

Public Utilities Commission

Agenda

Thursday, May 30, 2013

9:30 AM start time

Utilities represented: Telecom, Electricity, Natural Gas

To view all documents related to the following Agenda items, visit [eDockets](#)

DELIBERATION ITEMS

No Items

DECISION ITEMS

****1 P999/CI-13-213 All Local Service Providers** Surcharge set at \$0.03/line, benefits at \$3.50/mo.

In the Matter of the Telephone Assistance Plan (TAP).

What changes should the Commission make to the benefit and/or surcharge levels?
(PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - Krishnan)

2 E017/D-12-933 Otter Tail Power Company Depreciation Study results certified.

In the Matter of Otter Tail Power Company's (OTP) 2012 Annual Review of Depreciation Certification. (PUC: Dasinger; DOC: [Comments](#) - Addonizio)

***3 E,G002/M-13-161 Northern States Power Company** Approved.
d/b/a Xcel Energy

In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy, for Approval of Modification to SEP Tariff Rates, 2013 Project Eligibility, 2013 SEP Adjustment Factors and 2012 SEP Compliance Filing.

Should the Commission approve the modification of the SEP tariff rates, 2013 project eligibility, 2013 SEP adjustment factors and 2012 SEP compliance filing?
(PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - Morrissev, Dasinger)

****4 E015/D-12-378 Minnesota Power** Approve with conditions; generic docket opened.

In the Matter of Minnesota Power's 2012 Remaining Life Depreciation Petition.

Should the Commission approve Minnesota Power's 2012 Remaining Life Depreciation petition? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - Dasinger, Schwieger)

****5 E,G999/CI-12-1344 All Rate-Regulated Utilities** Task force organized.

In the Matter of a Commission Inquiry into Privacy Policies of Rate-Regulated Energy Utilities.

1. Should the Commission establish uniform customer data collection and privacy practices for rate-regulated utilities?
 - a. If yes, what should the process be?
 - b. If no, should utilities be required to report as to current practices and explain how these are reasonable and sufficient at this time?
2. Should the Commission take up specific issues depending on gaps or urgency as identified by parties in comments? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) / [Appendix B](#) - Stueve, Rebholz)

* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.

** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved.
(Ex Parte Rules apply)