

Minnesota Board of Pharmacy

EIGHT HUNDRED AND TENTH MEETING

At approximately 9:15 a.m., on February 25, 2011, the Minnesota Board of Pharmacy met in Conference Room A, at the University Park Plaza Building, 2829 University Avenue Southeast, Minneapolis, Minnesota, for the purpose of conducting a special, ad-hoc meeting. All members of the Board were in attendance, with the exception of Mr. Carleton Crawford and Mr. Ikram-ul-huq. Also in attendance were the Board's Executive Director, Dr. Cody Wiberg, the Board's legal counsel, Assistant Attorney General Karen Andrews and Board of Pharmacy staff, Mr. Stu Vandenberg, Mr. Leslie Kotek, Ms. Michele Mattila, Ms. Karen Schreiner, and Ms. Patricia Eggers. The Board's President, Dr. Stacey Jassey, called the meeting to order.

The Board went into a closed session to discuss matters of a quasi-judicial nature.

The Board returned to its special session for the primary purpose of discussing comments received during the thirty-day comment period that followed publication of a Dual Notice of Intent to Adopt Rules. After a brief discussion which highlighted the fact that the public would have an opportunity to provide comments at the rules hearing scheduled for March 3rd, Mr Koppen moved that the Board not accept additional public comment during the meeting. Ms. Schwartzwald seconded the motion and the motion carried.

Ms. Bergrud moved and Ms. Hanson seconded that the Board approve the items on the consent agenda and to approve the consent agenda. The motion carried. The Consent Agenda consisted of the following item:

- o CEATF Report - approve

The Board next turned to the topic of the issuance of a statement to alert pharmacists about permissible emergency dispensing procedures in the case that flooding severely affects areas of Minnesota or of adjacent states. After a brief discussion, the Board authorized the Executive Director to issue a statement concerning permissible emergency dispensing procedures that pharmacists can use in case of severe flooding. The statement is to be modeled after similar statements that the Board has issued in the past.

The Board next discussed, at length, comments received during the thirty-day comment period that followed publication of a Dual Notice of Intent to Adopt Rules. Many parts of the proposed rules were discussed, including: 6800.0100, subs. 11a, 11b, 14, 15 and 16; 6800.0300; 6800.0350; 6800.0700; 6800.0910; 6800.1010; 6800.1050; 6800.1250; 6800.1400; 6800.1440; 6800.1500; 6800.2160; 6800.2250; 6800.2600; 6800.3000; 6800.3100; 6800.3200; 6800.3300; 6800.3400; 6800.3350; 6800.5400; 6800.6500; 6800.6700; and 6800.7900. The Board reached a consensus to make a number of changes to various parts but to make no changes to other parts. The Board instructed staff to make changes to the proposed rule language accordingly.

There being no further business requiring action by the Board, a motion was made and seconded to adjourn the meeting. The motion carried.

PRESIDENT

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR