# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

STEVE MANNIES

NAME (please print)

4502 CEDAR ISLAND DRIVE EDEN PRAIRIE MN 55347

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

744-2817 MANNIES2@GMAIL.COM

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Vicki Andrews
NAME (please print)

31135 Sunny Beach — Grand Rapids MN 55744
ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

Grand Rapids MN

218 259-4254 — vicandrew@msn.com
PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Pa Cha Yang

NAME (please print)

1895 James ave St Paul, MN 55105

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-226-7406 Pyang274@stpaul.K12.mn.us

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Hannah Thekoronye

NAME (please print)

295 Arlington Ave West St. Paul, MN 55117

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-917-0783 hannahthekoronye@gmail.com

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Giovana Sarmiento

NAME (please print)

1893 Garden Way — St. Paul, MN 55119

ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

651 332 4468 —

PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Ellie Malmon-Andrews
NAME (please print)

2228 Dayton Ave St. Paul, MN
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-644-4288 N/a
PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Landon Remington
NAME (please print)

1355 Macalvin Street — Saint Paul, MN, 55117
ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-487-0701 — landonluigi@gmail.com
PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

KALVIN VNR

NAME (please print)

SAINT PAUL, MN, 55104

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

James Kunau

NAME (please print)

St. Paul, MN, 55104

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Sebastian Reeck

NAME (please print)

St. Paul, MN 55107

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Lara Parke-Reimer

NAME (please print)

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Pa Cheng L Vang

NAME (please print)

311 Toronto — St. Paul MN 55102

ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

PVang463 @ gmail.com

PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Will Lichter

NAME (please print)

1512 Concordia Ave. St. Paul Mn 55104

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

willlichter@hotmail.com

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Nu Cheng

NAME (please print)

1064 Hubbard Ave — Saint Paul, MN, 55104

ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-797-3846

PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Maiyun Mohamud

NAME (please print)

627 Aurora Ave # 319 St. Paul

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-434-4557 maiyunmohamud@gmail.com

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Hannah Anderson
NAME (please print)

2415 Carter Ave. St. Paul, MN, 55108
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

612-205-1963 hannah.anderson2@gmail.com
PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Elena Berastrom
NAME (please print)

1878 Juliet Ave — St. Paul MN 55105
ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Ana Sifferle

NAME (please print)

1236 Ashland Ave, St. Paul, MN, 55104

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

(651)-695-1103 anas3319@gmail.com

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Claire Nelson
NAME (please print)

1060 Magoe Ave. St. Paul MN 55104
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-900-2833
PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Gokia Vang

NAME (please print)

337 Edmund Ave. Apt 1 — St. Paul, MN, 55103

ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

(651) 222-3298 — gokia vang 09@ gmail.com

PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Lucky Vang
NAME (please print)

986 Minnehaha W
ADDRESS

St Paul, MN, 55104
CITY, STATE, ZIP

lvang145@stpaul.k12.mn.us
EMAIL

PHONE

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Jonathen Matykiewicz-Stately

NAME (please print)

230 Bandil St

ADDRESS

St. Paul, Minnesota, 55102

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

JonathenMatykiewiczstat001@stpaul.k12.mn.us

EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Anna Weimholt

NAME (please print)

1799 Portland Ave St Paul MN 55104

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-645-7965 anna.weimholt15@gmail.com

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Monawara Osman

NAME (please print)

310 Arundel St. Apt C | Saint Paul, MN, 55103

ADDRESS | CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-528-0956

PHONE | EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Destiny Mata
NAME (please print)

282 aurora ave #2 Saint Paul MN 55103
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-246-8185 Destinygabrielemata@gmail.com
PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

NAME (please print) Elijah Armstrong

ADDRESS 1200 goodrich CITY, STATE, ZIP St Paul

PHONE 651 366 1380 EMAIL ecrmstrong004@stpaul.k12.mn.us

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Miranda Magnuson
NAME (please print)

807 Fairview Ave — St. Paul, MN, 55104
ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

612-412-0952
PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Huan McCafferty

NAME (please print)

1334 Hillcrest Ave St Paul MN 55116

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Khongmong Thao

NAME (please print)

249 Whitebear Ave. N St. Paul MN 55106

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-771-7124 kthao1369@gmail.com

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Andrew Kendrick
NAME (please print)

ADDRESS

St. Paul MN 55105
CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL andrekendrick18@gmail.com

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

August Hermanson

NAME (please print)

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-492-0679 St Paul MN 55104

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Sophia Steinhaft

NAME (please print)

1879 Laurel Ave St. Paul, MN, 55104

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Ryan Crawford
NAME (please print)

2201 Harriet Ave #1 Minneapolis MN 55405
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

920-619-3281 rcrawford.madison@gmail.com
PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Kevin Beltz
NAME (please print)

317 17th Ave SE — Minneapolis, MN, 55414
ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

218 330 2859 — beltz040@umn.edu
PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Montana Day
NAME (please print)

7101 Mid Oaks Ave N — Stillwater, MN 55082
ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

6512607431 — tanaday@gmail.com
PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Lecia Stock
NAME (please print)

2717 4th st SE APT #3405 Minneapolis/MN/55414
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

703/615-2124 stock473@umn.edu
PHONE EMAIL

☒ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Sarah Aydinalp
NAME (please print)

326 17th Ave SE — Mpls MN 55414
ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

612-772-5797
PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Ashley VandenBosch

NAME (please print)

817 16th Ave SE | Minneapolis

ADDRESS | CITY, STATE, ZIP

920 205 5349 | vande969@umn.edu

PHONE | EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

David Gilbertson
NAME (please print)

1203 9th St. SE | MPLS | MN | 55414
ADDRESS | CITY, STATE, ZIP

609 217 6306 | gilb7972@umn.edu
PHONE | EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Cyndi Hua

NAME (please print)

701 Fulton St SE | Minneapolis, MN, 55455

ADDRESS | CITY, STATE, ZIP

X | X

PHONE | EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Leigh Langum
NAME (please print)

1608 6th Ave Apt 2 Minneapolis, MN 55414
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

920.254.0310 langu006@umn.edu
PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Lydia Hanna

NAME (please print)

[illegible] 16th S1S

ADDRESS

Fargo, ND 58103

CITY, STATE, ZIP

[illegible]

PHONE

hanna319@umn.edu

EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Sarah Grimm

NAME (please print)

701 Fulton st SE — Minneapolis MN 55455

ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

952 818-2060

PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Chris Stovern
NAME (please print)

1005 15th Ave SE
ADDRESS

Minneapolis, MN, 55414
CITY, STATE, ZIP

Stovercle@gmail.com
PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Daniel Fabre

NAME (please print)

1530 Aspen Lane — Saint Cloud, MN, 56303

ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

(320) 227-5104 — fabre010@umn.edu

PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Dillon Danforth
NAME (please print)

8396 Afton Rd — Woodbury, MN, 55125
ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

763-486-2185 — danfo039@umn.edu
PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Trevor Nelson

NAME (please print)

900 Washington Ave SE | Minneapolis, MN 55414

ADDRESS | CITY, STATE, ZIP

Trel960@gmail.com

PHONE | EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Maddie Mercil

NAME (please print)

3625 E 50th St — Mpls, MN 55417

ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

m5mercil@gmail.com

PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Christine Enuburza

NAME (please print)

6421 Panchview LN

ADDRESS

Maple Grove MN 55311

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

cenuburzor@hotmail.com

EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

LAURA BREMER
NAME (please print)

900 WASHINGTON AVE Minneapolis, MN 55414
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

808 205 9256 laura.bremer@tcontine.de
PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Maxim Hogrebe
NAME (please print)

100 WASHINGTON Ave Minneapolis MN
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

maxim.hogrebe@gmx.de
PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Tessa Belo

NAME (please print)

1055 24th Ave SE MPLS, MN, 55414

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

612-270-5663 thel0104@yahoo.com

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Tim Schwarz

NAME (please print)

1069 25th Ave SE | Minneapolis MN 55414

ADDRESS | CITY, STATE, ZIP

507-217-0995 | schwarz76@gmail.com

PHONE | EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Tyler Baumann

NAME (please print)

12304 Innetonka Road Minnetonka Mn 55345

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

612-296-8420 baumen@umn.edu

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Kerry Wang
NAME (please print)

400 6th Ave SE #16
ADDRESS

Minneapolis, MN 55414
CITY, STATE, ZIP

(972) 837-5077
PHONE

wang3790@umn.edu
EMAIL

☑ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Lois Norgaard

NAME (please print)

10368 Columbus Circle Blmgtn MN 55420

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

952 881 7282 Lnorrgard@LNMNID.com

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Elizabeth Bracke

NAME (please print)

641 SE Huron Blvd. 4203 Minneapolis MN, 55414

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE EMAIL

[X] I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Summer Freed

NAME (please print)

B 1017 5th St SE Minneapolis MN 55414

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

952-715-1674 freed065@umn.edu

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

ROSALIE WILSON

NAME (please print)

18305 11th Ave. N. Plymouth, MN 55447

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

wil00088@umn.edu

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Elayna Shapiro

NAME (please print)

1727 4th St. SE Apt. 302 Minneapolis, MN 55426

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

elaynashapiro@gmail.com

PHONE EMAIL

☒ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

CAROLYN NGUYEN

NAME (please print)

3121 RALEIGH RIDGE, CV MEMPHIS TN 38128

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

714-463 5715 carolynnguyen92@yahoo.com

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

REAGAN PHAN
NAME (please print)

1341 BRIDLE PATH LANE WOODBURY, MN 55125.
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-442-7013 phanx176@umn.edu.
PHONE EMAIL

☒ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Dang Mai

NAME (please print)

6548 Idsen Ave S. Cottage Grove, MN 55016

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

maixx189@umn.edu.

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Jazz Vig
NAME (please print)

515 14th Ave SE — Minneapolis, MN 55414
ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

952-334-0583 — vigxx024@umn.edu
PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Mariska Lumholdt

NAME (please print)

1227 4th Street SE, Apt #307 Minneapolis, MN, 55414

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

802-310-8258 lumho001@umn.edu

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Angela Drefcinski
NAME (please print)

1425 6th St. SE Minneapolis MN 55414
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

612-202-8619 drefc004@umn.edu
PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

AMELIA TJADEN

NAME (please print)

3246 ALDEN POND LANE EAGAN MN 55121

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

612 747 5620 TJADE024@UMN.EDU

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Yupin Qi

NAME (please print)

1025 29th ave SE Apt B | Minneapolis, MN 55414

ADDRESS | CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE | qiy@umn.edu EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Lee E. Samelson

NAME (please print) 2836 18th Ave S. Minneapolis, MN 55407

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

2[illegible]-970-270-7480 leesamelson@gmail.com

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Evelina Knodel
NAME (please print)

15795 Tarleton Crest N Maple Grove, MN 55311
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

(763) 355-4642 ekmusicgeek1@gmail.com
PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Nicki Peterson

NAME (please print)

417 22nd Ave S Minneapolis MN 55455

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

320-292-1824 Peter943@umn.edu

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Jada Brown

NAME (please print)

11189 22nd St NE St. Michael, MN, 55376

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

763-458-3693 bow+3 [illegible]@umn.edu

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Evan Block
NAME (please print)

220 Delaware St. SE — Minneapolis, MN 55455
ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

763-568-2918 — block257@umn.edu
PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Viswa Challa
NAME (please print)

2711 Delaware St SE — Minneapolis MN 55414
ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

608 7724731 — challo82@umn.edu
PHONE — EMAIL

☒ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Andreas Hochrein
NAME (please print)

317 17th Ave SE — Minneapolis, MN, 55414
ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

612 707 6602 — hochr007@umn.edu
PHONE — EMAIL

☒ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Stephanie Ann Littlewolf enrolled member of Boise Forte Reservation Nett Lake MN.

NAME (please print)

General Delivery Minneapolis, MN. 55404

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

651 428 3598 none

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Scott Wesley SMITH

NAME (please print)

General Delivery Minneapolis MN 55404

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

651 428 3598 none

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Monica Mann

NAME (please print)

1401 6th St SE Apt 402 Minneapolis, MN 55414

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

(331) 575-9829 MannX145@umn.edu

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Lauren Schultz

NAME (please print)

900 Washington Ave. SE Minneapolis, MN, 55414

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

schu3246@umn.edu

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Abbey Breeding
NAME (please print)

210 Delaware St SE — Minneapolis, MN 55455
ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

816-506-4914 — breed031@umn.edu
PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Rachel Dexheimer

NAME (please print)

428 13th Avenue SE — Mpls, MN, 55414

ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

262 844 3689 — rmdl122@yahoo.com

PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Rachel Ganske

NAME (please print)

806 Main St SE Minneapolis MN 55414

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

ganske11@umn.edu

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Kyle Cich
NAME (please print)

4807 Division — White Bear Lake, MN 55110
ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-900-2027 — KSCich@msn.com
PHONE — EMAIL

☒ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Allison Payonk
NAME (please print)

512 7th Ave. S. Sartell, MN 56377
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

320-292-6742 payon001@d.umn.edu
PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Austin Rutten

NAME (please print)

720 Falcon Ct. Sartell MN 56377

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

320-266-3022 austinrutten@yahoo.com

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Michaela Grover

NAME (please print)

1430 E 3rd St — Duluth, MN, 55805

ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

763-370-7694 — grove346@d.umn.edu

PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Kevin Swanberg
NAME (please print)

1920 London Rd Apt 2 Duluth MN, 55812
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

218-260-5071 swanb034@d.umn.edu
PHONE EMAIL

☒ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Leah Mohn
NAME (please print)

1006 N 8th Ave E — Duluth, MN, 55805
ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

952-994-4666 — mohnx062@d.umn.edu
PHONE — EMAIL

☑ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Azrin Awal

NAME (please print)

1430 E 3rd St Duluth MN 55811

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

awal0012@d.umn.edu

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Kalley Waller

NAME (please print)

R317 Griggs Hall, 509 Niagara Ct., Duluth, MN 55812

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

218-847-4657 kmwaller9@yahoo.com

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Sophie Varner

NAME (please print)

2340 Rice Lake Road Duluth, MN, 56303

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

320-241-2497 varne036@d.umn.edu

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Alyssa Heim

NAME (please print)

419 N 12th Ave E Duluth, MN, 55805

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

507-456-6980

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Dr Ian Harris

NAME (please print)

5145 Condon Rd Duluth, MN. 55804

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Ibitoye Bukola

NAME (please print)

171 83rd Avenue NE Fridley MN 55812

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

763-568-8504 ibitoo06@d.umn.edu

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Cedar Lechner-Richie

NAME (please print)

429 N 12th Ave E | Duluth MN 55805

ADDRESS | CITY, STATE, ZIP

952 687 1801 | lechn019@d.umn.edu

PHONE | EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Sam Stutt

NAME (please print)

1808 E 4th St | Duluth MN 55812

ADDRESS | CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE | EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Cameron Gustafson
NAME (please print)

314 W Arrowhead Rd  Duluth, Mn 55812
ADDRESS  CITY, STATE, ZIP

763-381-2868  gusta971@d.umn.edu
PHONE  EMAIL

☑ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Sara Posluszny
NAME (please print)

318 N. 12th Ave E. Apt B  Duluth MN 55805
ADDRESS  CITY, STATE, ZIP

218 - 391 - 4583
PHONE  EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Catherine Posch

NAME (please print)

5530 Londy Pine Pr. Duluth, MN, 55803

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

218-349-2255 posch022@d.umn.edu

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Tony Sanna-Withers
NAME (please print)

211 W. St. Marie Street — Nisswa, MN 56468
ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

218 838 6608 — Sanna001@[illegible]
PHONE — EMAIL

☒ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Cassandra Phillips
NAME (please print)

681 Willow River Drive Hudson, WI 54016
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

715-441-0731
PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Bailey Hendrycks
NAME (please print)

603 E 6th St. Apt 1 Duluth, MN 55805
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

hendr483@d.umn.edu
PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Joshua Yang
NAME (please print)

[redacted] 226 N 2nd E Ave Apt#1103 Duluth, MN 55805
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

Yang2133@d.umn.edu
PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Sara Minder

NAME (please print)

4408 Abbott Ave N — Robbinsdale, MN 55422

ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

617-702-6107 — minde022@d.umn.edu

PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Danika Partridge
NAME (please print)

5632 Fremont Ave. S. Minneapolis, MN 55419
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

612-618-3740 danika.partridge@gmail.com
PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Calvin Miner
NAME (please print)

4214 Dodge St. Duluth MN 55804
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

218 481 4101 miner088@d.umn.edu
PHONE EMAIL

☒ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Michael G. Matson

NAME (please print)

3135 2nd Ave S MPLS, MN 55408

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

612-237-6379 michael.g.matson@gmail.com

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

tsnua Tran

NAME (please print)

1611 8th St SE Minneapolis MN 55414

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

TN-312-6176 tranx669@umn.edu

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Christine Popowski

NAME (please print)

2630 Pleasant Av. #101

ADDRESS

Mpls. MN. 55408

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

NAME (please print) Loretta Drobnick

ADDRESS 3236 - 30th AVE So.

CITY, STATE, ZIP MINNEAPOLIS MINNESOTA

PHONE

EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

TARKLI BELAY

NAME (please print)

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

612-327-9585

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Erica Crapezan

NAME (please print)

4001 Jeffey Avenue South Cottage Grove, MN, 55016

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

6514978221 crape913@d.umnedu

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Anna Spielmann

NAME (please print)

2102 Jefferson St Apt 2 Duluth MN 55812

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Andrew Burke

NAME (please print)

610 W Arrowhead Rd | Duluth, MN, 55811

ADDRESS | CITY, STATE, ZIP

218-670-0459 | burke572@d.umn.edu

PHONE | EMAIL

☒ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Shawn Bourgeois

NAME (please print)

305 S 21st AVE E — Duluth, MN, 55812

ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

763-218-0156 — bourg044@d.umn.edu

PHONE — EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Andrea Leonard
NAME (please print)

618 Oakland Circle — Duluth, MN 55812
ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-403-3344 — leona349@d.umn.edu
PHONE — EMAIL

☒ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Zachary Konsor
NAME (please print)

44283 348th Lane Kitkin MN 56431
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

214-670-0435 konso011@d.umn.edu
PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Mariana Gluzos
NAME (please print)

2104 E 1st St Apt 2 — Duluth MN 55812
ADDRESS — CITY, STATE, ZIP

218-341-0863 — Mariana@mp.rg.org
PHONE — EMAIL

☒ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

SIERRA CLUB
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Dani Grutkoski

NAME (please print)

513 Niagara Ct. 543 Lake Superior Hall Duluth, MN, 55812

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

763-228-3763 grutk006@d.umn.edu

PHONE EMAIL

☐ I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*