Minnesota State Capitol Preservation Committee
Art Subcommittee Meeting
April 1, 2016 Meeting Summary

Attendees

- Support: Erin Campbell, Cathy Klima, Alice Roberts-Davis, Brian Pease, Brian Szott, David Kelliher, Sharon Press, Barbara Mitchell Howard
- Interested public members, the media and others.

1. Call to Order - Rep. Loeffler presiding
2. Approval of summary March meeting
3. Announcements and updates
   Grand Reopening
   a. Senate passed bill to create Grand Re-Opening Committee
   b. The bill will go through a House hearing next week, before the Preservation Commission meeting on 4
   c. The goal for the committee is to raise $400,000 in private funds to pay for Grand Re-Opening activities
4. Briefing on National Register of Historic Places and the Minnesota State Historic Preservation Office role in undertakings that may affect a historic property.
   a. The Minnesota State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) has been consulting on the Capitol Restoration Project since the project’s inception.
   b. SHPO presented information to the subcommittee members on the process for a National Register of Historic places designation and about the procedures for undertakings that may affect an historic property.
   c. SHPO role is to advise, assist, and consult with local, state, and federal agencies. Two goals of consultation are: to ensure historic properties are considered as part of project planning and decision-making and, to avoid, minimize, or mitigate any adverse effects to historic properties.
   d. The Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties provides a framework for decision-making when a situation arises that may alter the property.
   e. Solutions to concerns about undertakings are agreed to on a case-by-case basis.
   a. Work group policy
      i. Rep. Loeffler provided the tri-chairs suggested guidelines for the scope work groups.
      ii. Main purpose: To gather information and present options for committee consideration. May make recommendations after fleshing out best options but will expect full committee discussion of the options.
         • Open to all members to volunteer
         • Tri-chairs appoint a convener from the volunteers
• Majority of participants are to be subcommittee members
• Charge established by the tri-chairs and approved by subcommittee
• Clear deadlines and deliverables.

6. Discussion of policy suggestions from members
   a. Prior to this meeting, members provided specific policy proposal ideas via email to Sharon Press
   b. The document, Building Consensus on Next Set of Recommendations, was developed from a subset of those ideas
   c. Members discussed the ideas in the document and then scored each idea on document during meeting. Results will be tabulated. Scoring will determine where there is consensus on recommendations and where there needs to be more work.
   d. Next Steps: Tri-chairs will create a consent agenda, members to take a vote, and move on.

7. Space discussion and prep for the tour following the meeting.
   a. Floor by floor review of use
   b. Spaces of greatest privilege