From: Rachel Leaf
To: Storm, Bill (COMM);
Subject: PUC Docket No. CN-10-694
Date: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 10:02:17 AM

Hello Bill Storm,

I am writing to express my concern over the power lines that are being considered for the Hiawatha project. I have grown up in South Minneapolis and am currently a resident, residing on E Lake street and planning to live here for a very long time. Please consider the following points in this project:

-When evaluating alternatives, the long term payback and economic impact should be considered rather than just upfront costs.

-Since many area residents submitted comments during the routing/siting process, including many comments about need and comments on the scope of the Environmental Impact Statement, all of these comments should be included in the CON process.

-Externalized costs of the project should be included rather than only monetary costs, including health and environmental implications of the lines.

-Include Environmental Justice implications of the project in ER, considering the disproportionate impact of environmental toxics borne by Phillips residents who are majority low-income, indigenous and people of color.

-Realistic magnetic field modeling should be included based on the *capacity* of the line.

-In order to effectively assess alternatives, each alternative strategy needs to be evaluated as part of a comprehensive package rather than singly.

-When evaluating what's possible, national best practices, e.g. highly effective case studies, should be used rather than only Xcel's programs which have relatively low adoption rates.

Sincerely,

Rachel Leaf
Rachel.leafzac@gmail.com
612-325-0733