

From: [Hartman, Larry \(COMM\)](#)
To: [Nelson, Casey \(COMM\)](#)
Subject: FW: Meyer Mon Mar 24 09:17:15 2014 PL6668/PPL-13-474
Date: Friday, March 28, 2014 4:27:57 PM

Larry B. Hartman
Environmental Manager
Minnesota Department of Commerce
85 7th Place East, Suite 500
St. Paul, MN 55101-2198

larry.hartman@state.mn.us
Phone: 651-539-1839
800-657-3794
Fax: 651-539-0109
Cell: 612-210-4810
mn.gov/commerce/energy/facilities

-----Original Message-----

From: apache@web.lmic.state.mn.us [<mailto:apache@web.lmic.state.mn.us>]
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2014 9:17 AM
To: Hartman, Larry (COMM)
Subject: Meyer Mon Mar 24 09:17:15 2014 PL6668/PPL-13-474

This public comment has been sent via the form at: mn.gov/commerce/energyfacilities/publicComments.html

You are receiving it because you are listed as the contact for this project.

Project Name: Sandpiper Pipeline Project / North Dakota Pipeline Company LLC (NDPC)

Docket number: PL6668/PPL-13-474

User Name: Ronald Meyer

County: Crow Wing County

City: Pequot Lakes

Email: rnsmeyer@tds.net

Phone: 218-831-0509

Impact: I am Chair of the Pine River Watershed Alliance (PRWA). I have sent my personal comments but my Board has voted to make a statement from our organization. PRWA is a volunteer 501c3 organization who primary mission is the environmental and economic preservation of the Pine River Watershed. I am using this email link to comment because like a number of the Board I am not in Minnesota and therefore can't responded from my place there. The proposed route of the Pipeline goes through the Pine River Watershed which is a part of the Upper

Mississippi Basin. A critical basin for the total water supply of the Mississippi and the cities south. There are only two things I think we know. We need the oil and pipelines will leak. Therefore the challenge is finding a route that has the least potential to cause major environmental or economic damage. I have seen no data from the pipeline company or anyone else that quantifies the risk of this route. Enbridge has stated this is a maintenance project not requiring federal permit. I believe a new route and a larger pipe constitute something other than maintenance. Although the concept of maintenance and replacement of old lines is a good operating practice. Like bridges, pipelines have a safe and useable lifetime.

Mitigation: (1) We believe the comment public comment period should be increased because a lot of the people potentially affected are not now in Minnesota. (2) The current proposed route goes through a populated and environmentally sensitive area and there has been no data or investigation of potential impact of a line failure, which by historic performance, will occur. An environmental and economic impact study should be completed. (3) There is a present pipeline corridor which could be used. Submitted by: Ronald Meyer Chair of the Pine River Watershed Alliance

Submission date: Mon Mar 24 09:17:15 2014

This information has also been entered into a centralized database for future analysis.

For questions about the database or the functioning of this tool, contact:

Andrew Koebrick
andrew.koebrick@state.mn.us