

Public Utilities Commission

Agenda

Tuesday, November 26, 2013

9:30 AM start time

Utilities represented: Telecom, Electricity, Natural Gas, Energy Facilities

To view all documents related to the following Agenda items, visit [eDockets](#)

DELIBERATION ITEMS

No Items

DECISION ITEMS

***1 P6910/NA-13-496**

Telco Experts, LLC

In the Matter of the Application of Telco Experts, LLC for a Certificate of Authority to Provide Resold Local Exchange and Interexchange Services. (PUC: Oberlander; DOC: Heaston) **NOTE:** The Company did not file reply comments. Staff supports the DOC's recommendation to deny the Company's application for authority without prejudice.

2 E295,112/SA-13-818

**Princeton Public Utilities;
East Central Energy**

Joint Request of Princeton Public Utilities and East Central Energy for Approval of a Service Territory Agreement. (PUC: Fournier; DOC: Lusti) **NOTE:** Staff agrees with the Department's October 11, 2013 comments.

3 E017/M-13-977

Otter Tail Power Company

Otter Tail Power Company's Petition for Approval of a Variance to the Customer Service Rules Governing Billing Errors. (PUC: Fournier; DOC: Zajicek) **NOTE:** Staff agrees with the Department's comments.

4 E017/M-13-916

Otter Tail Power Company

Annual Petition of Otter Tail Power Company for Updating the Composite rate (Section 14.10, WAPA Bill Crediting Program) and the request for extension of the related Accounting Variance. (PUC: Alonso; DOC: Lusti) **NOTE:** Staff agrees with the DOC recommendation.

***5 E015/M-13-410**

Minnesota Power

In the Matter of Minnesota Power's Petition for approval of its 2013 Renewable Resources Rider Factor.

Should the Commission approve Minnesota Power's 2013 Renewable Resources Rider rates? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - **Morrissey, Kaml, Dasinger**)

***6 G007,011/AI-10-783**

Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC)

In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Approval of an Affiliated Interest Agreement

Should the Commission approve the proposed Agreement as approved by the Illinois Commerce Commission and the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin, including the Addendum?

Should the Commission require MERC to file reports and studies, and if so, when should they be filed? (PUC: **Bender, Dasinger**)

***7 ET2/TL-12-1245**

Great River Energy

In the Matter of the Application of Great River Energy for a Route Permit for a 115 kV Transmission Line Project in the Elko New Market and Cleary Lake Areas in Scott and Rice Counties, Minnesota.

What action should the Commission take regarding route alternatives to be evaluated in the environmental assessment? (PUC: **Ham**)

***8 E015/TL-13-805**

Minnesota Power

In the Matter of the Minnesota Power Route Permit Application for the Canisteo HVTL Project in Itasca County.

Should the Commission find the route permit application complete? Should the Commission refer the matter to the Office of Administrative Hearings for a contested case proceeding?

Should the Commission appoint a public advisor?

Should the Commission establish an advisory task force? (PUC: **Kaluzniak**)

***9 E002/CN-11-332;
E002/TL-11-948**

Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy

In the Matter of the Application to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission for a Certificate of Need for the Scott County - Westgate 115 kV Transmission Line Rebuild Project;

In the Matter of the Application for a Route Permit for the Southwest Twin Cities Scott County - Westgate 115 kV Transmission Line Project.

Should the Commission find that the Environmental Assessment on this project is complete and addresses the Scoping Decision of the Department of Commerce? Should the Commission grant a Certificate of Need for the proposed 115 kV transmission line project? Should the Commission grant a Route Permit for the proposed 115 kV transmission line project? If approved, should the Commission include any additional permit conditions?
(PUC: **Kaluzniak**)

*** One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.**
**** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved.**
(Ex Parte Rules apply)