

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Leland Johnson  
NAME (please print)  
1943 Pinehurst Ave. St. Paul MN 55116  
ADDRESS 651-262-4487 CITY, STATE, ZIP  
651-263-6477  
PHONE 651-622-5086 EMAIL leland & phil @ gmail . com

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Ellen Yusk

NAME (please print)

1249 Osceola Ave

ADDRESS

St. Paul, MN, 55105

CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-492-4352

PHONE

eyuska001@st-paul.k12.mn.us

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Ellie Smith  
NAME (please print)  
1495 Hamline ave N Saint Paul, MN, 55108  
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP  
651-336-5924 esmith1816@gmail.com  
PHONE EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Vaneda Vang

NAME (please print)

1541 Ashland Ave. #2

St Paul, MN, 55104

ADDRESS

(651) 497-1321

CITY, STATE, ZIP

vanedatang@yahoo.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Simon deNoyelles*

NAME (please print)

*1094 Portland ave*

*Saint Paul, Minnesota, 55104*

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

*651-295-5845*

*Simon.den@icloud.com*

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

MARGARET (MAGGIE) MOGG  
NAME (please print)  
58138 STATE HWY. 87 MENAHGA, MN - 56464-2005  
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP  
1-(218) 564-4155 SHEEPDOG1@WCTA.NET  
PHONE EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Grace Dodge

NAME (please print)

2107 Temple Ct

ADDRESS

St. Paul MN

CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-354-8332

PHONE

gdodge.2019@gmail.com

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Elsa Schroeder*

NAME (please print)

*141 McBoal St*

ADDRESS

*St. Paul, MN, 55102*

CITY, STATE, ZIP

*elsams221@gmail.com*

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Madeline Farber*

NAME (please print)

*2157 James Ave.*

ADDRESS

*6512046605*

PHONE

*St. Paul, MN, 55105*

CITY, STATE, ZIP

*farberds@yahoo.com*

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Spanier ~~At mt Juncas~~ Ware Juncas*

---

NAME (please print)

*420 Holly Ave, St. Paul, MN, 55102*

---

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Richard Johnson

NAME (please print)

9140 Hakola Rd

ADDRESS

Hibbing MN 55746

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Janette Kallio*

---

NAME (please print) *Janette Kallio*

---

*5874 Long Lake Road* *Chisholm, MN* *55719*

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

---

*218-254-5283*

PHONE

---

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Larry Killien

NAME (please print)

ADDRESS

966-2969

City, State, Zip 55719

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Christine Tepke*

NAME (please print)

*1005 9th St S*

ADDRESS

*Virginia, MN 55792*

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

*218-428-9225*

*tepster@mac.com*

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Dorothy Oie*

NAME (please print)

*7402 E. Donnewood Cir. Britt Mn 55710*

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

*218-780-1021*

EMAIL

*bluebird.oie@gmail.com*

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Barbara Border

NAME (please print)

PO Box 626

ADDRESS

218 780 9151

PHONE

Buhl MN 55713

CITY, STATE, ZIP

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Anne Bies

NAME (please print)

ADDRESS

Virginia MN  
CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

annebies@earthlink.net

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Shawn Hainey  
NAME (please print)

ADDRESS

Embarass MN

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

218-410-6100

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Lindsey Holien*

---

NAME (please print)

---

*3145 Hwy 5*      *Hibbing, MN 55746*

---

ADDRESS      CITY, STATE, ZIP

---

*218 969 5481*      *captainlindsey@hotmail.com*

---

PHONE      EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

NAME (please print)

Mon Cuy Non

ADDRESS

540 Edward Ave

CITY, STATE, ZIP

East of Wisconsin

PHONE

507 356 4444

EMAIL

MonCuyNon@sierraclub.org

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Ellie G.*

NAME (please print)

*1022 Fairmont Ave*

*St. Paul MN 55125*

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

*(651)-295-1634*

PHONE

  
EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Austin Van Scoy*

NAME (please print)

*Vermilion C.C.*

ADDRESS

*Ely, MN, 55737*

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL *ajvanScoy@hotmail.com*

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

  
NAME (please print)

5657 Hwy 73

ADDRESS

218-780-9613

PHONE

Chisholm, MN, 55719

CITY, STATE, ZIP

featherflight@gmail.com

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Alexander Roth

NAME (please print)

5127 E Hwy MN 55731

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

rothman\_4@msn.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Mykaela Paradis  
NAME (please print)

1252 Wakely Road  
ADDRESS

Cook, MN 55723  
CITY, STATE, ZIP

218-666-2526  
PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*



# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Phang Lee*  
NAME (please print) \_\_\_\_\_  
*1059 Agate Street* ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_ *Saint Paul, MN, 55117* CITY, STATE, ZIP \_\_\_\_\_  
*N/A* PHONE \_\_\_\_\_ *Phang.dia.lee22@gmail.com* EMAIL \_\_\_\_\_

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Fadumo egr

NAME (please print)

ADDRESS

657-529-4611

CITY, STATE, ZIP

fadumoegr@gmail.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Anthony Tavinella*  
NAME (please print) Anthony Tavinella

1226 GOODRICH AVE MN Saint Paul 55105

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

612-559-0919 20x ATMOSPHERE@gmail.com

PHONE EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

*We say NO*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Elizabeth Bergmann  
NAME (please print)

1104 Orange Ave. E.  
ADDRESS

St. Paul, Minnesota 55106  
CITY, STATE, ZIP

(651)-271-9647  
PHONE

elbergmann05@gmail.com  
EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Emma Nelson

NAME (please print)

2174 James Avenue

St. Paul, MN

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-315-4376

emma@nelson.us

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Isabella Rohde  
NAME (please print)  
1212 Marshall Ave  
ADDRESS St. Paul MN 55104  
612-741-6231  
PHONE Rohdebella@gmail.com  
EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Giovanni B. Gallo  
NAME (please print)

1158 McLeary Ave  
ADDRESS

St. Paul, MN, 55106  
CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-492-2556  
PHONE

grogallo17@gmail.com  
EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

Already ↑

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Katherine Riggs*

NAME (please print)

2013 Marshall Ave

St. Paul MN 55104

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Riley Ives*

---

NAME (please print)

---

*1007 Montana Ave. W.* *ST. PAUL, MN, 55117*

---

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

---

*[Redacted]*

---

PHONE EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Max Peterson*

NAME (please print)

ADDRESS

PHONE

EMAIL

*St. Paul, MN, 55104*  
CITY, STATE, ZIP

*651-233-3957*

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Miriam Jepsen

NAME (please print)

513 Portland Ave. St. Paul MN 55102

ADDRESS

651 253 5449

CITY, STATE, ZIP

ppurplettes@gmail.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

Handwritten initials "ST" and a smiley face "☺" above the letter.

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Keyshann Tubor  
NAME (please print)

841 Dayton Ave St Paul MN 55104  
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-726-0280  
PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recent report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

CHER YANG

NAME (please print)

770 Minnehaha AVE E.

St Paul MN, 55106

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

(651) 399-0098

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Inge Bischof

NAME (please print)

822 Fairmount Ave.

St. Paul, MN 55105

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-647-6057

ingeclaudine@gmail.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**

NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Rachael Zielske

NAME (please print)

899 Linwood Ave

St Paul MN 55105

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-267-5960

rzielske001@stpaul.k12.mn.us

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Henry Ball - Ronson  
NAME (please print)

1055 Summit Ave  
ADDRESS

St. Paul, MN 55105  
CITY, STATE, ZIP

615 250 7212  
PHONE

kabb4@midwest.com  
EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Alice Lesch

NAME (please print)

820 Osceola Ave.

St Paul, MN, 55105

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

alice.lesch32@gmail.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Isabel Juarez*

NAME (please print)

*1446 St. Clair Ave.*

*St. Paul MN 55105*

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

*izzjuarez@~~gmail~~gmail.com*

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Samantha Harrington*

NAME (please print)

*539 Glendale St*

*St. Paul MN 55104*

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Michael Brennan

NAME (please print)

112 Victoria Street Sath

St. Paul, Md, 55105

ADDRESS

651-230-9133

CITY, STATE, ZIP

mickell@gma.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

NAME (please print)

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

Alyssa Castillo

776 Markey Ave

Saint Paul, MN, 55104

Alyssa.Castillo@sierraclub.org

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Makrah Abdul Salam

---

NAME (please print)

1539 portland ave St. Paul MN 55104

---

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-528-0924 makrah.salam@gmx.com

---

PHONE EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Taylor Hux

NAME (please print)

117 Portland Ave

ADDRESS

St. Paul, MN, 55104

CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-600-4348

PHONE

taylorshux@gmail.com

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Emily Christensen*

---

NAME (please print)

*900 Sandwich Ave*

---

ADDRESS

*St. Paul, MN, 55105*

---

CITY, STATE, ZIP

*651-222-7786*

---

PHONE

*roselovemiten@gmail.com*

---

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

SAMUEL GERTELS

NAME (please print)

1668 Minnehaha Ave W.

ADDRESS

651-917-2819

PHONE

Saint Paul, Mn, 55104

CITY, STATE, ZIP

Sachowse@gmail.com

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Noah Gerber

NAME (please print)

1003 Goodrich Ave.

St. Paul, MN, 55105

ADDRESS

651-291-1314

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Annalee Mott

NAME (please print)

1319 Sargent Ave

ADDRESS

St. Paul, MN, 55105

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL Annalee.mott@gmail.com

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Chyna Williams

NAME (please print)

ADDRESS

St. Paul MN, 55104

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

Chynawilliams5@gmail.com

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Jason Dong*

NAME (please print)

*1649 Edmund Ave W*

*St. Paul, MN, 55104*

ADDRESS

*651-999-9371*

CITY, STATE, ZIP

*jjdong10@hotmail.com*

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Emily Hite

NAME (please print)

936 Linwood Ave

St Paul MN 55105

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-227-5426

emily.hite@hotmail.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Xia Neng Xiong

NAME (please print)

599 Edmund Ave

ADDRESS

St. Paul, MN, 55103

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Bill Pham

NAME (please print)

1105 western Ave. N

St Paul MN 55117

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Celina Thao

NAME (please print)

1147 Norton ST

Saint Paul, MN, 55117

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

NA

Celina99thao@gmail.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Sophia Kouay  
NAME (please print)

2375 Berkeley Ave. St. Paul, MN 55205  
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE \_\_\_\_\_ EMAIL \_\_\_\_\_

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*David Moberg*  
NAME (please print)

---

*41 Laurel Avenue*  
ADDRESS

---

*St. Paul, MN 55107*  
CITY, STATE, ZIP

---

*(651) 280-0381*  
PHONE

---

*David Moberg 820 jmo@sierraclub.org*  
EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Mai Yer Mova

NAME (please print)

744 Thomas Ave St. Paul MN 55104

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

~~651-222-1234~~

mmova084@stPaul.KIA.MN.US

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Angela Vandy*

NAME (please print)

1496 Wilson Ave.

ADDRESS

691-270-7967

1496 Wilson Ave. St. Paul, MN 55106

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Maxwell Forsman*

NAME (please print)

*1947 Juliet Ave*

ADDRESS

*St. Paul, MN, 55105*

CITY, STATE, ZIP

*651-218-6108*

PHONE

*bob.fett40@gmail.com*

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

BRYN CASHEN-SMART

NAME (please print)

551 WARWICK ST.

ADDRESS

ST. PAUL MN 55116

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Ruby Sutton  
NAME (please print)

ADDRESS

1468 N Humphreys Ave #329

St Paul MN 55114  
CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Sam Nowaczewski

NAME (please print)

377 Daly St.

ADDRESS

St. Paul, MN, 55102

CITY, STATE, ZIP

(651)-274-7865

PHONE

snowacz@gmail.com

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Tobias West

---

NAME (please print)

1507 Chelsea St.

---

ADDRESS

St. Paul, MN, 55108

---

CITY, STATE, ZIP

(651)-500-6935

---

PHONE

twest006@stpaul.k12.mn.us

---

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Margaret Ierien

NAME (please print)

1132 Iowa Ave W

St. Paul, MN, 55108

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-487-0832

magzierien@icloud.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Anna Meyer*  
NAME (please, print) \_\_\_\_\_  
*1051 2nd Ave.*  
ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_  
*1051 2nd Ave.* *St Paul, MN, 55104*  
PHONE \_\_\_\_\_ CITY, STATE, ZIP \_\_\_\_\_  
*ahhshley@gmail.com*  
EMAIL \_\_\_\_\_

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Mai Xurvang

NAME (please print)

Saint Paul, MN

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

nouhuhjavang@rocketmail.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Mette Ulland*

NAME (please print)

*1764 Laurel Ave.*

*St. Paul, MN, 55104*

ADDRESS

*651-644-3106*

CITY, STATE, ZIP

*Mette.Ulland@gmail.com*

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Sally Hand

NAME (please print)

20910 Stanford Ave

ADDRESS

St. Paul, MN 55105

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Emily Hunt*

NAME (please print)

*992 Charles Ave*

*St Paul, MN, 55104*

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

*emilyhunt3000@gmail.com*

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Mai Yileng Vang*  
NAME (please print) \_\_\_\_\_  
*481 Laurent St* ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_ *St. Paul MN 55117* CITY, STATE, ZIP \_\_\_\_\_  
*651-293-4917* PHONE \_\_\_\_\_ *mwang354@st.paul.k12.mn.us* EMAIL \_\_\_\_\_

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Simon Yang*

NAME (please print)

*1678 Wanda Street*

*St. Paul, MN, 55117*

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Corbin Shear  
NAME (please print)  
1324 Grand St NE Minneapolis MN 55413  
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP  
720 503 1211 corbin.shear@gmail.com  
PHONE EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

SERENA THOMAS

NAME (please print)

2645 PLEASANT AVE

MPLS, MN, 55408

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-354-8985

serena.marie.t@gmail.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

THOMAS ERICKSEN

NAME (please print)

911 22<sup>nd</sup> AVE. SO., APT 155, MINNEAPOLIS, MN, 55404

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

612-244-9167

thomas.ericksen@yahoo.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Kristi Laudert*

NAME (please print)

*3236 30th Ave. S.*

ADDRESS

*612-709-6652*

PHONE

*Minneapolis, MN, 55306*

CITY, STATE, ZIP

*Kristi.Laudert@gmail.com*

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Thomas Noemile

NAME (please print)

911-22<sup>nd</sup> Ave S.

ADDRESS

612-871-9216

Mpls, MN 55404

CITY, STATE, ZIP

snoplston@hotmail.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Sherry Reagen*

NAME (please print)

*1021 St. Clair*

ADDRESS

*St. Paul, MN 55105*

CITY, STATE, ZIP

*sjscR1230@aol.com*

EMAIL

PHONE

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

SHIRLEY THURTELL  
NAME (please print)

2425 FRANKLIN Mpls, MN 55416  
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Dat Minor*

NAME (please print)

*2627 - So - 8th St*

*Mpls, 55454*

ADDRESS

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Marilyn Shelton  
NAME (please print)  
18045 39<sup>th</sup> Pl. N., Plymouth, Mn 55446  
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

ANDREA OESTERREICH

NAME (please print)

7338 Sod Rd

Brook Park MN 55007

ADDRESS

651-208-6773

CITY, STATE, ZIP

aoesterreich@yahoo.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Amanda Oesterreich  
NAME (please print)  
1870 26 St. E.  
ADDRESS  
Mpls, MN  
CITY, STATE, ZIP  
651-208-6773  
PHONE  
aoesterreich@yahoo.com  
EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

MARIA MALDONADO

NAME (please print)

4437 15<sup>th</sup> AVE S

ADDRESS

612 756 4419

PHONE

MPLS, MN 55407

CITY, STATE, ZIP

angieprado@do1.com

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Claire M. Todd

NAME (please print)

7425 E Pleasant Ave. S. Richfield, MN 55423-4150

ADDRESS

612-861-3786

CITY, STATE, ZIP

forestcorbae@gmail.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Gail Hughes

NAME (please print)

141 Warwick St SE, Mpls, MN 55414

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

612-379-7419

hughes038@umn.edu

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

NAME (please print)

WALT HANDSCHIN

Walt Handschin

ADDRESS

2024 39TH AVE. S.

CITY, STATE, ZIP

MPLS., MN 55406-1801

PHONE

612-721-8548

EMAIL

\_\_\_\_\_

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Tom Brown*

NAME (please print)

*1389 Goodrich*

ADDRESS

*St Paul 55105*

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Martin Roach

NAME (please print)

5049 Richmond Dr.

Edina, MN 55436

ADDRESS

612 669 0320

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL roach1909@gmail.com

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Cameron Lindberg*

NAME (please print)

*531 Delaware St. SE*

*Minneapolis, MN 55455*

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*