

BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Ellen Anderson
J. Dennis O'Brien
Phyllis Reha
David Boyd
Betsy Wergin

Chair
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner

Bruce Freeman
Avant Energy, Inc.
Agent for Minnesota Municipal Power Agency
200 South 6th Street, Suite 300
Minneapolis, MN 55402

SERVICE DATE: April 15, 2011

DOCKET NO. IP-6858/WS-11-195

In the Matter of the Site Permit Application of Shell Rock Wind Farm, LLC for a Large Wind Energy Conversion System in Freeborn County

The above entitled matter has been considered by the Commission and the following disposition made:

Accepted the application as complete, with the condition that Shell Rock Wind, LLC will provide additional information as requested by the Commission and the Office of Energy Security Energy Facility Permitting staff for the Shell Rock Wind Farm project.

Authorized the Office of Energy Security Energy Facility Permitting staff to name a public advisor for this project.

Granted a variance to Minnesota Rule 7854.0800 to extend the period for the Commission to make a preliminary determination on whether a permit may be issued or should be denied for an unspecified, but reasonable period of time.

Based on the information in the record to date, the Commission finds that a certificate of need is not required.

The Commission agrees with and adopts the recommendations of the Office of Energy Security which are attached and hereby incorporated in the Order.



BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Burl W. Haar".

Burl W. Haar
Executive Secretary

This document can be made available in alternative formats (i.e. large print or audio) by calling 651.296.0406 (voice). Persons with hearing or speech disabilities may call us through Minnesota Relay at 1.800.627.3529 or by dialing 711.



BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

**COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE
MINNESOTA OFFICE OF ENERGY SECURITY
ENERGY FACILITY PERMITTING STAFF**

DOCKET NO. IP-6858/WS-11-195

Meeting Date: April 14, 2011.....Agenda Item # 2

Company: **Shell Rock Wind Farm, LLC**

Docket No. **IP-6858/WS-11-195**

**In the Matter of the Site Permit Application of Shell Rock Wind Farm, LLC
for a Large Wind Energy Conversion System in Freeborn County.**

Issue(s): Should the Commission Accept the Site Permit Application of Shell Rock Wind Farm, LLC for a Large Wind Energy Conversion System as complete and assign a Public Advisor?

OES Staff: Ingrid E. Bjorklund651-297-7039

Relevant Documents

Shell Rock Wind Farm, LLC, Site Permit Application.....March 15, 2011

The enclosed materials are the work papers of the Office of Energy Security (OES) Energy Facility Permitting Staff (EFP). They are intended for use by the Public Utilities Commission and are based on information already in the record unless otherwise noted.

This document can be made available in alternative formats (i.e., large print or audio) by calling 651-296-0391 (voice). Persons with hearing or speech disabilities may call us through Minnesota Relay at 1-800-627-3529 or by dialing 711.

Documents Attached

1. Site Map

Relevant documents and additional information can be found on eDockets (11-195) or the Commission's Energy Facilities Permitting website at:

<http://energyfacilities.puc.state.mn.us/Docket.html?Id=31974>.

Statement of the Issues

Should the Public Utilities Commission (Commission) accept, conditionally accept, or reject the application of Shell Rock Wind Farm, LLC (Applicant or Shell Rock Wind) for a Large Wind Energy Conversion System (LWECS) site permit as complete for the 44 megawatt (MW) Shell Rock Wind Farm (Project) in Freeborn County? If the application is accepted should the Commission appoint a public advisor?

Should the Commission vary Minnesota Rule 7854.0800 to allow more time to determine whether a permit may be issued or should be denied for the Project?

Introduction and Background

Shell Rock Wind has submitted a site permit application to construct the proposed 44 MW Shell Rock Wind Farm in Freeborn County. Shell Rock Wind Farm, LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary of Minnesota Municipal Power Agency (MMPA). Avant Energy, Inc. is an agent for MMPA. In its role as agent, Avant Energy is responsible for the development and operation of the Project.

Project Location

The proposed site is comprised of approximately 7,433 acres in the township of Pickerel Lake, located approximately three miles west of Albert Lea. The Applicant represents having site control of approximately 6,593 acres within the Project area. Depending upon final design, the Applicant anticipates the Project will permanently impact 15 acres.

Project Description

The Applicant proposes to install 24 to 29 wind turbine generators, depending on what turbines are ultimately selected, mounted on towers 262.5 feet in height (80 meters). Turbines under consideration are General Electric 1.5 xle turbines, which have a rotor diameter of 271 feet (82.5 meters) and total height of 397 feet (121 meters), and Vestas V90 1.8 MW turbines, which have a rotor diameter of 295 feet (90 meters) and total height of 410.1 feet (125 meters). The proposed Project would also require the following associated facilities as identified in the permit application:

- pad mounted step-up transformers;
- electric feeder and collector lines;
- Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) communication lines;
- access roads;

- permanent meteorological tower; and
- Project substation.

The Applicant does not have plans to construct an operations and maintenance building within the Project boundary. The Project would interconnect with the electrical grid at the existing ITC Midwest overhead 69 kV transmission line running along 200th street. The proposed Project substation would be located adjacent to the 69 kV transmission line. The Project substation may be permitted by Freeborn County. The Applicant's goal is to achieve commercial operation by December 31, 2012.

Regulatory Process and Procedures

A site permit from the Commission is required to construct a LWECS, which is any combination of wind turbines and associated facilities with the capacity to generate five megawatts or more of electricity. The Minnesota Wind Siting Act is found at Minnesota Statutes chapter 216F. The rules to implement the permitting requirements for LWECS are in Minnesota Rules chapter 7854.

The Applicant filed an application with the Commission for a LWECS site permit on March 15, 2011. Under Minnesota Rule 7854.1000, subpart 2, the Commission has 180 days to reach a final permit decision from the date an application is accepted.

Certificate of Need

Shell Rock Wind notes in its application that a certificate of need from the Commission for a large electric power generating plant is not required because the Project is less than 50 MW in size and, therefore, does not meet the definition of large energy facility in Minnesota Statutes section 216B.2421.

Site Permit Application Contents

The required contents of a site permit application are specified in Minnesota Rule 7854.0500. These information requirements include applicant background information, certificate of need compliance, compliance with state policy, proposed site maps, wind characteristics, discussion of wind rights, project design including associated facilities, environmental impacts, project construction and operation, costs, schedules, energy projections, and decommissioning and restoration.

Application Acceptance

Application acceptance is guided by Minnesota Rule 7854.0600. The Commission may elect to accept, conditionally accept, or reject the application. If the Commission conditionally accepts or rejects an application, the Commission must advise the applicant of the deficiencies in the application and the manner in which the deficiencies can be addressed.

Within 15 days after the Commission's acceptance of a LWECS site permit application, the applicant is required to provide notice of the application. The notice is to be provided to the county board and each city council and township board in each county where the LWECS is proposed to be located and published in a newspaper of general circulation in each county. This notice is also published on eDockets and the Commission's Energy Facility Permitting website. In practice this notice is developed jointly by the applicant and EFP staff to ensure that the notice

meets the requirements and intent of Minnesota Rule 7854.0600. In recent practice, the notice also identifies a comment period in which interested persons may comment on the application and issues to be considered in the development of a draft site permit prior to the Commission's preliminary determination on whether a permit may be issued.

As a part of the notice requirements of Minnesota Rule 7854.0600, the applicant must provide a copy of the application to each landowner within the proposed site. The applicant is also required to distribute the application to the Minnesota Historical Society, the regional development commission(s), the auditor of each county, and the clerk of each city and township within which the LWECS is proposed to be located. The auditors and clerks are to retain the application and make it available for public inspection on request (Minnesota Rule 7854.0600, subpart 3). In practice, the applicant also provides a copy of the application to anyone requesting a copy. The Applicant is responsible for maintaining the application distribution list.

In practice, EFP staff also distributes copies of the application along with a cover memo requesting comments on the application or the Project to technical representatives from state agencies (Pollution Control Agency, Department of Natural Resources, Department of Transportation, Department of Employment and Economic Development, Board of Water and Soil Resources) that may have permitting or review authority over the Project.

Public Advisor

Minnesota Rule 7854.0700 requires the Commission to designate a staff person to act as the public advisor on the Project upon acceptance of the site permit application. The Commission can authorize EFP to name a staff member as the public advisor or assign a Commission staff member.

Preliminary Determination on Draft Site Permit

In accordance with Minnesota Rule 7854.0800, the Commission shall make a preliminary determination on whether a permit may be issued or should be denied within 45 days after acceptance of the application. If the preliminary determination is to issue a permit, the Commission shall prepare a draft site permit for the Project. The draft site permit must identify the permittee, the proposed LWECS, and proposed permit conditions.

Issuing a draft site permit does not authorize a person to construct a LWECS. The Commission may change, amend or modify the draft site permit in any respect before final issuance or may deny the site permit at a later date. EFP staff anticipates requesting Commission consideration of issuance of a draft site permit for this project in June 2011.

Public Participation Process

Public participation in the LWECS site permitting process is guided by Minnesota Rule 7854.0900. A public notice of the availability of the draft site permit is required to include the following:

- the applicant's contact information;
- a description of the proposed project, including a proposed site map;
- locations where the permit application and draft site permit are available for review and information on how to obtain a copy of the application and site permit;

- the role of the public advisor, and how the public advisor may be contacted to obtain more information about the process or the project;
- the time and place of the public information meeting conducted by EFP staff;
- the date on which the comment period terminates;
- a statement that during the comment period any person may submit comments to the Commission on the draft site permit;
- a statement that a person may request a contested case hearing on the matter; and
- a brief description of the procedures for reaching a final decision on the permit application.

Notice of draft site permit availability is sent to all persons or agencies that received a copy of the permit application, published in the *EQB Monitor* and the county newspaper(s) where the project is proposed, and posted on eDockets and on the Commission's Energy Facility Permitting website.

Public Meeting

A public meeting will be held at a convenient location in the vicinity of the proposed LWECS project. The meeting will be held after the LWECS application and draft site permit have been distributed to interested persons and governmental agencies. The meeting will provide the public an opportunity to learn about the proposed project and the Commission's role in review and approval of LWECS and to ask questions of the applicant and EFP staff. The meeting is also an opportunity for the public to offer comments on the permit application and draft site permit, which serve as the environmental documents for the Project.

Public Comment Period

A minimum 30-day public comment period, commencing with the notice of the draft site permit availability in the *EQB Monitor*, will afford any interested person an opportunity to submit comments on either the site permit application or the draft site permit. If necessary, the Commission may extend the public comment period to provide the public adequate time to review the application and other pertinent information in order to formulate complete comments on the draft site permit and the Project.

County Ordinance Standards for LWECS

Minnesota Statutes section 216F.08 authorizes counties to assume responsibility for processing permit applications for LWECS with a combined nameplate capacity of less than 25,000 kilowatts. Pursuant to Minnesota Statutes section 216F.08, Freeborn County notified the Commission in writing on June 9, 2008, that the Freeborn County Board of Commissioners assumed permitting responsibility for projects under 25 MW. Certain standards adopted by ordinance by Freeborn County are more stringent than the Commission's General Permit Standards as set forth in Docket No. E,G-999/M-07-1102. Minnesota Statutes section 216F.081 states that the Commission shall consider and apply those more stringent standards unless the Commission finds good cause not to apply the standards. In its application, the Applicant states that the Project will be designed to meet or exceed the minimum setbacks required by the Freeborn County ordinance. A preliminary analysis finds that the Applicant appears to essentially meet or exceed the requirements in the Freeborn County ordinance; however, EFP staff will solicit input from Freeborn County on this matter.

EFP Staff Analysis and Comments

The site permit application has been reviewed by EFP staff pursuant to the requirements of Minnesota Rules chapter 7854. The application provides the information required by Minnesota Rule 7854.0500 in a format that all members of the public can access. Acceptance of the application will allow staff to initiate the procedural requirements of chapter 7854.

EFP staff is requesting the Commission direct the Applicant to honor requests for additional information as necessary to facilitate the review process.

EFP staff is requesting the Commission vary the procedural requirement of Minnesota Rule 7854.0800 that requires a preliminary determination on whether to issue a draft site permit within 45 days to allow additional time for interested persons to comment on the application and on issues that should be considered in developing a draft site permit for the Project.

Minnesota Rule 7829.3200 allows the Commission to grant a variance to its rules when it determines the following three conditions are met:

- A. enforcement of the rule would impose an excessive burden upon the applicant or others affected by the rule;
- B. granting the variance would not adversely affect the public interest; and
- C. granting the variance would not conflict with standards imposed by law.

EFP staff believes the conditions for a variance are met in this case. First, enforcement of the rule would impose an excessive burden on the Applicant or others affected by the rule because of the short time available between application review and the time when a draft site permit must be addressed by the Commission. EFP practice has, over the past year, inserted an additional opportunity for members of the public and governmental agencies to comment on the site permit application prior to the Commission's preliminary determination on whether a site permit may be issued. EFP staff believes that 45 days is inadequate to allow a reasonable comment period and an opportunity to review any comments received and, where appropriate, incorporating them in the draft site permit considered by the Commission. Second, granting the variance would not adversely affect the public interest. The public interest would be better served by allowing adequate opportunity for comments on the application. Third, EFP staff believes that an extension, if granted, would not conflict with standards imposed by law.

EFP staff is not aware of any opposition to tolling the time; however, the Commission may wish to offer interested persons the opportunity for oral comment at the Commission meeting.

Commission Decision Options

A. Application Acceptance

1. Accept the application as complete, with the condition that Shell Rock Wind, LLC will provide additional information as requested by the Commission and the Office of Energy Security Energy Facility Permitting staff for the Shell Rock Wind Farm project.
2. Reject the application as incomplete and issue an order indicating the specific deficiencies to be remedied before the application can be accepted.
3. Find the application complete upon the submission of supplementary information.

4. Make another decision deemed more appropriate.

B. Public Advisor

1. Authorize the Office of Energy Security Energy Facility Permitting staff to name a public advisor for this project.
2. Appoint a Commission staff person as public advisor.
3. Make another decision deemed more appropriate.

C. Variance Request


1. Grant a variance to Minnesota Rule 7854.0800 to extend the period for the Commission to make a preliminary determination on whether a permit may be issued or should be denied for an unspecified, but reasonable period of time.
2. Make some other decision deemed more appropriate.
3. Deny the request for a variance.

D. Certificate of Need

1. Based on the information in the record to date, find that a certificate of need is not required.
2. Make no findings regarding the certificate of need.
3. Make some other decision deemed more appropriate.

Energy Facility Permitting Staff Recommends: Options A1, B1, C1, and D1.

Site Map



Map Document: P:\2010\1289\Map\Map1b_101289\Map1a_Ext1.mxd
 2/2/2011 10:57:45 AM