

# Public Utilities Commission

## Agenda

Thursday, March 21, 2013

9:30 AM start time

Utilities represented: Telecom, Energy Facilities, Electricity, Natural Gas

To view all documents related to the following Agenda items, visit [eDockets](#)

---

### DELIBERATION ITEMS

*No Items*

---

### DECISION ITEMS

**\*\*1 P6894/M-12-969**                      **Boomerang Wireless LLC**                      **Approved with conditions**

In the Matter of the Petition of Boomerang Wireless LLC (Boomerang) for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (ETC) in Minnesota.

Should the Commission approve Boomerang's petition for ETC designation?  
(PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - O'Grady)

**\*\*2 P421/AM-12-1236**                      **CenturyLink;  
Dex Media East, Inc.**                      **Variance denied;  
rulemaking opened**

In the matter of a petition by Dex Media East, Inc., (Dex) for a variance of Minn. Rules 7810.2900 and 7812.0600 requiring distribution of printed residence white pages to all customers served by CenturyLink in the State of Minnesota.

1. Is a variance of Minn. Rules 7810.2900 and 7812.0600 warranted?
2. If a variance is warranted, what type of variance should the Commission grant?
3. If a variance is warranted, should it be subject to conditions?
4. If a variance is warranted, what should be the term of variance?
5. If a variance is warranted, should the variance be granted to all the competitive carriers operating in CenturyLink's service area?
6. Should the Commission open a generic, or rulemaking, docket regarding the effect of the directory rules? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - Krishnan)

**\*\*3 IP6688/CN-08-961**                      **EcoHarmony West Wind, LLC**                      **Change in size,  
ownership accepted  
without recertification**

In the Matter of the Certificate of Need Application issued to EcoHarmony West Wind, LLC for a 280 Megawatt Large Wind Energy Facility in Fillmore County.

Should the Commission find that the project changes are acceptable without recertification or rehearing? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - DeBleekere)

**\*\*4 IP6688/WS-08-973                      EcoHarmony West Wind, LLC                      Permit amended with modifications**

In the Matter of the Site Permit Application issued to EcoHarmony West Wind, LLC for a 280 Megawatt Large Wind Energy Facility in Fillmore County.

Should the Commission grant the request to amend the site permit?  
(PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - DeBleekere)

**\*\*5 E,G001/AI-12-32;                      Interstate Power and Light Company                      Affiliate interest/property transfer approval filing required**  
**E,G001/AI-12-187;**  
**E,G001/AI-12-192;**  
**E,G001/M-12-792;**  
**E,G001/M-12-1157**

In the Matter of IPL’s Petition for Approval of a Common Facilities Capacity Agreement with its Affiliate, Franklin County Wind, LLC;  
In the Matter of IPL’s Petition for Approval of a Land Lease Agreement with its Affiliate, Franklin County Wind, LLC;  
In the Matter of IPL’s Petition for Approval of a Local Balancing Authority Agreement with its Affiliate, Franklin County Wind, LLC;  
In the Matter of Interstate Power and Light Company’s Transfer of Land Easements, Wind Rights, and Rights to Purchase Wind Turbines, to its affiliate, Franklin County Wind, LLC;  
In the Matter of Interstate Power & Light Company – Electric & Gas Petition for Approval of an Affiliated Interest Agreement Between Interstate Power & Light Company and Franklin County Wind, LLC

Does the transfer of Turbine, Land, and Wind Rights from IPL to its affiliate, Franklin County Wind, LLC (“FCW”), through the Wind Development Assets Purchase and Sale Agreement (“Agreement”) require Commission approval?  
Should the Commission deny the E,G001/AI-12-32; E,G001/AI-12-187; E,G001/AI-12-192 and EG001/AI-12-1157 (the “Four Proposed Agreements”) without prejudice or request further comments on the merits of one or more of these petitions?  
(PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - Kaml, Bender, Morrissey)

**\*\*6 E,G001/AI-12-912                      Interstate Power and Light Company                      Approved with condition**

In the Matter of IPL's Petition for Approval of an Affiliated Interest Agreement with Cedar Rapids and Iowa City Railway Company.

Is IPL's petition complete?  
Should the Commission approve IPL’s affiliated interest agreement with Cedar Rapids and Iowa City Railway Company (“CRANDIC” or “CIC”)? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - Kaml, Morrissey)

\* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.

\*\* Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved.  
(Ex Parte Rules apply)