

Public Utilities Commission

Agenda

Thursday, April 25, 2013

9:30 AM start time

Utilities represented: Electricity, Energy Facilities

To view all documents related to the following Agenda items, visit [eDockets](#)

DELIBERATION ITEMS

No Items

DECISION ITEMS

***1 E015/S-13-126**

Minnesota Power

In the Matter of Minnesota Power's Request Under Minnesota Statutes Section § 216B.49 for Approval of its Capital Structure and Authorization to Issue Securities.

Should the Commission approve Minnesota Power's request for approval to issue securities?

Should the Commission approve Minnesota Power's proposed capital structure and capitalizations?

Should the Commission require Minnesota Power to file additional information?
(PUC: **Kaml**)

***2 E002/GR-10-971;
E002/M-10-1278**

Xcel Energy

In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota Corporation, for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota;
In the Matter of a Petition by Xcel Energy for Approval of Revisions to the Solar*Rewards Program.

Should the Commission accept Xcel's proposal to file its Effective Load Carrying Capability (ELCC) study on May 1, 2013 and to propose a solar rate based on this study and the Company's Solar Load Profile Study within a period of time to be designated by the Commission? (PUC: **Mackenzie**)

***3 E015/M-12-233**

Minnesota Power

In the Matter of Minnesota Power's Petition for Approval of a Temporary Rider for Residential Time-of-Day Rate for Participants of the Smart Grid Advanced Metering Infrastructure ("AMP") Pilot Project.

Should the Commission approve Minnesota Power's proposed privacy policy for its Time of Use pilot? (PUC: **Rebholz, Stueve**)

***4 E001/RP-08-673**

Interstate Power and Light Company

In the Matter of Interstate Power and Light's 2011-2025 Integrated Resource Plan.

What actions, if any, should the Commission take regarding consideration of IPL's baseload diversification study?

Should the Commission consider the filings by IPL to be a new resource plan filing in compliance with its March 2, 2012 Order? (PUC: **Stalpes, Rebholz**)

***5 E015/RP-13-53**

Minnesota Power

In the Matter of Minnesota Power's Integrated Resource Plan.

- 1) Should the Commission establish a procedural framework for this proceeding?
- 2) Is Minnesota Power's resource plan complete? (PUC: **Rebholz, Stalpes**)

***6 IP6646/CN-13-193**

EDF Renewable Energy

In the Matter of the Application of EDF Renewable Energy for a Certificate of need for the 100 MW Stoneray Wind Project in Pipestone and Murray Counties, Minnesota.

Should the Commission approve the request for exemption from certain certificate of need application content requirements?

Should the Commission vary Minn. Rule 7849.0200, subp. 6, to allow the filing of EDF Renewables certificate of need application within in 45 days of its request for exemption? (PUC: **DeBleekere**)

***7 IP6839/WS-10-119**

Oak Glen Wind Farm, LLC / Avant Energy

In the Matter of the Application of Oak Glen Wind Farm, LLC for a 44 Megawatt (MW) Large Wind Energy Conversion System (LWECS) in Steele County.

Should the Commission approve the Post-Construction Noise Study Proposal for the Oak Glen Wind Farm in Steele County? (PUC: **DeBleekere**)

***8 IP6843/WS-10-425**

Prairie Rose Wind, LLC

In the Matter of the Application of Prairie Rose Wind, LLC for a Large Wind Energy Conversion System Site Permit for the 200 MW Prairie Rose Wind Farm in Rock and Pipestone Counties.

Should the Commission approve the Post-Construction Noise Study Proposal?
(PUC: **Ek**)

***9 IP6684/WS-08-1448**

Buffalo Ridge Power Partners, LLC

In the Matter of the Application of Buffalo Ridge Power Partners, LLC for an up to 138 MW Large Wind energy Conversion System in Yellow Medicine and Lincoln Counties.

Should the Commission revoke the project site permit? (PUC: **Kaluzniak**)

***10 PL9/CN-13-153**

Enbridge Energy

In the Matter of the Application of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership for a Certificate of Need for the Line 67 Station Upgrade Project – Phase 2 in Kittson, Marshall, Red Lake, Clearwater, Cass, Itasca, and St. Louis Counties, Minnesota

Should the Commission approve the proposed Notice Plan? (PUC: **Kaluzniak**)

***11 E002/CN-12-1240**

Xcel Energy

In the Matter of the Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy to Initiate a Competitive Resource Acquisition Process.

Should the Commission vary the deadline established for Commission consideration of the completeness of the application and proposals in its November 21, 2012 Order Closing Docket, Establishing New Docket, and Schedule for Competitive Resource Acquisition Process? (PUC: **DeBleekere**)

*** One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.**

**** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved.**

(Ex Parte Rules apply)