

STATE OF MINNESOTA
BOARD OF ARCHITECTURE, ENGINEERING,
LAND SURVEYING, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, GEOSCIENCE
AND INTERIOR DESIGN

In the matter of Carl Walker
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
License Number 26438

RECEIVED
FEB 23 2011

STIPULATION AND ORDER

Board File No. 2010-0040 and 2010-0049

TO: Carl Walker
VP Building Inc.
273 Water Street
Evansville, Wisconsin 53536

The Minnesota Board of Architecture, Engineering, Land Surveying, Landscape Architecture, Geoscience and Interior Design ("Board") is authorized pursuant to Minnesota Statutes section 214.10 (2010) and Minnesota Statutes section 326.111 (2010) to review complaints against architects, professional engineers, land surveyors, landscape architects, geoscientists, and certified interior designers, and to take disciplinary action whenever appropriate.

The Board received information concerning Carl Walker ("Respondent"). The Board's Complaint Committee ("Committee") reviewed the information. The parties have agreed that the matter may now be resolved by this Stipulation and Order.

STIPULATION

IT IS HEREBY AGREED by and between Respondent and the Committee as follows:

1. Jurisdiction. The Respondent was first issued a license to practice

professional engineering by the Board on February 16, 1999. Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the Board with respect to the matters referred to in this Stipulation.

2. Facts. This Stipulation is based upon the following facts:

a. Respondent was first licensed as a Professional Engineer in the State of Minnesota on February 16, 1999.

b. On July 1, 2008, Respondent's Minnesota Professional Engineer license expired.

c. On December 29, 2009, the Board received the Respondent's Application for License Reinstatement through June 30, 2010 and renewed his Professional Engineering license. A true and correct copy of the Respondent's Application for License Reinstatement through June 30, 2010, is attached as Exhibit A.

d. With the Respondent's Application for License Reinstatement through June 30, 2010, was an Affidavit for Reinstatement. On the Affidavit for Reinstatement, Respondent crossed off paragraph 4 and stated: "See Attached letter." A true and correct copy of the Affidavit for Reinstatement, is attached as Exhibit B.

e. Attached to the Application for License Reinstatement through June 30, 2010, Respondent submitted a letter dated December 22, 2009 that stated: "As I discussed with you and Linette, I had never received the renewal card for the last renewal cycle for my license in the State of Minnesota nor did I receive any additional notifications stating I was past due, thus I was still under

the impression I had an active license. I had signed a set of metal building drawings for our customer in Minnesota and received a call from our customer today (12/22/2009) informing me my seal was invalid. Under the impression I was still active, I called you immediately after receiving the call from our customer to discuss this issue and how to resolve. To my embarrassment, I now know my license is inactive and after discussing the requirements with Linette, I am writing this letter of attachment to explain my circumstances and acknowledge I did use my license on the above project during this period." A true and correct copy of the December 22, 2009 letter, is attached as Exhibit C.

f. Respondent signed and sealed drawings for the Hearing & Service Dogs, 9440 Science Center Drive, New Hope, Minnesota on November 19, 2009. A true and correct copy of the signed and sealed drawings for the Hearing & Service Dogs, 9440 Science Center Drive, New Hope, Minnesota signed on November 19, 2009, is attached as Exhibit D.

g. In a letter dated March 7, 2010, Respondent stated, "Item 1 - I have enclosed a disk containing all calculations and drawings which have I applied my Minnesota seal for the time frame being asked to the best of my abilities to reconstruct work during that time period." The projects listed on the disk were projects the Respondent sealed with his Minnesota Professional Engineers license, during the lapse of his Professional Engineering license from July 1, 2008 to December 29, 2009:

- Storage Building USPS - #B96375, Eagan, Minnesota, April 2009.

- Truck Dumping Station, Farmers Coop Elevator, Montevideo, Minnesota, April 2009.
- Albert Lea Spec Building #1, Albert Lea, Minnesota, May 2009.
- Trimont Truck Receiving, Jackson, Minnesota, September 2009.
- Tri State Cold Storage, Rochester, Minnesota, September 2009.
- Pax Christie, Special Occupancy-Frames, Rochester, Minnesota, November 2009.
- Saint Johns Final, Redwood Falls, Minnesota, November 2009.
- Univar USA Inc., Saint Paul, Minnesota, November 2009.
- Service Dog Training Facility, New Hope, Minnesota, November 2009.
- Heartland Corn Products, Fermenter Addition Order, Winthrop, Minnesota, November 2009.

A true and correct copy of the letter dated March 7, 2010, is attached as Exhibit E.

h. Respondent's license to practice professional engineering in the State of Minnesota is now current with an expiration date of June 30, 2012.

3. Violations. Respondent admits that the facts specified above constitute violations of Minnesota Statutes section 326.02, subdivisions 1 and 3, and section 326.03, subdivision 1 (2010) and are sufficient grounds for the action specified below.

4. Enforcement Action. Respondent and the Committee agree that the Board should issue an Order in accordance with the following terms:

a. Reprimand. Respondent is reprimanded for the foregoing conduct.

b. Civil Penalty. Respondent shall pay to the Board a civil penalty of Seven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$7,500.00). Respondent shall submit a civil penalty of Seven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$7,500.00) by cashier's check or money order to the Board within sixty (60) days of the Board's approval of this Stipulation and Order.

5. Additional Discipline for Violations of Order. If Respondent violates this Stipulation and Order, the Board may impose additional discipline pursuant to the following procedure:

a. The Committee shall schedule a hearing before the Board. At least thirty days prior to the hearing, the Committee shall mail Respondent a notice of the violation alleged by the Committee and of the time and place of the hearing. Within fourteen days after the notice is mailed, Respondent shall submit a response to the allegations. If Respondent does not submit a timely response to the Board, the allegations may be deemed admitted.

b. At the hearing before the Board, the Complaint Committee and Respondent may submit affidavits made on personal knowledge and argument based on the record in support of their positions. The Complaint Committee may submit affidavits responding to any affidavits submitted by Respondent. The evidentiary record before the Board shall be limited to such affidavits and this Stipulation and Order. Respondent waives a hearing before an administrative law judge and waives discovery, cross-examination of adverse witnesses, and other procedures governing administrative hearings or civil trials.

c. At the hearing, the Board will determine whether to impose additional disciplinary action, including additional conditions or limitations on Respondent's practice or suspension or revocation of Respondent's license.

6. Waiver of Respondent's Rights. For the purpose of this Stipulation, Respondent waives all procedures and proceedings before the Board to which Respondent may be entitled under the Minnesota and United States constitutions, statutes, or the rules of the Board, including the right to dispute the allegations against Respondent, to dispute the appropriateness of discipline in a contested case proceeding pursuant to Minnesota Statutes Chapter 14 (2010), and to dispute the civil penalty imposed by this Agreement. Respondent agrees that upon the application of the Committee without notice to or an appearance by Respondent, the Board may issue an Order containing the enforcement action specified in paragraph 4 herein. Respondent waives the right to any judicial review of the Order by appeal, writ of certiorari, or otherwise.

7. Collection. In accordance with Minnesota Statutes section 16D.17 (2010), in the event this order becomes final and Respondent does not comply with the condition in paragraph 4(b) above, Respondent agrees that the Board may file and enforce the unpaid portion of the civil penalty as a judgment without further notice or additional proceedings.

8. Board Rejection of Stipulation and Order. In the event the Board in its discretion does not approve this Stipulation and Order or a lesser remedy than specified herein, this Stipulation and Order shall be null and void and shall not be used for any

purpose by either party hereto. If this Stipulation is not approved and a contested case proceeding is initiated pursuant to Minnesota Statutes Chapter 14 (2010), Respondent agrees not to object to the Board's initiation of the proceedings and hearing the case on the basis that the Board has become disqualified due to its review and consideration of this Stipulation and the record.

9. Unrelated Violations. This settlement shall not in any way or manner limit or affect the authority of the Board to proceed against Respondent by initiating a contested case hearing or by other appropriate means on the basis of any act, conduct, or admission of Respondent justifying disciplinary action which occurred before or after the date of this Stipulation and Order and which is not directly related to the specific facts and circumstances set forth herein.

10. Record. The Stipulation, related investigative reports and other documents shall constitute the entire record of the proceedings herein upon which the Order is based. The investigative reports, other documents, or summaries thereof may be filed with the Board with this Stipulation.

11. Data Classification. Under the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act, this Stipulation and Order is classified as public data upon its issuance by the Board, Minnesota Statutes section 13.41, subdivision 5 (2010). All documents in the record shall maintain the data classification to which they are entitled under the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act, Minnesota Statutes Chapter 13 (2010). They shall not, to the extent they are not already public documents, become public merely because they are referenced herein. A summary of this Order will appear in the Board's newsletter.


A summary will also be sent to the national discipline data bank pertaining to the practice of professional engineering.

12. Entire Agreement. Respondent has read, understood, and agreed to this Stipulation and is freely and voluntarily signing it. The Stipulation contains the entire agreement between the parties hereto relating to the allegations referenced herein. Respondent is not relying on any other agreement or representations of any kind, verbal or otherwise.

13. Counsel. Respondent is aware that he may choose to be represented by legal counsel in this matter. Respondent knowingly waived legal representation.

14. Service. If approved by the Board, a copy of this Stipulation and Order shall be served personally or by first class mail on Respondent. The Order shall be effective and deemed issued when it is signed by the Chair of the Board.

RESPONDENT



Carl Walker

Dated: 2-20-2011, 2011

COMPLAINT COMMITTEE


By: Billie Lawton
Billie Lawton, Public Member,
Committee Chair

Dated: 3-11, 2011

ORDER

Upon consideration of the foregoing Stipulation and based upon all the files, records and proceedings herein, all terms of the Stipulation are approved and hereby issued as an Order of this Board on this the 11 day of MARCH, 2011.

MINNESOTA BOARD OF
ARCHITECTURE, ENGINEERING,
LAND SURVEYING, LANDSCAPE
ARCHITECTURE, GEOSCIENCE AND
INTERIOR DESIGN

By: 
~~Kristine A. Kubes, J. D.~~
Board Chair
Douglas C Cooley

Minnesota Board of Architecture, Engineering, Land Surveying,
Landscape Architecture, Geoscience and Interior Design
85 E. 7th Place, Suite 160, St. Paul, MN 55101
www.aelslagid.state.mn.us

PROCESSED
12-31-09
DEC 28 2009

Application for License/Certificate Reinstatement through 6/30/2010

The licensee or certificate holder is responsible for completing ALL sections of this form. If any information is missing or the form is not signed, the application will be considered incomplete and be returned.

Please be advised, reinstating your license does not preclude the possibility that the Board may initiate an investigation of you for possible violations of Minnesota Statute §§ 326.02-326.15, or Minnesota Rule Chapters 1800 and 1805. Please read the enclosed Tennessee Warning.

Personal Information

Name: CARL W. WALKER
Profession: ENGINEERING
License/Certificate #: 26438
Mailing Address: ___ Home Business
Is this a new address? ___ Yes No

Company Name: VP BUILDING
Address 1: 213 WATER ST.
Address 2: _____
City, State, Zip: EVANSVILLE, WI 53536
Country: USA
Contact Phone #: 608-373-9300

Continuing Education

Requirement: 24 Professional Development Hours earned on or after July 1, 2006, unless you are exempt. Continuing education requirements can be found at www.aelslagid.state.mn.us/conted.html.

I am exempt from reporting continuing education for this renewal (select reason below):
___ My MN license/certificate was issued on or after July 1, 2006. (Automatic exemption)
___ I requested an exemption from the Board and it was granted. (Attach Board approval)
* Exemption requests must be pre-approved. Renewal will not be processed prior to approval.
* Continuing education cannot be carried over from an exempt period.

I am not exempt from the continuing education requirement.
Do not call the Board office to request your carry-over hours!
Total PDH earned 7/1/2006 - 6/30/2008: 13
Carryover from previous renewal: 0
Total PDH submitted: 13

State of Minnesota
Board of AELSLAGID

DEC 29 2009

Rec'd 192.⁰⁰

Fees and Affidavit

If postmarked on/before 7/1/09: \$180
If postmarked on/after 7/1/09: \$192*

Make checks payable to: MN Board of AELSLAGID 19
US Funds Only 34
Your canceled check is your receipt. 34

* This licensure fee notice contains an electronic licensing surcharge. The Minnesota Office of Enterprise Technology (OET) recently sponsored and the Minnesota Legislature passed legislation requiring a 10% surcharge of no less than \$5 and no more than \$150 on each business, commercial, professional or occupational license. The funding from this surcharge will go to OET, which will establish an electronic licensing system for the state. The surcharge will be in place through June 30, 2015. See Laws of Minnesota 2009, Chapter 101, Article 2, Section 59.

Since your last renewal, have you had a license disciplined, denied, surrendered, suspended or revoked?
(If yes, provide a statement of explanation.) ___ Yes No

I swear or affirm that I have read the foregoing renewal application and that the statements are true and complete.

Carl Walker
Signature

12.22.09
Date

The data you furnish on this form will be used by the Minnesota Board of Architecture, Engineering, Land Surveying, Landscape Architecture, Geoscience and Interior Design to assess your qualifications for renewal of your license and/or certificate. You are not legally required to provide this data; however if you fail to do so, the Board may be unable to renew your license. Information contained on this application is public pursuant to Minnesota Statutes §13.41, Subd. 4.

EXHIBIT A

AFFIDAVIT FOR REINSTATEMENT

State of Wisconsin)

County of Rock)

I, CARL W. WALKER, being duly sworn, do hereby depose and swear that:

1. I have read and will comply with the provisions of Minnesota Statutes §§ 326.02 – 326.15 (2006) and the Rules and Regulations adopted thereunder;
2. I am not now under any disciplinary proceeding or action, pending or otherwise, in any other jurisdiction;
3. I have never been convicted of a felony;
4. ~~I have not represented myself as an architect, professional engineer, land surveyor, landscape architect, professional geologist, professional soil scientist, or certified interior designer, without proper licensure or certification, either verbally or on any printed matter, in the State of Minnesota, nor will I do so until such time as my license or certificate has been reinstated by the Minnesota Board of Architecture, Engineering, Land Surveying, Landscape Architecture, Geoscience and Interior Design; and~~
5. I have not performed or offered to perform architectural, professional engineering, land surveying, landscape architectural, professional geological, professional soil scientific, or certified interior designer services, without proper licensure or certification in the State of Minnesota, nor will I do so until such time as my license or certificate has been issued by the Minnesota Board of Architecture, Engineering, Land Surveying, Landscape Architecture, Geoscience and Interior Design.

See Attached LETTER

[Signature] [Signature] 12-23-09
 Signature of Applicant

SUBSCRIBED and sworn to before me this 23 day of December 2009.

Michelle Fortune
Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

11/18/12




EXHIBIT B



VARCO PRUDEN BUILDINGS
North Central Region
273 Water Street
Evansville, WI 53536
Tel. (608) 882-5000
Fax (608) 882-2370
www.vp.com

12/22/2009

Minnesota AELSLAGID
Attn: Andrea Barker

Subject: Minnesota License Renewal

Dear Andrea:

I am enclosing this letter of further explanation with regard to item 4 of the affidavit after my discussion with Linette Dufresne regarding filling out the Application for License/Certificate Reinstatement form as required by the State of Minnesota. As I discussed with you and Linette, I had never received the renewal card for the last renewal cycle for my license in the State of Minnesota nor did I receive any additional notifications stating I was past due, thus I was still under the impression I had an active license. I had signed a set of metal building drawings for our customer in Minnesota and received a call from our customer today (12/22/2009) informing me my seal was invalid. Under the impression I was still active, I called you immediately after receiving the call from our customer to discuss this issue and how to resolve. To my embarrassment, I now know my license is inactive and after discussing the requirements with Linette, I am writing this letter of attachment to explain my circumstances and acknowledge I did use my license on the above project during this period. As of the above date I will not seal any further Minnesota work until I am reinstated.

If you have any further questions, please contact me.

Cordially,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Carl W. Walker', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Carl W. Walker, PE
Engineering Manager
Wisconsin Service Center
Phone: 608-373-9300
Email: cwalker@vp.com

File: File

EXHIBIT C


EXHIBIT D



a division of BlueScope Buildings North America, Inc.

DRAWING INDEX		DRAWING RELEASE HISTORY		
DRAWING TITLE	PAGES	TYPE	DATE	DESCRIPTION
Cover Sheet	1	ANCHOR ROD PLAN	11/18/09	FOR CONSTRUCTION
Notes	2			
Anchor Rod Plan	3 - 4			
Primary Structural				
Secondary Structural				
Covering				
Special Drawings				
Standard Erection Details				

Heating & Service Dwg
9440 Science Center Drive



VP Buildings 3200 Players Club Circle Memphis TN 38125

GENERAL NOTES

MATERIALS

3 PLATE WELDED SECTIONS	A529, A572, A1011, A1018	GRADE 55
COLD FORMED LIGHT GAGE SHAPES	A553, A1011	GRADE 60
BRACE RODS	A572	GRADE 50
HOT ROLLED I-BEAM SHAPES	A36, A529, A572, A588, A709, A992	GRADE 55 OR 50
HOT ROLLED ANGLES	A529, A572, A588, A709, A992	GRADE 50
HOLLOW STRUCTURAL SECTION (HSS)	A500	GRADE B
CLADDING	A653, A792	GRADE 50 OR GRADE 80

A325 & A490 BOLT TIGHTENING REQUIREMENTS

IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE ERECTOR TO INSURE PROPER BOLT TIGHTNESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPROPRIATE REGULATIONS. THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA IS IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE LATEST SPECIFICATIONS, HOWEVER THE ERECTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO VERIFY LOCAL AUTHORITY REQUIREMENTS.

ALL CONNECTIONS MADE WITH A325 BOLTS MAY BE TIGHTENED TO THE "SNUG TIGHT" CONDITION AS PERMITTED BY THE SPECIFICATION FOR STRUCTURAL JOINTS USING A325 OR A490 BOLTS (2004 ED), UNLESS INDICATED AS "PRE-TENSIONED" ELSEWHERE IN THESE DRAWINGS, OR AS INDICATED BELOW.

PRE-TENSION BOLTS ON PRIMARY FRAMING, BOLTED BRACING, AND STRUT CONNECTIONS IF LOCATED IN IBC SEISMIC PERFORMANCE / DESIGN CATEGORY D, E OR F, IBC ZONE 3 OR 4. SEE CODES AND LOADS NOTES BELOW FOR SEISMIC DESIGN CATEGORY. PRE-TENSION ALL PRIMARY FRAMING CONNECTIONS IN CANADA.

PRE-TENSION BOLTS ON PRIMARY FRAMING, BOLTED BRACING, STRUTS AND CRANE RUNWAY CONNECTIONS IF BUILDING SUPPORTS A CRANE WITH A CAPACITY GREATER THAN 5 TONS.

CONNECTIONS THAT SUPPORT RUNNING MACHINERY AND OTHER SOURCES OF IMPACT OR STRESS REVERSAL MUST BE PRE-TENSIONED.

ALL SLIP CRITICAL CONNECTIONS AS INDICATED IN THESE DRAWINGS WITH -SC DESIGNATION MUST BE PRE-TENSIONED. SC TYPE CONNECTIONS MUST BE FREE OF PAINT, OIL OR OTHER MATERIALS THAT REDUCE THE FRICTION AT CONTACT SURFACES.

CONNECTIONS DESIGNATED AS A325-X OR A490-X SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH BOLT HEAD ON SIDE OF THE THINNEST PLATE BEING CONNECTED.

SECONDARY MEMBERS AND FLANGE BRACE CONNECTIONS ARE ALWAYS "SNUG TIGHTENED", EVEN IF ABOVE CONDITIONS EXIST, UNLESS SPECIFICALLY NOTED OTHERWISE ON DETAILS.

WASHERS ARE NOT REQUIRED FOR "SNUG-TIGHT" CONNECTIONS. PRE-TENSIONED A325 OR A490 CONNECTIONS TIGHTENED USING THE TURN-OF-THE-NUT METHOD DO NOT REQUIRE WASHERS. A490 BOLTS MUST ALWAYS BE PRE-TENSIONED.

CODES AND LOADS

WHEN MULTIPLE BUILDINGS ARE INVOLVED, SPECIFIC LOAD FACTORS FOR DIFFERENT OCCUPANCIES, BUILDING DIMENSIONS, HEIGHTS, FRAMING SYSTEMS, ROOF SLOPES, ETC., MAY RESULT IN DIFFERENT LOAD APPLICATION FACTORS THAN INDICATED BELOW. SEE CALCULATIONS FOR FURTHER DETAILS.

Building Code: 2006 International Building Code
 Reference: Building Use/Standard Occupancy Structure, Colateral Gravity: 5.00 psf (Not including bly wt)
LIVE LOADS AND SURFACIAL
 Live Load: 20.00 psf (Reducible)
 Roof/ck: 8.00 inches per hour

SNOW LOAD
 Ground Snow: 50.00 psf, Flat Roof Snow: 42.00 psf
 Snow Exposure Category (Factor): 2 Partially Exposed (1.00)
 Snow Importance: 1.000 Thermal Category (Factor): Unheated (1.20)

WIND LOAD
 Wind Speed: 90.00 mph, Wind Exposure: B
 Basic Wind Pressure: 19.13 (Flat) 12.35 psf
 Wind Importance Factor: 1.000, Ft = Topographic Factor: 1.000
 Wind Enclosure Enclosed: 0.180
 Note: All windows, doors, skylights and other covered openings must be designed for the specified above wind loads

EARTHQUAKE DESIGN DATA
 Lateral Force Resisting System using Equivalent Force Procedure
 Mapped Spectral Response - S_{0.5} 0.3 S₁ 0.12 S₂
 Seismic Hazard / Use Group: Group I
 Seismic Performance / Design Category: A (See Bolt Tightening Note Above)
 Seismic Snow Load: 8.40 psf
 Seismic Importance: 1.000
 Soil Profile Type: SH1 soil (D, 4)
 Design Spectral Response - S_{0.2} 0.0000, S₁ 0.0000

Ordinary Steel Moment Frames
 Frame Redundancy Factor: 1.0000
 Framing R-Factor: 3.0000, Frame Seismic Factor (R_s): 0.0100, Design Base Shear = 0.000 W
Ordinary Steel Concentric Brace Frames
 Brace Redundancy Factor: 1.0000
 Bracing R-Factor: 3.0000, Brace Seismic Factor (R_s): 0.0100, Design Base Shear = 0.000 W

I hereby certify that this plan, specification, or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly Licensed Professional Engineer under the laws of the State of Minnesota.
 Name: Carl W. Walker
 License # 26438
 Date: 11/19/09
 Scale: 1/16" = 1'-0"

FOR CONSTRUCTION

THE VP ENGINEER'S SEAL APPLIES ONLY TO THE WORK PRODUCT OF VP AND DESIGN AND PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED BY VP. THE VP ENGINEER'S SEAL DOES NOT APPLY TO THE PERFORMANCE OR DESIGN OF ANY OTHER PRODUCT OR COMPONENT FURNISHED BY VP EXCEPT TO ANY DESIGN OR PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED BY VP.

THIS DRAWING, INCLUDING THE INFORMATION HEREON, REMAINS THE PROPERTY OF VP BUILDINGS. IT IS PROVIDED SOLELY FOR THE USE OF THE ERECTOR AND SHALL NOT BE REPRODUCED, REFINISHED OR USED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL OF VP BUILDINGS.


THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR ERECTOR IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR ASSURING GOOD QUALITY WORKMANSHIP AND DETAIL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS ALL WORK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST AND MOST RECENTLY STANDARD PRACTICE TO WHICH ERECTION, INCLUDING THE CORRECT USE OF TEMPORARY BRACING.




COVER SHEET	
BUILDER	Steel Structures, Inc.
CUSTOMER	Langer Construction
LOCATION	New Hope, Minnesota
PROJECT	Service Dog Training Facility
BUILDERS POF 1574	




JOB #	05-15613
DATE	11/18/2009
DRAWN / CHECK	RSK / SAN
PAGE	1




D1 (3/4" Dia. A56 Anchor Plate W=4" L=9" Elevation 108'-0"







D2 (3/4" Dia. A56 Anchor Plate W=4" L=9" Elevation 108'-0"




D3 (3/4" Dia. A56 Anchor Plate W=4" L=9" Elevation 108'-0"



D4 (3/4" Dia. A56 Anchor Plate W=4" L=9" Elevation 108'-0"



TYPICAL COLUMN ROD PROJECTION



TYPICAL COLUMN BASE PLATE DETAIL

1. CONCRETE SHALL ANCHOR RODS AND ALL OTHERS.
2. ANCHOR RODS SHALL BE 1/2" DIA. A56 STEEL.
3. ANCHOR RODS SHALL BE 1/2" DIA. A56 STEEL.
4. ANCHOR RODS SHALL BE 1/2" DIA. A56 STEEL.
5. ANCHOR RODS SHALL BE 1/2" DIA. A56 STEEL.
6. ANCHOR RODS SHALL BE 1/2" DIA. A56 STEEL.
7. ANCHOR RODS SHALL BE 1/2" DIA. A56 STEEL.

Fielded Base Plates = 108'-0" (Unless Indicated Otherwise)

I hereby certify that this plan, specification, or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly Licensed Professional Engineer under the laws of the State of Minnesota.

Name: **CAROL WALKER**

Signature: *[Handwritten Signature]*

License No: **11-R-09**

Registration No: **# 26438**

FOR CONSTRUCTION

VP Buildings, Inc.
2300 Progress Circle
Memphis TN 38125

NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			

ANCHOR ROD PLAN

DATE: 11/18/2009

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PROJECT: 11/18/2009

NO. SHEETS: 11

DATE: 11/18/2009

SCALE: AS SHOWN

MAR 09 2010



VARCO PRUDEN BUILDINGS
North Central Region
273 Water Street
Evansville, WI 53536
Tel. (608) 882-5000
Fax (608) 882-2370
www.vp.com

03/07/2010

Minnesota Board of Architecture, Engineering, Land Surveying, Landscape Architecture,
Geoscience and Interior Design.

Attn:Lynette DuFresne

Subject: File No. 2010-0049

Dear Lynette:

As per you last letter I am enclosing the information you have requested as noted:

Item 1 – I have enclosed a disk containing all calculations and drawings which have I applied my Minnesota seal for the time frame being asked to the best of my abilities to reconstruct work during that time period.

Item 2 – As I work for a supplier of metal building systems, I do not offer professional services to the general public, thus I do not have any letterhead, advertisement, solitations, etc. which would be offering my services to the general public.

Item 3 – A business card is enclosed as requested.

Item 4 – No such articles or information published to general public or publications.

Item 5 – anything additional work done would have been performed under Item 1.

As stated in Item 2 above, I am employed by a metal building systems supplier (VP Buildings). As such, under this employment I do not offer professional services to the general public. As a supplier, we as a company provide design/detailing/and manufacturing of a product (metal building systems) which are sold to independent contractors throughout the United States, thus we are a supplier to a contractor and duly noted on the drawings for the construction of the product supplied by VP Buildings to the contractor which states:

EXHIBIT E


" The VP Engineer's seal applies only to the work product of VP and design and performance requirements specified by VP. The VP engineer's seal does not apply to the performance or desing of any other product or component furnished by VP except to an design or performance requirements specified by VP."

Also, please note that as a company, VP Buildings is defined as a manufacturer and not as a consultant. Thus no professional services are offered to the general public and any drawings, calculations, supplied are intended as only information to the design of the components supplied as well as to how the parts are to fit together in the field as shipped from the plant. VP Buildings does not perform nor advertise itself as an entity of Engineer of Record, nor do the engineers employed by VP Buildings who seal the drawings advertise nor perform as Engineer of Record.

Lastly, I reiterate that I did not receive my PE renewal form for the state of Minnesota, nor did I receive any follow up notification that my licensure dues was not paid and a penalty would be incurred. In no way did I intend to be malicious or unprofessional in my approach to licensure and it was upon notification from our builder that my license had lapsed, that I immediately retired my stamp until renewal and penalty payments were performed and approved through the state of Minnesota. Those have been performed and I am again licensed until June 2010 of this year.

If you have any further questions, or if any additional information and/or explanations are required, I can be reached any of the forms of communication below as well as my current business address.

Cordially,



Carl W. Walker, PE
Engineering Manager
Wisconsin Service Center
608-393-9300
cwalker@vp.com

File: File

