff to assure compliance with pharmacy Board rules dealing with licensure. The motion passed.

The fourth application to conduct a new pharmacy to be reviewed by the Board was submitted on behalf of Mayo Clinic Pharmacy Mary Brigh, in Rochester, Minnesota, by Mr. Philip Christiansen, Pharmacist-in-charge. After carefully reviewing this application and noting that there were no plans or diagrams of the proposed pharmacy submitted with the application, the application was tabled.

The fifth application to conduct a new pharmacy to be reviewed by the Board was submitted on behalf of Target Pharmacy, in Lino Lakes, Minnesota, by Ms. Rebecca Marx, Pharmacist-in-charge. After carefully reviewing this application and a diagram of the proposed pharmacy, Mr. Chuck Cooper moved and Ms. Jean Lemberg seconded that the application be approved and a license issued subject to the successful completion of an on-site inspection by a member of the Board's staff to assure compliance with pharmacy Board rules dealing with licensure. The motion passed.

The sixth application to conduct a new pharmacy to be reviewed by the Board was submitted on behalf of Target Pharmacy, in Rogers, Minnesota, by Ms. Lynn Robinson, Pharmacist-in-charge. After carefully reviewing this application and a diagram of the proposed pharmacy, Ms. Carol Peterson moved and Ms. Betty Johnson seconded that the application be approved and a license issued subject to the successful completion of an on-site inspection by a

member of the Board's staff to assure compliance with pharmacy Board rules dealing with licensure. The motion passed.

The final application to conduct a new pharmacy to be reviewed by the Board was submitted on behalf of Westbrook Health Center, in Westbrook, Minnesota, by Mr. Dean Lichty, Pharmacist-in-charge. After carefully reviewing this application and a diagram of the proposed pharmacy and noting that the pharmacy does not currently meet the Board's minimum space requirements, and that Mr. Lichty is already pharmacist-in-charge at Lichty Drug, Ms. Betty Johnson moved and Ms. Carol Peterson seconded that variances relating to the physical space of the pharmacy, and relating to the pharmacist-in-charge issue, be approved and that the application be approved and a license issued subject to the successful completion of an on-site inspection by a member of the Board's staff to assure compliance with pharmacy Board rules dealing with licensure. The motion passed.

At this time, Mr. Ken Rosenblum and Mr. Randy Feldman appeared before the Board to further discuss the application for licensure previously submitted on behalf of RedPharm.

RedPharm is affiliated with Mendota Healthcare, which is the company that has produced the InstyMeds automated prescription dispensing unit. Mr. Rosenblum explained that the marketing focus for the InstyMeds machine will be to hospital emergency rooms and urgent care centers, and the automated dispensing equipment will be used primarily for first fill situations by patients being seen in the emergency room or urgent care center.

Mr. Rosenblum indicated that the electronic record of dispensing from InstyMeds units will be electronically transferred to RedPharm so that the prescription information can be available to other community pharmacies where a patient might go for a refill of the medication originally dispensed via the InstyMeds machine. RedPharm will also occasionally do emergency dispensing of medications not available in the InstyMeds machines, or in the event of a breakdown of the InstyMeds unit.

Discussion was then held regarding the repackaging of medications for placement into the InstyMeds machines by RedPharm. The Board's position was that, since these repackaged medications were not "prepackaged medications being dispensed by RedPharm," a manufacturing license for RedPharm was needed. A manufacturing license would allow RedPharm to repackage medications for placement into containers that would be loaded into the InstyMeds units.

At the conclusion of the discussion, Mr. Chuck Cooper moved and Mr. Gary Schneider seconded that the license for RedPharm be approved contingent on RedPharm obtaining a manufacturer's license for the repackaging operations. The motion passed.

At this time, Pharmacist Jim Polucha appeared before the Board to discuss pharmacy services at Westside Community Health Services Pharmacy, Southside Pharmacy and Model Cities Pharmacy, all of which are small community clinics. Mr. Polucha oversees the pharmacy operations in each of these locations. The original proposal submitted to the Board, earlier this year, called for the pharmacy at Model Cities to be the location at which maintenance medications would be dispensed and distributed back to Southside and Westside, but because of

delays in the build out of the pharmacy at Model Cities, Mr. Polucha is requesting an opportunity to dispense maintenance medications from the Southside Pharmacy until the Model Cities site is ready.

At the conclusion of the discussion of the various issues involved in Mr. Polucha's proposal, Mr. Gary Schneider moved and Mr. Tom Dickson seconded that the Board approve the proposal to use the Southside Pharmacy for the dispensing of maintenance medications until the Model Cities Pharmacy is opened. The motion passed.

Ms. Monica Feider, Program Director for the Health Professional Services Program (HPSP), and Mr. Kurt Roberts, Case Manager for HPSP, now appeared before the Board to provide the Board with background information regarding the operation of HPSP and the number of pharmacist licensees enrolled in the program. At the conclusion of the HPSP report, the Board directed Mr. Holmstrom to invite pharmacists Jim Alexander and Bruce Benson to a future meeting of the Board, since they are MPhA and MSHP representatives to the HPSP Advisory Committee. Discussions with Mr. Alexander and Mr. Benson would focus on how to make the HPSP program more available to pharmacists.

The Board next turned its attention to reports from its standing committees. The Continuing Education Advisory Task Force did not meet since the last meeting of the Board and, thus, has no report. The next scheduled meeting of the Continuing Education Advisory Task Force is June 11, 2002.

The Internship Committee, which meets only every other month, has not met since the last meeting of the Board and, thus, has no report.

Mr. Holmstrom next presented to the Board the report of the Variance Committee. The Variance Committee report is appended to these minutes. After reviewing the report of the Variance Committee, Mr. Tom Dickson moved and Ms. Carol Peterson seconded that the Board approve the Variance Committee report and grant the variances recommended for approval by the Variance Committee and deny those variances recommended for denial by the Variance Committee. The motion passed.

Mr. Holmstrom next presented the Board with the report from the Rules and Legislation Committee. Mr. Holmstrom provided copies of two pieces of legislation that potentially impact pharmacy practice. One piece of legislation involves registered nurses implementing a protocol that does not reference a specific patient and results in a prescription of a legend drug, that has been predetermined and delegated by a licensed practitioner, being generated. After discussing this piece of legislation, the Board directed Mr. Holmstrom to attempt to find out whether the Board of Nursing is going to be developing rules to implement this piece of legislation and whether rules will address the issue of how a pharmacist will know whether a nurse is operating under such a protocol.

The second piece of legislation was developed by the Board of Medical Practice and addresses the issue of interstate practice of telemedicine. The Board then discussed the applicability of this type of situation to pharmacy practice.

Mr. Holmstrom next presented the Board with a letter from Mr. Erik Heikkenen, Manager of Regulatory Affairs for Coram Healthcare. The letter asked for the Board’s opinion on the legality of Coram providing outsourcing services in the preparation of sterile products to inpatient or hospital pharmacies. After reviewing and discussing the Coram proposal, the Board directed Mr. Holmstrom to inform Mr. Heikkenen that the Coram proposal does not appear to violate Minnesota pharmacy laws or Board of Pharmacy rules, so long as the orders for sterile solutions are prepared on a patient-specific basis and delivery made to the inpatient pharmacy where the prepared prescriptions will be received and reviewed by an inpatient pharmacist prior to being delivered to the patient’s nursing unit.

Mr. Holmstrom next presented the Board with scores for candidates for licensure by reciprocity and by examination. After a review of the performance of the candidates for licensure by reciprocity, Ms. Carol Peterson moved and Mr. Chuck Cooper seconded that, having passed the Multistate Pharmacy Jurisprudence Examination required of candidates for licensure by reciprocity, the following candidates be granted such licensure based on their current license to practice in the state listed with their name:

<u>CERTIFICATE #</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>STATE OF ORIGINAL LICENSURE</u>
8029	Lance Ellsworth Olson	AZ
8033	Beth A. Frey	IN
8034	Kathrine T. Wolfe	OR
8035	Christina Lynn Soltwedel	KS
8040	Stacey Anne Fristad	WI
8041	Dean George Good	IA
8042	Cyril James Groetken	OR
8044	David Maitland Arrington	MD
8045	Sharon Annette Estenson	AZ

For the full Board examination candidates, the Board determined that, in grading the examination, the NAPLEX portion of the exam would be weighted at 65% of the total, the Board’s Practical Examination weighted at 20% of the total, the Multistate Pharmacy Jurisprudence Examination weighted at 15% of the total, and that the minimum passing score on each of those parts, as well as the minimum overall score, would be 75. With the passing level thus established, Ms. Carol Peterson moved and Mr. Chuck Cooper seconded that the following candidates be granted licensure as pharmacists:

<u>NAME</u>	<u>CERTIFICATE #</u>	<u>REGISTRATION #</u>
Neda L. Leonard	8018	117418-4
Daniel T. Spreitzer	8030	117431-7
Natalie Carmen McLeish	8031	117432-0
David Edward McLeish	8032	117433-3
Yiming Amy Jan	8036	117437-5
Ryan M. Cassity	8037	117438-8
Patricia Ann Colvin	8039	117439-1

Mr. Holmstrom next presented the Board with a letter from Mr. James M. Guertin, who indicates that he has been a licensed pharmacist in North Dakota, South Dakota, and Colorado, for approximately 25 years and would now like to reciprocate to Minnesota. Unfortunately, Mr. Guertin began the examination track toward licensure in Minnesota back in 1977 and failed to successfully pass the examination. Under the Board's rules, once a candidate begins the examination track toward licensure and is unsuccessful, he becomes ineligible for reciprocity. Mr. Guertin is now requesting that the Minnesota Board of Pharmacy give him the opportunity to reciprocate to Minnesota, in spite of the fact that he was unsuccessful in becoming licensed here by examination back in 1977. Mr. Guertin indicates that he feels his 25 years of on-the-job experience should make up for any deficiencies he may have exhibited back in 1977. After discussing Mr. Guertin's situation, Mr. Chuck Cooper moved and Mr. Tom Dickson seconded that Mr. Holmstrom inform Mr. Guertin that if he passed the NAPLEX examination and a Practical examination somewhere (it's possible that Mr. Guertin may even have passed one or the other of those examinations in Minnesota), then he can reciprocate through the ordinary reciprocity process. If Mr. Guertin has not passed a Practical examination, however, he will be required to take the Practical exam here, as well as the law exam that is required of all reciprocity candidates. The motion passed.

The Board next discussed the various issues raised at the NABP Annual Meeting and determined which issues will be followed for their applicability to Minnesota.

The Board next addressed itself to establishing meeting dates for the first part of calendar year 2003. The following dates were established:

January 14, 2003	Board Examination	Minneapolis Convention Center
January 15, 2003	Business Meeting	Conference Room A
February 26, 2003	Business Meeting	Conference Room A
April 16, 2003	Business Meeting	Conference Room A
June 10, 2003	Board Examination	Minneapolis Convention Center
June 11, 2003	Business Meeting	Conference Room A
July 23, 2003	Business Meeting	Conference Room A
September 17, 2003	Business Meeting	Conference Room A

In addition, the NABP Annual Meeting will be held May 17 – 21, 2003, and the NABP/AACP District V Meeting will be held in August of 2003.

The Board next discussed the District V Meeting of the Board's and colleges of the five-state area scheduled to be held in North Dakota on August 15 – 17, 2002. After discussing the value of the district meetings with the colleges of pharmacy from which Minnesota obtains most of its candidates for licensure, as well as the Board's of Pharmacy which share common borders and common problems, Ms. Carol Peterson moved and Ms. Jean Lemberg seconded that all Board members wishing to attend the District V Meeting do so at Board expense. The motion passed.

There being no further business to come before the Board at this time, President Kassekert adjourned the meeting at approximately 1:45 p.m.

PRESIDENT

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR