a. Remove the conditions attached to the license of Licensee upon written request of Licensee together with a presentation by Licensee of evidence satisfactory to the Board that he is capable of conducting himself in a fit and competent manner in the practice of psychology, which evidence shall include at least the reports as indicated in paragraph 3, hereinabove;

b. Amend the conditions attached to the license of Licensee upon the written request of Licensee and upon the evidence as specified in paragraph 3, hereinabove;

c. Continue the conditions attached upon Licensee's failure to meet his burden of proof.

In the matter of the Order of Conditional License issued to William W. Duffy on July 15, 1983, A. Hudson moved, seconded by J. Zilisch, that the conditions upon the license of William W. Duffy be lifted and that an Order of Unconditional License be issued, on the basis of his having met his burden to prove that he has complied in all respects with the Order. Voting "aye": N. Acker, V. Bohlken, A. Hudson, O. Wanglie, J. Zilisch. Voting "nay": none. Abstaining: N. James and W. Madsen as members of the Ethics Panel, C. Dunham, R. Harlow, W. Schofield. There being five ayes and no nays, the motion was carried and the conditions lifted from Dr. Duffy's license.

8. Preliminary Report - Education Requirements Committee

A. Hudson, Chair of the Education Requirements Committee, distributed a preliminary report regarding education requirements for licensure. Discussion followed. A guest remarked that the proposed changes in the rule would effectively eliminate cross-discipline majors from becoming licensed in Minnesota. W. Madsen pointed out that if the rule were to be adopted as drafted, the workload of both staff and Board would increase tremendously, as every application would be subject to careful analysis before the applicant could be admitted to examination, not just applications in which the major is from a department other than psychology as is the case at present. Furthermore, if the proposed rule were to be amended to make it apply only to applicants with majors from other than psychology departments, an objection might be raised that such applicants would have to meet stricter standards than applicants with majors from psychology departments. No decisions were reached and the committee plans to meet again to resolve pending issues.