

**STATE OF MINNESOTA
BOARD OF ARCHITECTURE, ENGINEERING,
LAND SURVEYING, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, GEOSCIENCE
AND INTERIOR DESIGN**

**In the matter of Gregory Hackett
ARCHITECT
License Number 19736**

**STIPULATION AND ORDER
Board File No. 2019-0009**

TO: Gregory Hackett
4342 Abbott Ave. S
Minneapolis, MN 55410

The Minnesota Board of Architecture, Engineering, Land Surveying, Landscape Architecture, Geoscience, and Interior Design ("Board") is authorized pursuant to Minnesota Statutes section 214.10 (2018) and Minnesota Statutes section 326.111 (2018) to review complaints against architects, professional engineers, land surveyors, landscape architects, geoscientists, and certified interior designers, and to take disciplinary action whenever appropriate.

The Board received information concerning Gregory Hackett ("Respondent"). The Board's Complaint Committee ("Committee") reviewed the information. The parties have agreed that these matters may now be resolved by this Stipulation and Order.

STIPULATION

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by Respondent and the Committee that without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law and without any evidence or admission by any party with respect to any such issue:

1. Jurisdiction. Respondent was first issued an Architect license by the Board on April 24, 1989. Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the Board with respect to

the matters referred to in this Stipulation.

2. Facts. This Stipulation is based upon the following facts:

a. Respondent was first licensed as an Architect in the State of Minnesota on April 24, 1989.

b. At the time of this Stipulation and Order, Respondent's Architect license in the State of Minnesota is valid.

c. Respondent renewed his Minnesota Architect license online on June 15, 2018. At that time, Respondent affirmed that he earned 32 Professional Development Hours (PDH), including 12 carryover, and affirmed that he earned 2 hours dedicated to professional ethics as required by Minnesota Statutes section 326.107, subdivision 1(c) (2018).

d. In a letter dated June 22, 2018, the Board notified Respondent that his PDH were randomly selected for review as part of the Board's continuing education audit.

e. In the same letter, the Board notified Respondent that as part of the audit, he must submit supporting documentation for a minimum of 24 PDH, of which 2 hours must be dedicated to professional ethics earned between July 1, 2016 and his renewal date of June 15, 2018.

f. Respondent submitted, and the Board received, supporting documentation for the continuing education audit on July 5, 2018. On the Continuing Education Reporting form, Respondent did not indicate that he earned the statutorily-required 2 professional ethics hours prior to renewing his license.

g. An allegation letter was sent to Respondent on July 20, 2018

requesting a response to the allegation of failing to meet the continuing education requirements for the renewal period from July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2018. A response to this letter was requested by August 17, 2018.

h. A response was received at the Board office on August 2, 2018.

i. In his response, Respondent acknowledged that he did not have all his PDH documentation and that his ethics hours were completed during the previous reporting period.

3. Violations. Respondent admits that the facts specified above constitute violations of Minnesota Statutes section 326.111, subdivisions 4(a)(1), and 4 (a)(8) (2018), Minnesota Statutes 326.107, subdivisions 1(a) and 1(c) (2018) and Minnesota Rules 1805.0200, subpart 2 (2017) and are sufficient grounds for the action specified below. Specifically, it is alleged that the Respondent: (a) submitted a materially false statement in connection with his online renewal application on June 15, 2018, by affirming he had two professional development hours in ethics during the reporting period relevant to his license renewal; and (b) failed to provide supporting documentation to substantiate two hours dedicated to professional ethics during the reporting period relevant to his license renewal and, therefore, failed to meet the continuing education requirements for renewal of his license on June 15, 2018.

4. Enforcement Action. Respondent and the Committee agree that the Board should issue an Order in accordance with the following terms:

a. Reprimand. Respondent's license is censured and reprimanded for the foregoing conduct.

b. Civil Penalty. Respondent shall pay to the Board a civil penalty of

\$500 payable by check to the Board within 60 days of the Board's approval of this Stipulation and Order.

5. Waiver of Respondent's Rights. For the purpose of this Stipulation, Respondent waives all procedures and proceedings before the Board to which Respondent may be entitled under the Minnesota and United States constitutions, statutes, or the rules of the Board, including the right to dispute the allegations against Respondent, to dispute the appropriateness of discipline in a contested case proceeding pursuant to Minnesota Statutes Chapter 14 (2018), and to dispute the civil penalty imposed by this Agreement. Respondent agrees that upon the application of the Committee without notice to or an appearance by Respondent, the Board may issue an Order containing the enforcement action specified in paragraph 4 herein. Respondent waives the right to any judicial review of the Order by appeal, writ of certiorari, or otherwise.

6. Collection. Pursuant to Minnesota Statutes section 16D.17 (2018), after 90 days of the Board's approval of this Stipulation and Order, the Board may file and enforce any unpaid portion of the civil penalty imposed by this Order as a judgment against the Respondent in district court without further notice or additional proceedings.

7. Board Rejection of Stipulation and Order. In the event the Board in its discretion does not approve this Stipulation and Order or a lesser remedy than specified herein, this Stipulation and Order shall be null and void and shall not be used for any purpose by either party hereto. If this Stipulation is not approved and a contested case proceeding is initiated pursuant to Minnesota Statutes Chapter 14 (2018), Respondent agrees not to object to the Board's initiation of the proceedings and hearing the case on

the basis that the Board has become disqualified due to its review and consideration of this Stipulation and the record.

8. Unrelated Violations. This settlement shall not in any way or manner limit or affect the authority of the Board to proceed against Respondent by initiating a contested case hearing or by other appropriate means on the basis of any act, conduct, or admission of Respondent justifying disciplinary action which occurred before or after the date of this Stipulation and Order and which is not directly related to the specific facts and circumstances set forth herein.

9. Record. The Stipulation, related investigative reports and other documents shall constitute the entire record of the proceedings herein upon which the Order is based. The investigative reports, other documents, or summaries thereof may be filed with the Board with this Stipulation.

10. Data Classification. Under the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act, this Stipulation and Order is classified as public data upon its issuance by the Board, Minnesota Statutes section 13.41, subdivision 5 (2018). All documents in the record shall maintain the data classification to which they are entitled under the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act, Minnesota Statutes Chapter 13 (2018). They shall not, to the extent they are not already public documents, become public merely because they are referenced herein. A summary of this Order will appear in the Board's newsletter. A summary will also be sent to the national discipline data bank pertaining to the practice of architecture.


11. Entire Agreement. Respondent has read, understood, and agreed to this Stipulation and is freely and voluntarily signing it. The Stipulation contains the entire

agreement between the parties hereto relating to the allegations referenced herein. Respondent is not relying on any other agreement or representations of any kind, verbal or otherwise.

12. Counsel. Respondent is aware that he may choose to be represented by legal counsel in this matter. Respondent knowingly waives legal representation.

13. Service. If approved by the Board, a copy of this Stipulation and Order shall be served personally or by first class mail on Respondent. The Order shall be effective and deemed issued when it is signed by the Chair of the Board.

RESPONDENT



Gregory Hackett


Dated: 3/4, 20 19

SUBSCRIBED and sworn to before me on this the 4th day of MARCH, 20 19.




(Notary Public)

My Commission Expires:
1/31/2022



COMPLAINT COMMITTEE




Keith Rapp, PG
Committee Chair

Dated: 22 - MARCH, 20 19

ORDER

Upon consideration of the foregoing Stipulation and Order and based upon all the files, records, and proceedings herein, all terms of the Stipulation and Order are approved and adopted and hereby issued as an Order of this Board this the 22 day of MARCH, 20 19.

MINNESOTA BOARD OF
ARCHITECTURE, ENGINEERING,
LAND SURVEYING, LANDSCAPE
ARCHITECTURE, GEOSCIENCE AND
INTERIOR DESIGN

By: 
Nirmal Jain, PE
Board Chair

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

RE: In the matter of Gregory Hackett
ARCHITECT
License Number 19736


STATE OF MINNESOTA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF RAMSEY)

Matt Kaehler, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

That at the City of St. Paul, County of Ramsey and State of Minnesota, on this the 25th day of March, 2019, he served the attached **Stipulation and Order**, by depositing in the United States mail at said city and state, a true and correct copy thereof, properly enveloped, with first class and certified postage prepaid, and addressed to:

Gregory Hackett, Architect
4342 Abbott Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55410

CERTIFIED MAIL
Return Receipt Requested
7018 2290 0000 0508 4077



Matt Kaehler

Subscribed and sworn to before me on
this the 25th day of March, 2019.



(Notary Public)

