

Public Utilities Commission

Agenda

Thursday, March 7, 2013

9:30 AM start time

Utilities represented: Telecom, Energy Facilities, Electricity, Natural Gas

To view all documents related to the following Agenda items, visit [eDockets](#)

DELIBERATION ITEMS

No Items

DECISION ITEMS

*1 P999/M-12-356	All Telephone Companies	Closed docket
-------------------------	--------------------------------	---------------

In the Matter of Intercarrier Compensation Reform Required by FCC Order.
(PUC: Fournier; DOC: [Comments](#) - Linscheid) **NOTE:** Staff agrees with the Department's recommendation to close the case.

**2 P6883/M-11-1249	Q Link Wireless LLC	Approved ETC designation
----------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------

In the Matter of the Petition of Q Link Wireless LLC (Q Link) for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (ETC) in Minnesota.

Should the Commission approve Q Link's petition for ETC designation?
(PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - O'Grady)

*3 E002/TL-12-1151	Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy	Accepted route permit as complete; referred to OAH
---------------------------	--	--

In the Matter of the Application of Xcel Energy for a Route Permit for the Kohlman Lake to Goose Lake 115 kV Transmission Line Upgrade Project in Ramsey County, Minnesota.

Should the Commission accept the route permit application as complete?
(PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) / [Revised Briefing Papers](#) - Ek)

4 E111/M-13-39 **Dakota Electric Association** Approved
Petition to Modify the Monthly Rate for the Optional Renewable Energy Rider.
(PUC: Mackenzie; DOC: [Comments](#) - La Plante)

5 E002/M-13-19 **Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy** Approved variance
Request for a Variance to the Billing Error Rules. (PUC: Rebholz;
DOC: [Comments](#) - La Plante)

****6 E999/CI-09-1449** **Minnesota Electric Utilities** Accepted filings as
complying with
8-31-12 Order

In the Matter of an Investigation of Whether the Commission Should Take Action on Demand Response Bid Directly into the MISO Markets by Aggregators of Retail Customers ("ARCs") under FERC Orders 719 and 719-A.

Should the Commission accept the utilities' filings as complying with the August 31, 2012 Order in this docket?

Should the Commission modify its May 18, 2010 Order prohibiting ARC operation in Minnesota to allow ARC operation in Minnesota?

Should the Commission require utilities to work with independent third parties to develop additional Demand Side Management ("DSM") projects?

Should the Commission require utilities to propose one or more pilot projects?

Should the Commission require utilities to make additional filings regarding ARC activities in wholesale markets operated by MISO and other independent system operators and regional transmission organizations? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - Kaml, Rebholz)

* **One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.**

** **Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved.**
(Ex Parte Rules apply)