From: Ruby Levine
To: Storm, Bill (COMM);
Subject: PUC Docket No. CN-10-694
Date: Monday, April 04, 2011 9:24:13 AM

Hello!

I believe that the following considerations should be taken into account when you make your decision about the transmission line through the Phillips neighborhood:

-When evaluating alternatives, the long term payback and economic impact should be considered rather than just upfront costs.

-Since many area residents submitted comments during the routing/siting process, including many comments about need and comments on the scope of the Environmental Impact Statement, all of these comments should be included in the CON process.

-Externalized costs of the project should be included rather than only monetary costs, including health and environmental implications of the lines.

-Include Environmental Justice implications of the project in ER, considering the disproportionate impact of environmental toxics borne by Phillips residents who are majority low-income, indigenous and people of color.

-Realistic magnetic field modeling should be included based on the *capacity* of the line.

-In order to effectively assess alternatives, each alternative strategy needs to be evaluated as part of a comprehensive package rather than singly.

-When evaluating what's possible, national best practices, e.g. highly effective case studies, should be used rather than only Xcel's programs

which have relatively low adoption rates.

Thank you for your time,

Ruby Levine