the young man, his past life and character—and the people who wished to adopt the baby.

Under the circumstances it would not have been wise to make the young mother keep her baby, but it did seem wise to help her keep her chance for an education and to help her keep her place in her community. It also seemed right that parenthood should be established and the young man made to feel the seriousness of the situation. I believe from the treatment of this case that several people are going to be better men and women,—the parents of the girl, the girl, the man, the foster parents and the baby himself as he grows to realize his place in life.

The problems here were not just the adoption of the child. They were the unmarried mother and father, the illegitimate child and the broken-hearted parents.

But shall we say that the local child welfare board can settle back now and feel satisfied that there is nothing more to do? True, there is probably nothing more to do regarding the baby. But what about the girl? Does not the hardest part of the problem come now? Has not the child welfare board the responsibility of helping the girl regain her happy, young girlhood? This fearful experience cannot be wiped from the girl’s mind and soul, but day by day and month by month she must be helped to interpret it sweetly and honestly so that she can face her future normally. What kind of a woman’s character the girl has depends very much upon what is given to her by the people of her community. Are you members of the child welfare board interpreting your problems in that light? When you have an unmarried mother and an illegitimate child, do you see the relations to the facts which you gain? Do you always obtain enough facts so that you can understand the problems? We must realize that the deep responsibility is put upon us when we are teaching in some way one of these children,—when we are helping to mold the future of these children. We are arranging the tomorrow for them. But before doing so we must know what today and yesterday and the day before that has given them. I read recently a quotation which perhaps sums it all up, “The human soul, not the body, should be the starting point of all our labors.”
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A STATE PROGRAM FOR MENTAL DEFECTIVES

Agnes Crowley, Supervisor, Department for Feeble-minded, Children’s Bureau

Work with feeble-minded children and adults is very closely linked up with all other phases of child welfare work. Many of the problems met in the latter field result from lack of proper activity in the former, and treatment in the various phases of case work with children must be based on a complete understanding of the whole subject of feeble-mindedness. We are continually finding among ourselves new commitments in feeble-mindedness cases who have just come up as relief problems, as the parents of neglected children, orphans or dependent, or as delinquent numbers of the feeble-minded necessitate state and even national action, for any effective plan for solving the many problems offered by them is beyond the efforts of a private agency, but because the situation is one in which the majority of social agencies are forced to be interested, it has seemed desirable to bring to you an outline and to solicit your suggestions for a state program for mental defectives.

Our law dealing with the commitment of the feeble-minded which places the patient under the guardianship of the state—was vested in the Board of Control—had no room in their mental picture of feeblemindedness for anything but a helpless idiot and who consider any high grade imbecile or moron as good as the average of the general public,—the officials who can not see that it is these higher grade defectives who are contributing in large measures to the various institutions in the state for the defective, dependent and delinquent classes and who are reproducing their kind in alarming numbers. For the present, however, except in a few minor technicalities, the law relating to the commitment of the mentally deficient is adequate.

However, there is a piece of legislation regarding the feeble-minded which is practically a dead letter and which needs some changes before it can be made effective. That is the law which makes it illegal to marry any feeble-minded, epileptic or insane person. We can never expect to enforce this law as long as we make it possible for license clerks to truthfully assert that have no means of knowing when the person is feeble-minded; in fact they may never see the second party to the marriage, since both do not have to apply for the license. In order to make this law effective, other legislation is necessary and toward that we must bend all our efforts and educate public opinion.

First, we must make possible a survey of the state to locate our feeble-minded. This can be done principally through the examination of our school children and after the first census is compiled it will be a comparatively small task to keep it up to date. At least, one other state has begun such a survey with the idea of keeping a confidential directory of the feeble-minded for official purposes.
Secondly,—and this needs to be done not alone for the feeble-minded,—we must change our marriage laws so that both parties to the marriage will have to appear when filing an application for a license and so that a few days will intervene between the time the license is issued and the ceremony performed. Many of you will readily call to mind highly undesirable marriages which could have been prevented had there been even twenty-four hours' delay before the ceremony, as contrasted with the occasional alliance we have been quick enough to ward off though the bride was ready in her new organdie dress and the bridal veil was hanging on the wall. May I here comfort those sentimentally inclined by stating that in our experience the bride has not always proved insensible over her frustrated plans for matrimony, and has been heard to remark that after all she did not know the man very well and maybe she would not have liked him so much if she had been allowed to wait. These people drift into matrimony in such a casual fashion that separation from their proposed life partners is not always a matter of deep sorrow to them. In fact, many of them drift out of their alliances with as little feeling as they entered them. We recently had an example of this when one of our feeble-minded girls—let us call her Annie—ran away from her employer and was persuaded by an old friend named Maggie not to return. Maggie had a ledger, Bill, whom Annie had met a few times. When she broke away from her job, she was rather at a loss to know what to do next and as Bill tells it she came into his house one evening when he was there and after exchanging the usual pleasantries with him, suggered that he marry her. Bill was a bit embarrassed at this proposal since he had little ready money and did not consider himself in a position to marry, but as Annie urged him to do it, he finally sought advice from Maggie. Maggie, however, refused to get mixed up in it, so Bill yielded to Annie's entreaties (evidently she thought marriage was the way to put that need before the people of the state so that we shall get a psychopathic hospital in 1923) to dismiss the whole subject with the sweeping statement made recently by one of the medical men who later are called on to act on the boards of examiners in hearings in feeble-mindedness or insanity. Our last resort is estimated to be as high as 50 per cent. These persons, who frequently are returned again and again to the institutions, not only serve as a bad influence among the other inmates during their various incarcerations but often commit serious crimes while enjoying their intermittent stretches of freedom. As soon as we recognize this group and make some special provision for the defective delinquents as a class, just so quickly will the reformatories be able to turn to their real business of reforming the reformable.

Another marriage which had even less planning was performed a few years ago at a fair when a feeble-minded girl was persuaded to marry a feeble-minded man just to create a little diversion for those attending the fair. As a result there are now three idiot children awaiting admission to the custodial department of the institution and two or three other children in need of special training and state supervision. This little side show at a small county fair is going to cost the institution and two or three other children in need of special training and state supervision. This little side show at a small county fair is going to cost Minnesota many thousand dollars. It is important that our marriage laws be corrected not only to improve the race by the elimination of the mentally defective, but to make it unnecessary for the normal to bear the by no means small burden of supporting such large numbers of the unfit.

Even those most prolific in plans for curtailing the reproduction of the feeble-minded, and eventually eliminating the whole group, admit that we will have the defective with us for a long time to come and that we must train those who are educable and if possible turn some of them from liabilities into assets. This training is offered either by the state in the institution for the feeble-minded or by the public schools. The institution at Faribault, now practically filled to its capacity of nearly 1,800, cannot possibly take in all the defective children whose home conditions are so bad or who are so vicious that institutional care is necessary. The state decidedly needs increased institutional facilities for this group. On the other hand, there are many definitely feeble-minded children who can be safely left at home and trained in the special classes of the public schools. In the larger cities these children are gradually being taken care of, but in the rural districts no provision is made for them and they continue to drag on through the district school making demands on the time of the teachers which would be more profitably spent on the normal pupils. Of course, while the little red schoolhouse remains a sacred national institution we cannot expect school boards to start special classes for the two or three or four subnormal children to be found in each group of twenty or more children collected in the one-roomed schoolhouses. But we should strive for the sake of the normal as well as the defective child to encourage the establishment of consolidated schools with their possibilities for special classes for the feeble-minded as well as for increased opportunities for the other children, and in addition we should make the establishment of special classes compulsory as other states are doing and not allow school superintendents to dismiss the whole subject with the sweeping statement made recently by one of them that there are no feeble-minded children in his county.

Any state program for work with this group of the population needs to include a psychopathic hospital with its facilities for the observation and diagnosis of borderline feeble-minded cases as well as cases of mental disorder and for the training it offers, and as long as we have these facilities we should be able to utilize them to the full. In order that we may get a much-needed correctional facility in Minnesota, the state must be willing to spend the money necessary to operate such an institution. As soon as we recognize this group and make some special provision for the defective delinquents as a class, just so quickly will the reformatories be able to turn to their real business of reforming the reformable.

After the special classes are provided for the trainable defectives and institutional facilities for the custodial cases and defective delinquents, we must turn our attention to the large group of high grade imbecile and moron adults who under suitable conditions can be made self-supporting. Our committee law makes it possible to place these people under the guardianship of the state, and thus direct and control their activities. To make the guardianship most effective we must have the co-operation of all our child welfare boards and of the communities as a whole in order that we may find the right kind of work, homes and recreation for these people who are under supervision. Many times it is necessary to remove the patient from his old surroundings to new ones, until he is finally adjusted, and to this end the child welfare boards should begin to work out plans so that a patient from one county can be placed in a home and given a new start in another county when a change is desirable. Each community should be made to realize its responsibility toward its defectives and trained to protect, not exploit, them. It should be remembered that the feeble-minded person has not good judgment and is very suggestive, that if the community offers him guidance and protection he will likely be a peaceable citizen but if the community fails in this
or if some members of it encourage anti-social conduct on the part of the defective he will probably turn into a serious menace.

The truth of this is forcibly brought out by the story of one of our recently committed feeble-minded men who as a small child was shipped west by a child-placing society and brought up in the home of a priest in one of our neighboring states. When he stopped going to school he worked at various jobs, chiefly in restaurants as dishwasher. A short time before the war he was practically kidnapped by two hotel men and brought into Minnesota to act as roustabout in their hotel. He left them to spend a little over a year in the army on "k. p." duty, and returned after his discharge to them. This hotel was known to the small city in which it was situated as a disreputable place but no attempt was made to do anything for this feeble-minded man until it was found out by chance that he was seriously endangering the morals of the young boys in the community, whereupon a hue and cry was immediately raised to get the man sent to a state institution. Now this man is a good steady worker, but very suggestible, and through threats he was made to engage in the most immoral practices at the hotel, and charged from a harmless member of society into a very vicious one. If someone had assumed the responsibility of providing state guardianship for this man in his youth it is probable that he would always have been a fairly useful citizen. As it is now, it is not safe to allow him to be at large and the state must support him in one of its institutions in order that he will not have an opportunity to corrupt the young boys with whom he might come into contact.

In summing up a state program for the feeble-minded, the following points are to be made:

1. We need to correct our marriage laws and take a census of the feeble-minded in the state to the end that they may not so easily marry and reproduce their kind.

2. We should provide better training for the feeble-minded children by increased institutional and public school facilities, and should make special classes compulsory where a sufficient number of defective children is found in a school district.

3. We must urge the establishment of a psychopathic hospital for the diagnosis of border-line cases and for the training of physicians.

4. We should recognize the defective delinquents as a separate class and make provision for them, thus relieving the institutions for defectives and delinquents of this troublesome group.

5. It is important that our child welfare boards co-operate more closely with each other and the state in finding work and homes for the feeble-minded adults who have no vicious tendencies and can be supervised in the community.

6. Our communities must be awakened to their responsibilities toward the defective members of the group so that instead of passively allowing them to drift into trouble or actively encouraging them in vice they will protect them.