

Public Utilities Commission

Agenda

Wednesday, July 17, 2013

9:30 AM start time

Utilities represented: Electricity, Natural Gas, Energy Facilities

To view all documents related to the following Agenda items, visit [eDockets](#)

DELIBERATION ITEMS

No Items

DECISION ITEMS

1 E212,E100/SA-13-469

**City of Benson;
Agralite Electric
Cooperative**

Approved.

Joint Request of the City of Benson and Agralite Electric Cooperative for Approval to Modify Electric Service Territory Boundaries. (DOC: [Comments](#) - Lusti)

2 ET6133/RP-11-771

**Minnesota Municipal
Power Agency**

Approved.

In the Matter of Minnesota Municipal Power Agency's Application for Integrated Resource Plan Approval, 2012-2026 – [Petition for Variance](#). (PUC: Rebholz; DOC: [Comments](#) - Davis)

****3 G011/M-10-407;
G007/M-10-409**

**Minnesota Energy
Resources Corporation**

**Reconsideration
Denied.**

In the Matter of a Petition by Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation-PNG for Approval of its 2009 Conservation Improvement Program (CIP) Tracker Account, Demand-Side Management (DSM) Financial Incentive and CIP Adjustment Factor.

In the Matter of a Petition by Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation-NMU for Approval of its 2009 CIP Tracker Account, DSM Financial Incentive and CIP Adjustment Factor.

Should the Commission Reconsider its May 9, 2013 ORDER ENDING SUSPENSION, EXEMPTING ELIGIBLE CUSTOMERS FROM RATE RECOVERY OF CONSERVATION COSTS, SETTING REFUND AND REPAYMENT REQUIREMENTS, AND REQUIRING FURTHER FILINGS?

(PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - Brill, Gonzalez)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument. (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6) In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

****4 G-999/AA-11-793, et al** **All Commission-Regulated Natural Gas Utilities** **Reports accepted with conditions.**

In the Matter of the Review of the 2010-2011 Annual Automatic Adjustment Reports and Annual Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) True-up Filings.

Should the Commission accept the natural gas utilities' 2010-2011 annual automatic adjustment reports and 2010-2011 annual true-up filings?

Should the Commission disallow \$55,885 of adjustments, with or without interest, included in Great Plains Natural Gas Company's beginning cumulative true-up balances?

Should the Commission further clarify Minnesota Rule 7825.2700, subparts 4, 5, and 7? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) / [Revised Decision Alternatives](#) - **Bender, Harding**)

****5 ET2/RP-12-1114** **Great River Energy** **Plan rejected; instructions for future filings issued.**

In the Matter of Great River Energy's 2012 Integrated Resource Plan

1. Should the Commission accept GRE's resource plan?
2. Should the Commission take any action in response to GRE's May 20, 2013 Notice of Changed Circumstances regarding Genoa 3? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - **Rebholz**)

The following items will not be heard before 10:30 a.m.

***6 E002,ET2/TL-09-1056** **Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy; Great River Energy** **Authorized minor alteration requests 1, 2, 3, and 4 without conditions.**

In the Matter of the Application for a Route Permit for the Fargo to St. Cloud 345 kV Transmission Line Project.

Should the Commission authorize the minor alteration request? If the minor alteration is approved, should any conditions be required? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - **Ek**)

***7 E002,ET2/TL-08-1474** **Great River Energy; Xcel Energy** **Authorized minor alteration request without conditions.**

In the Matter of the Route Permit Application for a 345 kV Transmission Line from Brookings County, South Dakota to Hampton, Minnesota.

Should the Commission authorize the minor alteration request? If so, what conditions, if any, should the Commission attach to the Minor Alteration? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - **Kaluzniak**)

****8 PL9/CN-12-590**

Enbridge Energy Limited Partnership Granted Certificate of Need.

In the Matter of the Application of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership for a Certificate of Need for the Line 67 Station Upgrade Project in Marshall, Clearwater, and Itasca Counties, Minnesota.

Should the Commission grant a certificate of need? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - **Kaluzniak**)

- * One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.
 - ** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved.
- (Ex Parte Rules apply)