

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Christina McKay*

NAME (please print)

*1000 Lakeview Dr.*

*Burnsville MN 55337*

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Andrew Haakem*

NAME (please print)

*2400 Clinton Ave*

ADDRESS

*Mpls MN 55404*

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Scott Lombard*  
NAME (please print)

70 Box 3147  
ADDRESS

BURNSVILLE MN 55337  
CITY, STATE, ZIP

653 4369  
PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*JAMES O'HALLORAN*

NAME (please print)

*ROSEVILLE MN 55113*

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Rodney Johnson*

NAME (please print)

*3824 Edmund Blvd*

ADDRESS

*Mpls, MN 55426*

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

W

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

NAME (please print)

ERIC MEININGER

ADDRESS

2204 Colfax Ave S

CITY, STATE, ZIP

Mpls 55405

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Spencer Rodriguez*

NAME (please print)

ADDRESS

763-567-9472

CITY, STATE, ZIP

*spencer@uic.edu 09 @ gmail.com*

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Max Horsten

NAME (please print)

32744 Drew Ave S

WILSON, MN 55410

ADDRESS

612-926-7406

CITY, STATE, ZIP

max@horsten.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Kristin Tambou*

NAME (please print)

*1239 Blair Ave*

*St Paul MN 55104*

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP



*fallcolor1970@gmail.com*

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Joseph Reggiani

NAME (please print)

3152 Louisiana Ave S

SLP, MN 55426

ADDRESS

(612) 715-7481

CITY, STATE, ZIP

Reggiani.j@xhoo.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Haley Curzon*

NAME (please print)

*1720 Van Buren Ave*

ADDRESS

*St Paul MN 55401*

CITY, STATE, ZIP

*hcurzon@gmail.com*

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

DENNIS CURLEY

NAME (please print)

4818 COLFA\* AVE N

MAPLE MN 55430

ADDRESS

612 521 4489

CITY, STATE, ZIP

dcurley@comcast.net

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

NAME (please print)

JOSHUA WILL

ADDRESS

3416 36th Ave. S

CITY, STATE/ ZIP

Mpls MN 55406

PHONE

612 728 1048

EMAIL

joshua.will@icloud.com

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Sara Ruff*

NAME (please print)

*Cette Wesley Cr*

*Mendota Lakes, MN 55118*

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

*sruff@hotmail.com*

EMAIL

PHONE

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Adrienne Benjamin  
NAME (please print)

2902 Union Rd. 1st, MN 56342  
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

(20) 309-2335 adrienne.benjamin@millelacs  
PHONE EMAIL band.com

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

NAME (please print)

Mike Gron

ADDRESS

115 N 2nd St

CITY, STATE, ZIP

Mpls MN 55407

PHONE

EMAIL

mikejgron@yahoo.com

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*James A. Robinson*

NAME (please print)

*2040 Montreal Ave. St. Paul, MN 55116*

ADDRESS

*651-699-2499*

CITY, STATE, ZIP

*jartr@gnail.com*

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*John Grove*

NAME (please print)

*700 Douglas Ave Mpls. Mn. 55403*

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Jill Andersen*

NAME (please print)

*7515 Clinton Ave S*

*Richfield, MN 55423*

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Brian Nerbonne

NAME (please print)

2924 43rd Ave. S

Minneapolis MN

ADDRESS

612-721-4009

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

## Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

EMILY BUFF

NAME (please print)

3445 42<sup>ND</sup> AVES FL 2

MINNEAPOLIS MN 55106

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Lydia Francis  
NAME (please print)

245 West Ash Street South Saint Paul MN 55075  
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

(651) 214-0235 lfranc1@umd.edu  
PHONE EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Lucinda West

NAME (please print)

506 Niagara Court

Duluth, MN 55812

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-818-1811 815-7524

westx443@d.umn.edu

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Idar Sanchez*

NAME (please print)

1208 W 7th Ave E

Duluth, MN 55805

ADDRESS

505-453-6318

CITY, STATE, ZIP

Sancl 367@d.umn.edu

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Madelaine Sinclair

NAME (please print)

524 E 8th Street

ADDRESS

(402) 799-2044

PHONE

Duluth, MN 55805

CITY, STATE, ZIP

sincl103@d.umn.edu

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Mike Puntell

NAME (please print)

564 Hiawatha Ave

ADDRESS

Duluth, MN 55805

CITY, STATE, ZIP

puntell004@gmail.com

EMAIL

PHONE

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

BRINDA DEWAN

NAME (please print)

2576 LIVINGSTON AVE

DULUTH, MN, 55803

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

COREY BLETSCH

NAME (please print)

807 WOODLAND AVE.

DULUTH, MN 55812

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

plets012@a.umn.edu

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER



Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Richard Kinell  
NAME (please print)

805 east 6th street  
ADDRESS

Duluth MN  
CITY, STATE, ZIP  
55805

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137

**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER



Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Oilwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Tristan Larson*

NAME (please print)

*2354 Rice Lake Rd*

ADDRESS

*Duluth MN 55811*

CITY, STATE, ZIP

*Hls15@gmail.com*

EMAIL

PHONE

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Austin BLANCH  
NAME (please print)

2420 1st Ave S Apt #1 Minneapolis, MN 55404  
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

612-413-2940 austin.L. BLANCHE@gmail.com  
PHONE EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Stephan Heikel  
NAME (please print)

1808 Ford Parkway, St. Paul, MN 55804  
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

248-349-6570  
PHONE

heikel@sierraclub.org  
EMAIL

I would like to get involved

Weeks 4 Rank Choice Voting

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Taylor Stevenson*

NAME (please print)

*12841 Eagle Ridge*

*Brooks, MN 56425*

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

*218 330 3681*

*taylor@taylorstevenson.com*

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*WORKS 4 Rank Choice Voting*

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Amanda Leger*

NAME (please print)

*2553 Stinson Blvd*

ADDRESS

*1012-584-0674*

PHONE

*Mpls 554018*

CITY, STATE, ZIP

*WORKS 4 Rank Choice Voting*

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Steve Lelchuk

NAME (please print)

3943 Bryant Ave S #9

Minneapolis, MN 55409

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

612 824 8240

lelchuk@comm.edv

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Cindy Baumgard  
NAME (please print)

17522 Springwood Ln Avon MN 56310  
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

320-272-2296 cpbaumgard@hotmail.com  
PHONE EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Rebecca Lindquist  
NAME (please print)

2397 Lachman Ave NE St. Michael, MN 55376  
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

763-497-4419  
PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*



# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

ROSE THELEN

---

NAME (please print)

---

15510 Huber Ave. NW Clearwater, MN 55320

---

ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

---

320-558-4535 rosethelen@frontier.net.net

---

PHONE EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwé culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Kathleen Spoden*

---

NAME (please print)

*835 Driftwood Dr #10*      *St Cloud MN 56303*

---

ADDRESS      CITY, STATE, ZIP

*320 828 0106*      *KatSpoden@gmail.com*

---

PHONE      EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Charles Pults

NAME (please print)

PO Box 1547

ADDRESS

Collesville, MN ~~56321~~ 56321

CITY, STATE, ZIP

chuckpults@gmail.com

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Patricia L Bechtold  
NAME (please print)  
503 - 3<sup>rd</sup> Street. South Sauk Rapids MN  
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP  
320 224 4635 PHONE  
hairsmom@yahoo.com EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Chris Carlson*  
NAME (please print) \_\_\_\_\_  
*1616 3rd St N* \_\_\_\_\_  
ADDRESS *St Cloud, MN 56303* \_\_\_\_\_  
*(612) 968-0629* \_\_\_\_\_  
PHONE CITY, STATE, ZIP \_\_\_\_\_  
EMAIL \_\_\_\_\_

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

NAME (please print)

Will Watson

ADDRESS

2011 3rd

Ave S Apt. 5

Minneapolis, MN, 55404

PHONE

612-850-6414

EMAIL

Wilkenrwo@msh.com

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Carrie King  
NAME (please print)

568 Thomas Ave. S Mpls MN 55410  
ADDRESS CITY, STATE, ZIP

612 927-4282 carrie.king.watson@gmail.com  
PHONE EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Isabel Watson

---

NAME (please print)

5108 Thomas Ave S      Minneapolis, MN, 55410

---

ADDRESS      CITY, STATE, ZIP

612 300-8448      ~~isabel.watson~~ isabel.watson.11@gmail.com

---

PHONE      EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Amal Flower Kay  
NAME (please print) \_\_\_\_\_  
5300 Bryant Ave S  
ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_  
Minneapolis MN 55419  
CITY, STATE, ZIP \_\_\_\_\_

PHONE \_\_\_\_\_

EMAIL \_\_\_\_\_

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Sachi Maynard

NAME (please print)

5117 14th Ave S

ADDRESS

MPIS, MN 55417

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Isaac Blaine-Sawyer

NAME (please print)

3237 37th ave. S.

ADDRESS

Minneapolis, MN, 55406

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Seeley Schaefer*

NAME (please print)

*3255 Washburn Ave. N*

ADDRESS

*Minneapolis, MN 55412*

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Isabella Riater

NAME (please print)

3801 47th Ave. South

Minneapolis, MN

55406

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Margaret Bjorkstrand

NAME (please print)

5508 Clinton Ave. S

ADDRESS

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55419

CITY, STATE, ZIP

Margaretbjorkstrand222@gmail.com

EMAIL

PHONE

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Sarah Brezonik

NAME (please print)

111 Elmwood Pl West

ADDRESS

Minneapolis, MN, 55419

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Maddie Duffy

NAME (please print)

56 Weikway NE Fridley, MN 55432

ADDRESS

612-384-7330

CITY, STATE, ZIP

superpiper6@comcast.net

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Thomas Meyer

NAME (please print)

2505 33<sup>rd</sup> Ave S

ADDRESS

612-419-7977

PHONE

Mpls, MN, 55406

CITY, STATE, ZIP

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Cheryl Meyer

NAME (please print)

2505<sup>th</sup> 33<sup>rd</sup> Ave S

ADDRESS

MOIS, MN, 55406

CITY, STATE, ZIP

612-483-6579

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Abigail Meyer

NAME (please print)

2505 33<sup>rd</sup> Ave S

ADDRESS

Minneapolis, MN, 55406

CITY, STATE, ZIP

612-636-7026

PHONE

abigail.meyer@gmail.com

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Gabrielle Hill

NAME (please print)

4777 Portland Ave S

ADDRESS

Minneapolis, MN, 554107

CITY, STATE, ZIP

gobbyfentastic@gmail.com

EMAIL

PHONE

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Joel Watson*

NAME (please print)

*Joel Watson*

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Joe Watson*

NAME (please print)

*5108 THOMAS AVE J MPLS MN 55410*

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Ruth Axtell-Adams

NAME (please print)

1205 Ianni Hall, 506 Niagara Ct. Duluth, MN 55812

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

651-262-3932

axtel125@d.umn.edu

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Chelsea Mienert

NAME (please print)

1218 E 10th St

Duluth, MN 55805

ADDRESS

(218) 230-1977

CITY, STATE, ZIP

miene03@d.umn.edu

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Jade Moorse

NAME (please print)

6406 Tanni Hall 506 Niagara Court Duluth mn 55812

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP

507828 3859

moorso12@dum.edu

PHONE

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

*Mandy Chapman*  
NAME (please print) \_\_\_\_\_  
*505 Winzgle Court* *Baldwin* *UMN* *55812*  
ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_ CITY, STATE, ZIP \_\_\_\_\_  
*620-229-2347* *Chap315@umn.edu*  
PHONE \_\_\_\_\_ EMAIL \_\_\_\_\_

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*

# Stop Enbridge Oil and Tar Sands Pipeline Expansions

PL6668/PPL-13-474 and PPL-15-137



**SIERRA CLUB**  
NORTH STAR CHAPTER

Dear Minnesota Department of Commerce,

I urge you to conduct a robust scoping process for Enbridge's proposed Sandpiper pipeline and Line 3 'replacement' project. This scoping process should take into account the cumulative impacts of approving these two projects on communities, tribal lands, our lakes and rivers, and the climate. The Sandpiper pipeline and the 'replacement' of Line 3 (which is in fact a new pipeline) would carry a maximum of 1.4 million barrels of oil per day (bpd) across Minnesota. An increase in oil transported through our state carries an increase in risk, and these risks must be comprehensively evaluated along with the need for this pollutant through the scoping period.

A recently report from the National Academy of Sciences found that cleaning up a tar sands spill in a waterway is significantly more difficult and potentially up to 14.5 times more expensive than cleaning up a non-tar sands oil spill. The disastrous 2010 Enbridge Line 6B tar sands spill in Michigan made it clear that even a smaller rupture with a quicker response time in the Mississippi River could be devastating. The DOC needs to scrutinize how spills would be cleaned up, the permanent damage to waterways, the impacts to Minnesota's economy, and its threat to the Ojibwe culture and wild rice rights.

I call on the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure a robust scoping process is conducted that takes into account the risks and potential impact of these pipeline expansions on water, communities, and climate.

Ellen Bowman

NAME (please print)

1527 E. 2<sup>nd</sup> Street

ADDRESS

952-454-5712

PHONE

Duluth, MN 55812

CITY, STATE, ZIP

bowma302@d.umn.edu

EMAIL

I would like to get involved

*Providing your email address makes you a participant in the Sierra Club Community. As a participant, you'll stay informed with the latest environmental news, information, and action alerts via e-mail.*